Case 1:03-vv-00584-MBH Document 123 Filed 10/23/08 Page 1 of 275

## UNITED STATES COURT OF FEDERAL CLAIMS

IN RE: CLAIMS FOR VACCINE INJURIES RESULTING IN AUTISM SPECTRUM DISORDER, OR A SIMILAR NEURODEVELOPMENTAL DISORDER	) ) ) )
FRED AND MYLINDA KING, PARENTS OF JORDAN KING, A MINOR, Petitioners, v. SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, Respondent.	) ) ) ) Docket No.: 03-584V ) )
GEORGE AND VICTORIA MEAD, PARENTS OF WILLIAM P. MEAD, A MINOR, V. SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES, Respondent.	- ) ) ) ) ) Docket No.: 03-215V ) ) )

### REVISED AND CORRECTED COPY

- Pages: 4101 through 4374
- Place: Washington, D.C.
- Date: May 30, 2008

## HERITAGE REPORTING CORPORATION

Official Reporters 1220 L Street, N.W., Suite 600 Washington, D.C. 20005-4018 (202) 628-4888 contracts@hrccourtreporters.com

IN '	THE	UNITED	STATES	COURT	OF	FEDERAL	CLAIMS
IN R INJU AUTI A SII DISO	E: ( RIES SM SI MILAF RDER,	CLAIMS FO RESULTI PECTRUM I R NEUROD	OR VACCI NG IN DISORDER EVELOPME	NE ) , OR ) NTAL ) )			
FRED PAREI A MII V. SECRI HUMAI	AND NTS ( NOR, ETARY N SEF	MYLINDA DF JORDA Petitio OF HEA RVICES, Respond	KING, N KING, Oners, LTH AND dent.		Do	cket No.:	03-584V
GEOR( PARE) A MI) V. SECR: HUMA)	GE AN NTS C NOR, ETARY N SEF	JD VICTO DF WILLI Petitic OF HEA VICES, Respond	RIA MEAD AM P. ME oners, LTH AND dent.	, ) AD, ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	Do	cket No.:	03-215V
				Cou Nat 711 Was Fri May	irtro tiona 7 Mad shing iday, 7 30,	om 402 l Courts H ison Place ton, D.C. 2008	Building e NW
		The pa	rties me	t, purs	suant	to adjou	rnment, at
9:03	a.m.						
	BEFC	DRE:	HONOR HONOR HONOR Specia	ABLE GH ABLE PA ABLE DH al Mast	EORGE ATRIC ENISE Lers	L. HASTII IA E. CAMI VOWELL	NGS, JR. PBELL-SMITH

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4101

**APPEARANCES:** 

For the Petitioners:

THOMAS B. POWERS, Esquire MICHAEL L. WILLIAMS, Esquire Williams Love O'Leary & Powers, P.C. 9755 S.W. Barnes Road, Suite 450 Portland, Oregon 97225-6681 (503) 295-2924

For the Respondent:

VINCE MATANOSKI, Esquire VORIS E. JOHNSON, JR., Esquire LYNN E. RICCIARDELLA, Esquire ALEXIS BABCOCK, Esquire LINDA RENZI, Esquire KATHERINE C. ESPOSITO, Esquire U.S. Department of Justice Civil Division Torts Branch P.O. Box 146 Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044 (202) 514-9729

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4102

Case 1:03-vv-00584-MBH Document 123 Filed 10/23/08 Page 4 of 275

4103

# $\underline{C} \ \underline{O} \ \underline{N} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{N} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{S}$

<u>WITNESSES</u> :	DIRECT	<u>CROSS</u>	REDIRECT	<u>RECROSS</u>	VOIR <u>DIRE</u>
For the Petitioner	<u>s</u> :				
Dr. Marcel Kinsbourne (Recall	4106 ed.)	4143			
Dr. Elizabeth Mumper (Recalled.)	4175	4244			
For the Respondent	:				
Dr. Eric Fombonne	4273	4303	4309		
Dr. Jeff Johnson	4314	4326			
Dr. Jeffrey Brent	4330	4348			

4104

## <u>E X H I B I T S</u>

PETITIONERS' <u>EXHIBITS</u> :	IDENTIFIED	RECEIVED	DESCRIPTION
12	4108		Marcel Kinsbourne settlement documents
13	4118		NIMH study on riluzole
14	4190		Three-slide component of Elizabeth Mumper
15	4213		Jordan King video
16	4213		William Mead video
17	4270		Letter

4105

1	<u>proceedings</u>
2	(9:03 a.m.)
3	SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Good
4	morning. Please be seated. We are back on the record
5	for another day of proceedings on the second theory
6	for the omnibus autism proceeding. We are taking
7	rebuttal testimony. Are there any matters that
8	counsel would like to address on the record before we
9	begin today?
10	MR. POWERS: No, Special Master, not for
11	Petitioners.
12	SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL SMITH: Thank you.
13	MR. MATANOSKI: No, ma'am.
14	SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: Thank you. Mr.
15	Powers, to call your next rebuttal witness.
16	MR. POWERS: Yes. Thank you, Special
17	Masters. The Petitioners, at this time, would like to
18	call in rebuttal Dr. Marcel Kinsbourne.
19	SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you.
20	Good morning, Dr. Kinsbourne, please be seated. You
21	will continue under the oath that you were
22	administered and took earlier in the proceeding.
23	//
24	//
25	//
	Henrichten Demonstring Gemeenteine

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4106 1 Whereupon, 2 MARCEL KINSBOURNE, M.D. 3 having been previously sworn, was recalled as a witness herein and was examined and testified 4 further as follows: 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION RESUMED 6 7 BY MR. POWERS: 8 Q Good morning, Dr. Kinsbourne. 9 Α Good morning. And since we are making an audio record 10 0 11 here, I'll reintroduce myself. I'm Tom Powers, and, as you know, I represent the Mead and King families, 12 13 as well as Petitioners' Steering Committee. Now, Dr. Kinsbourne, you were called to 14 15 testify during the first week of this hearing. Correct? 16 Α Yes, sir. 17 18 0 And in the subsequent days of the hearing, 19 after your appearance in that first week, other 20 witnesses appeared that, based on your review of the record of the proceedings, addressed some of the 21 22 specific points that you raised in your direct 23 testimony in your report. Correct? 24 Α Yes. 25 So, this morning, what we're going to do 0 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4107 1 primarily is focus on the specific testimony that you 2 now would like to respond to, and that is testimony 3 that we heard from the government's side of the case in the days after your first appearance here. 4 Correct? 5 Α Yes. 6 7 0 Now, before we go into that, there was a 8 matter that you might recall from the crossexamination following your direct examination during 9 the first week of this proceeding. Do you recall, in 10 11 cross-examination, questions regarding your employment status at the University of Toronto some 31 years ago? 12 13 Α I do. Do you recall, in that line of questioning, 14 0 15 you were asked whether you had been terminated from the university and discussion of the grounds of your 16 termination? Do you recall that? 17 18 Α I do. 19 What was your response, at that point, to 0 the document that you saw, which was a Grievance 20 Committee report? 21 22 I didn't actually see the document, so I Α 23 don't exactly know who sent it to whom. I don't think 24 it was a formal report. But in terms of the issues involved, I pointed out that there had been some 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4108 1 I filed a grievance. The grievance allegations made. 2 prevailed, that all allegations and charges were 3 withdrawn, and that the protective order was issued about the whole matter. 4 I have to say, in these 30-some years, 5 nobody until now has violated that protective order. 6 7 0 And you indicated that there might be 8 further information about this matter that might be available and that you were going to see if you could 9 track that information down and find it. Did you, in 10 11 fact, do that? I looked in my file and found a copy 12 Α I did. 13 of the settlement with the university, which I sent to 14 vou, and --15 MR. POWERS: Let me interrupt you for just a second, Dr. Kinsbourne. 16 We're going to mark the settlement documents 17 18 as Petitioners' Trial Exhibit 12, and I have given a 19 copy to Respondent's counsel before we began a little while ago this morning. I'm going to provide copies 20 21 to the Special Masters here. (The documents referred to 22 23 were marked for 24 identification as Petitioners' Exhibit No. 12.) 25

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4109 1 BY MR. POWERS:

2 Dr. Kinsbourne, on the screen in front of 0 3 you, and on the table in front of you, you see a document. Could you just describe for the Special 4 Masters that that document is? 5 Α This is the outcome of the grievance 6 Yes. 7 proceedings, and this document was drawn up by the 8 attorneys for the university and Mr. Jeffrey Sach, who represented my interests and who currently is, I 9 believe, general counsel to the faculty at the 10

11 university.

By the way, I did talk to Mr. Sach, and he will be available for any questions that the Court might have to follow up on this.

15 At any rate, this settlement made it clear 16 that all charges were withdrawn and that I was not, in 17 fact, terminated.

Q And, in fact, you had represented, under cross-examination, that you, in fact, resigned voluntarily and were given an opportunity to then seek another teaching position. Is that statement also reflected in this settlement?

A I'm not sure that it's in that document, but I've certainly got more papers to make that point, should the Court require.

The document will speak for itself, but I 0 just wanted to give you an opportunity to let the Special Masters know that, under cross-examination, when you referred to additional documentation and a settlement, that this, in fact, is what you were referring to. Yes, sir.

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT

Α

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 0 Okay. So we're going to move on from this and talk about some of the testimony that addressed 9 both your expert report and your direct testimony. 10

11 Do you recall Dr. Rutter's, Sir Michael 12 Rutter's, testimony?

13 Α I do.

And in a portion of Dr. Rutter's testimony, 14 0 he had critiques of your mechanistic model of 15 neuroinflammation and overactivation. Do you recall 16 some of those critiques? 17

18 Α I do.

19 One theme of the critique seemed to be that 0 your model and your analysis lacked scientific rigor. 20 "Scientific rigor," I think, was a term used, a lack 21 22 of scientific certainty.

23 So my question for you, Dr. Kinsbourne, is 24 how you would respond to that critique and explain to 25 the Special Masters even whether you were attempting

> Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4110

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4111 1 to state an opinion to a degree of scientific 2 certainty or not.

3 Α Yes, sir. Dr. Rutter described himself accurately as a rigorous scientist. He is, and I have 4 a high regard for his work. Certainly, as I, in fact, 5 made clear in my report, I was not presenting, as it 6 were, a scientific discovery, which I could prove to 7 8 be the case, and I did not think that that was my role in these proceedings to do. 9

10 What I'm presenting is a reasonable medical 11 mechanism by which this could have happened, and Dr. 12 Rutter really didn't address the actual purpose and 13 role of my proposal. When neuroscientists use the word "speculation," what they are really saying is 14 that, whether there is evidence or not, if one draws a 15 conclusion before the evidence is complete, one is 16 speculating. I, however, was not drawing a 17 18 conclusion; I was offering a possible mechanism.

19 Q And that possible mechanism, as you 20 described it; in your opinion, is that mechanism 21 biologically plausible?

A It is biologically plausible, and it is grounded in contemporary scientific literature, as is reflected in my report.

25 Q And, in fact, there was a specific portion Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888 Case 1:03-vv-00584-MBH Document 123 Filed 10/23/08 Page 13 of 275

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4112 1 of the model that Dr. Rutter addressed, and he seemed 2 to take issue with the glutamate-mediated, 3 overactivation model that you described. Do you recall his testimony on that issue? 4 Actually, not exactly in those terms. I 5 Α don't think Dr. Rutter purports to be a neurologist or 6 7 a neuroscientist. I think he is very careful to stay 8 within his discipline, child psychiatry, and I'm not sure that he actually critiqued the neurobiological 9 10 aspect. 11 What he took exception to was the more 12 global interpretation of the hyperglutamanergic, 13 hyper-arousal model as a viable model for autistic behavior. 14 And how would you respond, in general, to 15 Ο that critique of Dr. Rutter? 16 Well, it seemed to me that he was critiquing 17 А 18 something from his memory of many years ago, which 19 perhaps has not survived. It certainly hasn't, in my 20 memory, but he wasn't really talking about what I He talked about some notion that autistic 21 presented. 22 children are overly emotional or overly reactive, 23 which is not, in those words, accurate. That's not at all what I'm presenting. 24 25 In fact, although the over-arousal model did Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4113 1 have earlier origins, the first studies that presented 2 it were EEG studies. They weren't studies of children's emotional behavior. 3 There was evidence presented that the brain of these children was 4 overactive, as based on the EEG findings as were 5 available and construed at that time. 6

Now, this overarousal model has survived, and it has significant support at this time, and, in my report, I reference the important article by Rubenstein and Merzenich, which adopts that model, and other articles which, in fact, give evidence of this overarousal in psychophysiological terms.

Q And, in addition to that, there is contemporary scientific evidence supporting the idea that the role of excess glutamate contributing to this overarousal, there is contemporary support in the scientific literature for that aspect of your model. Isn't that correct?

A Right. Again, as with the overarousal model, the hyperglutamanergic idea is not my idea. It was present for -- I proposed it, and, again, I mention some of the origins in my report, and I could provide the Court with more documentation of that fact.

25

My role has been to consider the literature Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 1 on glutamate excess in neuroinflammation, consider the 2 literature on glutamate excess in neuroinflammation in 3 autistic individuals, put it together with the evidence for overarousal in autistic children, and 4 combine the neurobiology and the behavior in what I 5 take to be a coherent fashion. 6

Now, Dr. Kinsbourne, let's talk specifically 7 0 8 about some of the more contemporary scientific literature that supports a couple of the aspects that 9 10 Dr. Rutter is criticizing.

11 First, let's talk about glutamate, and the 12 first article we're going to refer to is Petitioners' 13 master --

I just want to clarify. 14 MR. MATANOSKI: Ι 15 think the witness has already stated that Dr. Rutter was not criticizing the portion of his data that had 16 17 to do with glutamanergic response.

18 MR. POWERS: Well, we're talking about the 19 glutamanergic response as triggering the overactivation, and if we want to parse it out, I can 20 21 pretend that he is addressing Dr. Rust's critique. 22 BY MR. POWERS:

23 So, Dr. Kinsbourne, do you recall that Dr. 0 24 Rust described a specific critique of you positing the idea that the glutamanergic response was not 25

> Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4114

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4115 1 contributory to the appearance of autistic symptoms? 2 Α Yes, sir. 3 0 Okay. Let's talk about some of the contemporary scientific literature that addresses that 4 This will be Petitioners' Master Reference 5 issue. I know the screen is hard to read, Dr. 6 List 570. Kinsbourne, so I'm going to leave the stand here and 7 8 give you a paper copy of this article. 9 Thank you. Α So, Dr. Kinsbourne, if you look at the 10 0 document in front of you -- this is Reference List No. 11 570 -- is this an article by Dr. Aschner that talks 12 13 about glutamate and reactive oxygen species and methyl mercury neurotoxicity? 14 Yes, sir. 15 Α So I would like to just briefly direct your 16 0 attention to page 2 of the exhibit, and if you look at 17 18 the right-hand column, about half-way down, there is a highlighted section, if we could focus on that. 19 20 Α I could if it were highlighted. Well, on the screen, it should be. 21 Q 22 Α I see, yes. Okay. 23 0 We're trying to coordinate highlighting 24 multiple paper copies and the electronic, but on the electronic, you should see it there. 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4116 1 I was just reading a spy novel where the А 2 highlighting magically disappears. 3 0 What is the point of the highlighted section We don't need to read it aloud because the 4 there? Special Masters, obviously, can read the article. 5 What do you think the significance of that highlighted 6 portion is? 7 8 Α It encapsulates a major part of my proposal, and, indeed, this is one of the sources of my 9 10 proposal. 11 Q And this is a 2007 article. Correct? 12 I'm saying, this is a recent Α Right. 13 instantiation of Dr. Aschner's vary distinguished research program, and I also refer to other articles 14 15 from his group. We're also going to take a look at 16 0 Petitioners' Master Reference List No. 567, and, Dr. 17 18 Kinsbourne, is that an article by Dr. Purcell and 19 others that discusses post-mortem brain abnormalities 20 of, again, the glutamate neurotransmitter system and autism? 21 22 Α That's correct. 23 I would like to draw your attention to what 0

is the exhibit page number 9, and if you look at the right-hand column, what I'm going to highlight for you Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT

4117

is, in the first third of that top paragraph, there is a sentence that begins, "If the increase in GFAP," all the way down to Footnote 31. Again, the Special Masters have the study, and they can read it, but what is the significance of this particular discussion in Dr. Purcell's paper for your theory?

I think the relevance is that GFAP is a 7 Α 8 protein which is released by astrocytes under stress, and the article points out that there may be reactive 9 10 qliosis. In other words, there may be a proliferation 11 of astrocytes in response to that stress, and that 12 proliferation may contribute to autism 13 pathophysiology. In other words, it may contribute to the mechanism by which an individual becomes autistic. 14

Q And at the very bottom of that page, again, on the right-hand column, the sentence that begins, "Disrupted," and it will continue on to page 10 of the exhibit, so we'll give our folks an opportunity to get the entire thing highlighted for you, going from one page to another.

A The first sentence, beginning with "Disrupted," encapsulates the point that I'm trying to make for mechanism, that disruptive glutamate transmission could account for a constellation of the cognitive deficits of autism. I would just add, in my

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4118 1 case, cognitive and behavioral deficits in autism. 2 And if you continue on to the next page, 0 then, it actually starts to talk about the symptoms, 3 particularly, that are related to glutamate. 4 Α These are relevant symptoms, which 5 Correct. I do believe can be explained in terms of disruptive 6 glutamate transmission, and, indeed, as the group 7 8 points out, that people have taken this seriously, and are, in fact, currently, trying to determine whether 9 drugs that block glutamate receptors might alleviate 10 11 autistic symptoms, and, in fact, there are several 12 ongoing studies using two agents that I could mention 13 funded by the NIH and by a foundation which is, in fact, finding out whether glutamate receptor 14 antagonists could help autistic children. 15 MR. POWERS: In fact, we have what we're 16 going to mark as Petitioners' Trial Exhibit No. 13 a 17 18 brief report of a clinical trial, I think, involving 19 one of the drugs that you're talking about. 20 (The document referred to was marked for identification as 21 22 Petitioners' Exhibit No. 13.) 23 BY MR. POWERS: 24 And, Dr. Kinsbourne, Exhibit 13 that you 0 have in front of you and is now up on the screen; 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

### Case 1:03-vv-00584-MBH Document 123 Filed 10/23/08 Page 20 of 275

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4119 1 could you describe to the Special Masters what this 2 document is? 3 Α Yes. This is a study which has been funded by the NIMH, the Mental Health Institute, with the 4 following rationale. 5 Riluzole is a glutamate blocker. 6 It has

7 been shown to be effective in childhood obsessive-8 compulsive disorder. Now, we're going to try to see 9 the effects of riluzole glutamate blocking also, as 10 well as in childhood OCD, in children with autism-11 spectrum disorders.

Now, an important point is that, as I discuss at length in my report, the neuroinflammation, which was discovered by the Vargas/Pardo group, is, in my opinion, more likely harmful to the brain than helpful, but some people have objected that actually it might be helpful or protective of the brain function.

19 If anybody seriously believed that, this 20 study would never have been funded. Children would 21 have been put at risk if it was a protective mechanism 22 that was being blocked.

23 So I think that highly responsible 24 scientists from Johns Hopkins and from the study group 25 at NIMH have felt that it was appropriate to attempt

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4120 1 to diminish glutamate transmission in autistic 2 children.

3 0 And we're actually going to take a guick look at page 3 of this exhibit -- it's page 3 of 5 --4 and at the very top of that page, the first full 5 sentence that begins, "Glutamate plays," we're just 6 going to highlight that sentence. What this clinical 7 8 trial description says is that glutamate plays a 9 crucial role in the regulation of excitatory activity within this circuit and may be involved in the 10 11 idiopathogenesis of OCD, which is obsessive-compulsive 12 disorder.

13 Is this statement about glutamate's role in 14 the regulation of excitatory activity; is that 15 consistent with the central theme of your opinion in 16 this case?

A That's correct, yes.

17

18 0 We can pull that down from the screen. 19 Briefly, back to Dr. Rutter and his 20 description of your model of overactivation or overarousal as being somehow a historical relic, are 21 22 you aware of contemporary scientific discussion of 23 this very theory? Yes, indeed. 24 Α

25 Q And would that include discussions by Dr. Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4121 1 Casanova, who is one of the Respondent's witnesses who 2 submitted an expert report but did not appear and 3 testify? Α Correct. 4 So I'm going to put up on the screen 5 0 Petitioners' Master Reference List No. 274, and if we 6 could highlight just the title so that Dr. Kinsbourne 7 8 can identify it for the Special Masters and for the 9 record. 10 And, Dr. Kinsbourne, I do have a paper copy, 11 so I'm providing it for you. So, Dr. Kinsbourne, you have in front of you 12 13 a Science Journal article called "Mini-column Nerve Pathology in Autism" by Dr. Casanova and others. 14 Is 15 that correct? Yes, it's an important document. 16 Α Let's go ahead and look at the very last 17 0 18 page of text in that article, which would be, in terms 19 of the exhibit -- I believe it's page 4 of the exhibit -- and I would like to highlight for you the last full 20 21 paragraph in that article. 22 Now, in this highlighted section, is it fair 23 to say that Dr. Casanova is discussing the arousal 24 model in the brain as related to autism spectrum 25 disorders? Is that the general thrust of this Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4122 1 paragraph? 2 Α It's quite specific, yes. 3 0 He goes on to say, in about the third sentence in this, that the arousal theory is of some 4 interest because it is consistent with the reduction 5 of inhibitory inter-neuronal activity. 6 So the arousal theory is certainly 7 8 interesting enough to Dr. Casanova to discuss it in this article. Correct? 9 10 Α Yes. 11 Q And his discussion is on the flip side of 12 the coin of the glutamate homeostasis, which is the 13 inhibitory process, GABA. Correct. Still addressing the excitation-14 Α inhibition balance. 15 And the excitation-inhibition balance, as 16 0 you've already testified, is a core concept in your 17 18 model that you've described? 19 It is, and it is a core concept in brain Α 20 functioning. So it's a functional model, but is there 21 0 22 also some possible implication in recent science that 23 the excitation and neuroinflammation and glial 24 activation might actually be causally related to brain 25 pathology? Heritage Reporting Corporation

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4123 1 A number of sources have raised that А 2 possibility. 3 0 I'm going to show you what's been filed here as Petitioners' Master Reference List No. 104 coming 4 over with a paper copy, and we'll get that on the 5 6 screen. 7 Now, Dr. Kinsbourne, this is an article by 8 Dr. Courchesne and his group, and it's an article that's referred to guite often in these proceedings 9 that's called "Autism at the Beginning." Is that what 10 you see in front of you? 11 12 Α It is. 13 0 I would like to turn, and, unfortunately, I don't have my exhibit pages marked, but it's page 590 14 15 of your copy, Dr. Kinsbourne; 590 is the journal page number. 16 17 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL SMITH: Which, for 18 the record, is page 14. 19 MR. POWERS: I was just counting, and you're 20 much quicker than I. I appreciate it. It's page 14 of the exhibit. 21 What I would like to do, there is a section 22 23 highlighted there, but before even talking about that 24 one, in the left-hand column, the last full paragraph, 25 there is a sentence that begins, "Glial cells," and I Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4124 1 would just like to highlight that first full sentence 2 of the last paragraph on the left-hand column. 3 Now, this says that glial cells play key roles in brain organization during development, as 4 well as in neuroinflammatory reactions. Correct? 5 Α Yes. 6 So the bulk of your report describes the 7 0 8 role of glial cells in neuroinflammatory reactions. 9 Correct? 10 Α Correct. 11 So Dr. Courchesne is acknowledging that role Q of glial but also mentioning a little bit new, which 12 13 is that it plays a role in actually organizing the brain. Correct? 14 Yes. Actually, it isn't even new. 15 Α I think it's part of what we know about neurodevelopment that 16 qlial cells actually provide the scaffolding by which 17 18 neurons move to their appointed locations. 19 Q Now, you've heard testimony of 20 neuropathologists, including Dr. Kemper, who have argued apparently that pathological abnormalities in 21 22 the brain cause neuroinflammatory responses in some 23 They have hypothesized that. Correct? cases. 24 Α That's correct. 25 What they haven't discussed is the notion 0 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4125 1 that glial disruption or glial dysfunction might itself be the cause of the underlying pathology. 2 Do 3 you recall them discussing that issue at all? Α No. That didn't come up. 4 Well, let's go ahead and look at the right-5 0 hand column and the first full paragraph, and let's 6 7 highlight the first half of that paragraph, ending at 8 the word "cerebellum." 9 Now, what Dr. Courchesne is talking about 10 here is that excess glial production or excess glial 11 activation actually has the potential to produce any or all of the previously described, microstructural 12 13 findings. Correct? 14 Α Yes. So he is talking about glial disruption 15 0 affecting the physical architecture of the developing 16 17 brain. 18 Α Correct. In a manner so as to generate the kind of abnormalities that, in fact, have been 19 20 reported neuropathologically in brains of autistic individuals. 21 22 0 Including the minicolumn abnormalities that 23 Dr. Casanova -- in fact, there is a specific 24 discussion of frontal minicolumn abnormalities. 25 Correct?

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4126 A Correct. Q And that would be in reference to Dr. Casanova's work with minicolumns. A Yes, it would. Q So would you characterize the current scientific literature as supporting the notion in your report and in your testimony that glial activation can

8 cause neuroinflammation leading to the symptoms of 9 autism, but also that glial overactivation can 10 actually cause changes to the developing brain's 11 structure?

12 A Yes. There is support for these 13 propositions.

14 Q And the support is described in some of the 15 articles that we just took a look at. Correct?

16 A Yes, sir.

I do.

Q Now, Dr. Rust also had some comments on your testimony, and one of the issues that he raised is that, in a couple of places, you misrepresented the cited articles. Do you remember some of his testimony on that?

22 A

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Q And one of those points was, in looking at articles by -- I think it's Dr. Friedman. Dr. Friedman is the lead author on one, and he is the

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4127 1 second author on the other, with Dr. Petropolous as 2 the first author.

We're going to take a look at those, and, in particular, we're going to start off with Physician's Master Reference No. 320. This is the article where Dr. Petropolous is the first author, and Dr. Friedman is the second author.

8 Q So could you describe for the Special 9 Masters and for the record what it is that we have on 10 the screen here?

11 A This is a study of the brain of individuals 12 with autism spectrum disorder by MRI, magnetic 13 resonance imaging, and it talks about a particular 14 aspect of imaging which is called the "T-2 phase" of 15 imaging.

Q And do you recall that Dr. Rust characterized your citation of this particular piece as inaccurate because his claim was that this article doesn't talk about directly neuroinflammation leading to the symptoms of autism.

21 A Correct.

Q Now, you didn't cite it for that proposition, did you?

24 A No. I didn't cite it for that.

25 MR. POWERS: Let's go ahead and turn to page

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4128 4 of the exhibit, and, actually, it starts on page 3. I'm sorry. The very last sentence on page 3, and then going through the first full paragraph on page 4, and it will take a moment to get that on the screen. We'll wait for that to happen so it's easier to work through this.

7

BY MR. POWERS:

It won't all fit there, so what we can do is 8 0 9 describe, first off, the beginning of the sentence of interest, is that their findings in children with 10 11 autism, and these were findings that came after the 12 children were diagnosed, as they say, it may reflect 13 brain mechanisms involving neuroinflammation which have been implicated in this disorder. 14 Correct?

15 A Right. There are now interpreting their16 findings, yes.

17 Q And then, as it goes on to say, such 18 processes are typically accompanied by edema. Do you 19 see that?

20 A Yes, I do.

Q Now, I'm going to step out of Dr. Rust's critique for just a moment. If you recall, Dr. Kemper specifically said that you are incorrect in describing edema as a consequence of neuroinflammation. Do you remember him making that specific comment?

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4129 1 Yes, I do. Α 2 Now, this paper actually says that 0 3 neuroinflammatory processes are typically accompanied by edema. 4 Correct? Α Could. 5 So that support, in the scientific 6 Ο literature, for your contention that edema is a 7 characteristic of neuroinflammation. 8 9 It does. Α 10 MR. MATANOSKI: Your Honor, at this point, I 11 would like to request of the Court, please let the 12 witness answer some questions rather than counsel 13 simply leading him through articles. This is supposed to be Dr. Kinsbourne's rebuttal, not Mr. Powers'. 14 15 BY MR. POWERS: So, Dr. Kinsbourne, if you would look at 16 0 that paragraph, what is the significance of this 17 18 paragraph and what these articles are saying to your 19 report? 20 Α The significance is that the findings on MRI are consistent with ongoing neuroinflammation, and 21 22 they also themselves relate their findings to studies 23 to which I refer in which microglial activity and 24 cytokines have been found to be associated with autistic disorders. 25

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4130

1 So let's turn to page 14 of your report, and 0 2 I just want you to be able to show the Special Masters 3 what statement in your report you cite to this article for support for. Again, it's on page 14, and it's the 4 very first sentence at the top of the page. 5 Α Correct. 6 7 0 And, again, on the page previous is a 8 sentence that talks about another Friedman article, 9 but the one we're talking about is the one that's highlighted. 10 11 Α I made the point, briefly, that the Yes. 12 Petropolous article did find evidence of 13 neuroinflammation in the cerebral gray matter of these individuals. 14 So if that's what you cited, the article 15 Ο that we just discussed, in support of. Correct? 16 Α 17 Yes. 18 0 So it would be your contention that that's a 19 very fair and accurate citation to the literature that we just described. 20 21 Α Yes. I want to talk a little bit about Dr. 22 0 23 Kemper's testimony with you. Do you recall Dr. Kemper testifying -- I think it was during the second week of 24 25 this hearing --Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4131 1 Α Yes. 2 0 Do you recall Dr. Kemper having, on his 3 direct examination, specific criticisms of your "expert report" generally but specific components of 4 your theory? 5 Α Yes. 6 7 0 Now, one was a reference to page 13 of your 8 expert report. We're going to put page 13 of your 9 report up, and the first portion of the second full I would like to highlight the first two 10 paragraph. 11 sentences there ending with circulation. 12 Do you recall listening to Dr. Kemper 13 describe -- he believed that edema was not a characteristic of neuroinflammation. 14 15 He did make that statement. Α And we just discussed the citation in the 16 0 scientific literature where you find support. 17 18 Correct? 19 Α Right. 20 He did not take issue with activated 0 microglia. Correct? 21 22 Α Correct. 23 0 Now, he did take issue and say that there is 24 no local invasion of immune cells. Do you remember that criticism? 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4132 1 Yes, I do. Α 2 Do you believe that, in the process of 0 3 neuroinflammation, there can be a local inflammation of immune cells? 4 That's documented in the literature. 5 Α Yes. 0 Let's talk about where it might be 6 documented in the literature. 7 We're going to be referring to Petitioners' 8 Master Reference List No. 72. This is Dr. Pardo's 9 article that's been much discussed. 10 11 So you have the article in front of you, Dr. 12 I'm going to draw your attention to page Kinsbourne. 13 6, and on page 6 we're going to highlight the last full paragraph on that page, the bottom right-hand 14 15 corner. Now, the third sentence in there talks about 16 an increase in MCP-1 expression. First off, what is 17 18 "MCP-1"? 19 Α It's a cytokine that's released by glial 20 cells. And is this part of the neuroinflammatory 21 Q 22 process? Yes, it is. 23 Α 24 If you read further in that sentence, what Q 25 does it describe about the significance of MCP-1 as it Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4133 1 relates to the pathogenesis of autism? 2 Α It mentions the relationship of this 3 chemical not only to microglial activation but also specifically to the recruitment of monocytes, 4 macrophages, to areas of neuronal cortical 5 abnormalities, which is, in other words, the same 6 phenomenon that I was referring to in my report that 7 8 Dr. Kemper took issue with. 9 So monocytes and macrophages; what types of 0 cells are those? 10 11 Α These are cells that are not inherent in the 12 brain but in the body and in the circulation, but I tracked it into locations around the blood vessels 13 that supply the brain by the MCP-1. 14 Is it your testimony that this description 15 Ο is consistent with the statement in your report that 16 neuroinflammation is associated with the invasion or 17 18 the infiltration of immune cells? 19 Α That's what I was referring to. Yes. 20 MR. POWERS: Let's take that down from the 21 screen, and I'm also going to hand you another very well-known exhibit number. This is Petitioners' No. 22 23 69. It's Dr. Vargas's article. 24 I would like to direct your attention, Dr. 25 Kinsbourne, to page 5 of this exhibit. There is a Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4134 1 section highlighted there, but we're actually going to 2 look at something below that. About two-thirds of the 3 way down the right-hand column, there is a phrase that 4 begins, "In addition to the presence of activated 5 microglia," and go ahead and highlight down to the 6 bottom.

7

#### BY MR. POWERS:

8 Q Do you see a section in there where the 9 authors describes their observation that there was a 10 marked accumulation of perivascular macrophages and 11 monocytes in the cerebellum of the autistic?

A Four of the autistic individuals. Well, as I pointed out, these cells come from the circulation, and they pass through the walls of the blood vessels into a perivascular location, which means around where the blood vessels flow in the brains of at least four of these 10 autistic people.

18 Q So, again, do you believe that this 19 statement in the published literature is consistent 20 with your description of the characteristics of 21 neuroinflammation?

A Yes, it is.
MR. POWERS: We can pull that down.
BY MR. POWERS:
Q If you recall, Dr. Kemper took issue with Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4135 1 something that you said on page 17 of your expert 2 report. If you look at the third paragraph, it's the 3 paragraph that begins with a citation to Dr. Vargas, 4 but the sentence I'm interested in is at the very end 5 of that paragraph, and it begins, "The inflammation 6 becomes chronic...."

Now, Dr. Kemper took issue with the idea that cells, particularly astrocytes, are dying. What he said, if I recall the testimony, was that the Vargas folks did not find dead astrocytes, and, therefore, given the lack of dead astrocytes, that your friendly fire description was inaccurate. Do you recall that testimony?

14 A I do.

Q How would you respond to that criticism and let the Special Masters know exactly what you're describing with astroglial activation here?

A Well, there are two aspects to this. One is that what I have seen saying was that there are circumstances under which the immune attack is so severe that the astrocytes can, in fact, die.

I wasn't arguing that this was generally the case in autism. My point in autism is that there is a functional abnormality of astrocytes, and,

25 specifically, that the astrocytes no longer perform
DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4136 1 their function of regulating the flow of glutamate, 2 which, therefore, can spread and activate neurons that 3 otherwise would not have been activated. 4 Death is not part of the model that I'm proposing, although I have no doubt that this is 5 something that can happen and, on occasion, does 6 7 happen. In fact, in fairness, let's go ahead and 8 0 highlight the remainder of the paragraph here. 9 10 So, in your report, you actually describe 11 specifically what you think is going on with astrocytes. Is that correct? 12 13 Α Yes. Anywhere in there do you say that a 14 0 15 necessary part of your model is that astrocytes are dying? 16 No, and, in fact, it's a necessary part of 17 А my model that most of them don't die because if they 18 19 die, the neurons would die, and we would have a totally different situation in the brain. 20 Now you do say that some will die. 21 Q Correct? 22 Α Yes. 23 0 I want to go back to Petitioners' Master 24 Reference No. 72 and page 7. Halfway down the left-25 hand column, there is a sentence that begins, Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4137 1 "Importantly, cells undergoing...."

2 Now, you say that some astrocytes might die, and Dr. Kemper said no astrocytes are dying. 3 Where can you find any support for the idea that some 4 astrocytes might be dying, even if you didn't find the 5 evidence of the actual dead cells? 6 The substance, TGF-beta-1, as is pointed out 7 Α 8 here, is produced mostly by reactive astrocytes and 9 neurons. That's the sixth line down of the highlighted section. It then says that this chemical, 10 11 the cytokine, may reflect an attempt to modulate the 12 neuroinflammation or repair injured tissue. In other 13 words, it's, in a sense, considered to be antiinflammatory as opposed to pro. 14

15 It doesn't say the same in this paragraph, 16 but the understanding is that that substance is, in 17 fact, produced by astrocytes in the course of dying.

Q So if this is a substance produced by astrocytes in the course of their death, and elevated levels of this substance are present, what do you think the significance of that is, in terms of your description of what goes on with astrocytes in this process?

A Well, some astrocytes, in fact, have succumbed, but there is a mechanism for holding that Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4138 1 It's a self-regulatory, protective process in check. 2 mechanism that's being described here. 3 MR. POWERS: We can pull the article down. BY MR. POWERS: 4 You also hit on another point that -- I 5 0 think it was Dr. Rust specifically said that he 6 thought your model implausible because he did not 7 8 understand how it could be self-regulating. Do you recall that testimony? 9 10 Α I do. 11 How would you respond to that accusation by Q 12 Dr. Rust that your model is undermined because it 13 cannot explain the natural process of neuroinflammation? 14 Well, there are two ways of encountering 15 Α that. One is that a number of articles in peer-16 reviewed journals have, in fact, found the concept of 17 18 the overactivated glutamanergic state to be a 19 feasible, reasonable concept. The second is that, as Dr. Rust himself 20 described in some detail, there are self-regulatory, 21 22 corrective provisions in the brain for holding 23 neuroinflammation in check so that, up to a point, it 24 is quite biologically plausible that neuroinflammation 25 may occur but not escalate to an overwhelming assault Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4139 1 on the brain as a whole.

2 In fact, this must be the case because both 3 the Vargas group and, subsequently, the Lopez-Hurtado group found evidence of neuroinflammation not only in 4 children but even in adults up to the age in the 5 forties, and nobody argues that this neuroinflammation 6 just began in the forties in those cases. 7 So the 8 evidence is that neuroinflammation can, as it was a similar way at some low level, continue for many, many 9 years, which implies both that the pro-inflammatory 10 11 factors continue and that anti-inflammatory factors 12 hold it to some level of check.

Q Now, finally, Dr. Rust took issue with your characterization of the neuroinflammatory process, in particular, as being an environmental contribution to autism. Do you recall that critique?

17 A

Yes.

Q I would like to go back to Petitioners' Master Reference List No. 72, and we're going to look at page 9. Again, this is a page that has been oft discussed, but I want you to discuss this in terms of responding to Dr. Rust's critique that he saw no way that neuroinflammation could be an environmental contributor.

25 Let's go ahead and look at the table at the Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4140 1 top left hand of that page. Let's blow that up. 2 Can you describe for the Special Masters the 3 significance of that table in this published paper to your theory of causation in these cases? 4 Yes, sir. It, in fact, talks about 5 Α interactions between environmental and genetic factors 6 that influence neuroglial activation and the presence 7 8 of autism. Among the environmental factors, on the top left-hand, he mentions infections and toxins, 9 maternal factors, and others. 10 11 So the notion that environmental factors are of significance mechanistically is embodied in this 12 13 sketch, which then centers on neuroglial activation, and, at the bottom right-hand corner, the flow chart 14 proceeds to the outcome of the autistic phenotype, 15 featuring, particularly, regression as part of the 16 phenotype that's being described here in terms of its 17 18 mechanistic origins. 19 Let's go ahead and look at the text of this 0

19 Q Let's go anead and look at the text of this 20 page, under the "Conclusions" section. In that 21 section, about half-way through the section called 22 "Conclusions," there is a sentence that begins, "Our 23 neuroimmunopathological studies...."

Let's go ahead and highlight that, if youwould, please.

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4141 1 Now, the authors make a point here that, to 2 the extent there is an immune response involved, it's 3 innate rather than adaptive. Correct? Α That's been made very clear 4 Yes. throughout. 5 6 Have you ever, in your testimony or in your 0 7 report, implied that what's going on in the brain is a 8 response of the adaptive immune system. 9 Not at all. Α 10 Q What immune response are you describing in 11 your testimony, your report, and your opinion? I'm describing the innate immune response, 12 А 13 which, in the body, has to do with macrophages and mononucleocells, and, basically, it's a kind of 14 15 inflammation that one has if one scratches one's arm, and the area gets red and a bit swollen through edema 16 and hot and so on. But that immune response, when it 17 18 occurs in the brain, is still innate, but it features 19 the macroglia and the astrocytes as we have discussed. 20 Well, move down a little bit further, and 0 the sentence beginning, "The roles of neuroglial 21 22 activation.... " Now, in this sentence, there is talk 23 about some sort of preexisting central nervous system

abnormalities, and it says that "neuroinflammation might maintain some of those abnormalities." Do you

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4142 1 see that? 2 Α It might maintain them, but it goes on Yes. 3 to say, if not also initiating some of them. That's 4 consistent with the Courchesnean point of view that we have already talked about. 5 Now, Dr. Kemper, the neuropathologist who 6 0 7 testified, it was his position, if you recall, that 8 the neuroinflammatory responses seen here were in 9 response to the underlying brain pathology. Do you 10 remember that testimony? 11 Α Yes. 12 And, certainly, that's a possibility that 0 13 these authors are leaving wide open. 14 Α Right. Does that possibility exclude the possible 15 0 that the neuroinflammatory process might initiate some 16 of the abnormalities in this disorder? 17 18 Α No, it doesn't at all. It might be either, 19 or it might be both. 20 It's just uncertain. 0 21 Α Correct. 22 Let's look at the very last sentence in the 0 23 paper here. This is the sentence that says, 24 "Neuroglial and neuroinflammatory responses likely 25 have polygenic and environmental bases and may have Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - DIRECT 4143 1 important clinical and therapeutic implications in 2 autism." 3 Can you explain what you think the significance of that concluding statement is to your 4 opinion and to your report and your testimony? 5 I've been arguing that gene 6 А Yes. 7 environment interaction is an important factor 8 potentially in causing autism, and they are saying 9 that, that "polygenic," the gene component, and the environmental basis interacting may set up the 10 11 neuroglial neuroinflammatory responses, and they, in turn, may have important implications for autism. 12 13 0 Is it your opinion, Dr. Kinsbourne, that the work of Drs. Vargas and Pardo supports your theory and 14 15 your mechanism of injury in these cases? Indeed, I based a lot of it on their work. 16 Α 17 MR. POWERS: I have no further questions 18 right now. 19 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you. Is Respondent's counsel ready to proceed? 20 21 MR. MATANOSKI: I believe so, ma'am. 22 (Pause.)

BY MR. MATANOSKI:

23

25 Q Welcome back, Dr. Kinsbourne.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

CROSS-EXAMINATION

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4144 1 Α Yes. 2 0 For the record, I'm Vince Matanoski. 3 Doctor, I'm going to begin where Mr. Powers 4 began, with the settlement that you reached with the 5 University of Toronto. Now, as part of that settlement, you agreed to tender your resignation. 6 Isn't that right? 7 8 Α No. 9 As part of Petitioners' Trial Exhibit 12, 0 10 paragraph 4, it says, "The applicant tenders his 11 resignation from the university." 12 There were two parts to that. The first А 13 part was that the charges were rejected, and they were quashed, and I was offered the opportunity of staying 14 at the University of Toronto. However, I elected, as 15 part of my settlement, to leave. 16 17 And that is part of the settlement that you 0 18 attend to your resignation. 19 Α That is part of the ultimate settlement which you have before you. 20 Thank you. Doctor, you were asked a series 21 0 22 of questions about criticisms from Dr. Kemper. Did 23 you listen to his testimony? 24 Α Yes. 25 Could you tell me what those criticisms 0 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4145 1 were? 2 Do you mean the ones we just went over? Α 3 0 Yes. Α He criticized my statements about neuroglia. 4 Can you be any more specific about what his 5 0 criticism was? 6 He said that were was no edema in 7 Α Yes. 8 neuroinflammation, and he agreed with the microglial activation, and then he disagreed with the third item 9 that I mentioned --10 11 Q What was that item? 12 Α -- which I've forgotten for the moment. 13 0 Even though you listened to his testimony, and you were just testifying about it, you can't 14 remember the number. 15 Even though we just talked about it, yes. 16 Α 17 Ο Are you sure you listened to his testimony? 18 Α Am I sure I listened? Yes, of course, I'm sure I listened. 19 I was just wondering, if you were not being 20 0 led through the questions, whether you can even count 21 22 what the criticisms were. 23 Α Well, I'm sorry you're wondering, but I 24 listened to his testimony. 25 But you can't recall even what the third 0 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4146 1 matter that Dr. Kemper brought up in his testimony. 2 Α It will come back to me, if you would like 3 me to think further. 4 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Counsel, I'm just going to 5 ask -- I'm reading lips, but I would like to further 6 7 be assisted by hearing you, as I'm sure everyone else 8 will, if both Dr. Kinsbourne and counsel would speak up just a little. 9 10 MR. MATANOSKI: I'm sorry. 11 BY MR. MATANOSKI: 12 0 Dr. Rust; you listened to his criticisms, 13 too. Is that right? Α I did. 14 15 Ο Can you tell me now what those criticisms are? 16 Oh, there were an awful a lot of criticisms. 17 Α 18 Q How about giving me --19 I'll give you a few, yeah. My theory is Α 20 unbelievably complex. My theory is awkward. Mv theory is totally novel. 21 These are my discoveries. Ι 22 have ignored 30 years of neuroscientific research. 23 There are some highlights. 24 Can you be any more specific about what --Q 25 Well, I'm telling you things that he said, Α Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4147 1 and I'm using almost exactly his specific words. 2 You can't be any more specific than that. 0 3 Α I haven't finished my response to your question. 4 He criticized my point of view about 5 regression as being striking, although Dr. Richler, in 6 7 fact, describes regression as being striking. 8 He criticized my scientific approach as speculative. He didn't believe that regression could 9 10 be interpreted as the cause of an ongoing disease 11 because, in Rett syndrome, there is regression, which is attributable to genetic causes. 12 13 He found my model of overarousal to be really a misinterpretation of the behavior of children 14 with autism under stress. He pointed out that once 15 they are in familiar, calm situations, that they quiet 16 down, and on the longer -- here are some examples. 17 18 Q He was pretty broad and pretty much 19 criticized just about every part of your opinion, 20 didn't he? 21 Α Oh, he did, yes. 22 Doctor, you were given a study to look at. Q 23 I think this is Trial Exhibit 13 perhaps. Now, that study was for safety, wasn't it, a drug safety test? 24 25 What are you referring to? Α Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4148 1 The one you were just handed, Trial Exhibit 0 2 13, a study by -- they were recruiting participants 3 for a drug study. Oh, the riluzole study? 4 Α Ο Yes. 5 Α 6 Yes. That was a drug safety study, wasn't it? 7 0 8 Α Well, it says, on the front sheet, "This 9 research study will examine the effectiveness of riluzole for treating such a composite result." 10 11 Q And on page 3 of that study proposal? 12 Α Are you going to direct my attention to it? 13 0 I don't have it in front of me. Actually, I Doesn't it say, "This proposal is for a 12-week, 14 do. single-arm, open-label study that will evaluate safety 15 and estimate dose of children," the second paragraph, 16 first full paragraph, of that page? 17 18 Α It does say that, yes. 19 0 And is that study limited to children with 20 regressive autism? Did you say, is it limited? 21 Α 22 Ο Is that study limited to children with 23 regressive autism? 24 Α As I pointed out, this is an agent which was initially shown to be of some effectiveness for OCD, 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4149 1 and now it's being extended to the autistic children 2 as well. It is not limited to children with 3 0 regressive autism. 4 No, it's not limited. 5 Α Your opinion is limited to children with 6 0 regressive autism, is it not? 7 I'm talking about children with regressive 8 Α 9 autism. You limit your mechanism, for purposes of 10 0 11 this proceeding, to children with regressive autism. 12 Correct? 13 Α No, I don't limit it. I am discussing it in 14 the context of regressive autism. Whether the 15 mechanism is applicable in other conditions, I haven't 16 considered. 17 So your mechanism is not applicable solely 0 18 to regressive autism. I don't know. I haven't considered that. 19 Α Ι haven't considered it in the context of regressive 20 autism, and I have not considered it in other 21 22 contexts. 23 0 Then consider it now. Would it be 24 applicable equally to other kinds of autism, not just 25 regressive? Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4150 1 I don't know. I would need to consider А 2 that, based on the medical literature. I can't give 3 vou --Well, why did you consider it only with 0 4 respect to regressive autism? 5 Α Because the issues before this Court have to 6 do with possible and environmental postnatal effects 7 8 of certain agents, in one case, the measles vaccine 9 virus and, in other case, the mercury. When postnatal

10 effects are being considered, the disorders that 11 appear to be postnatal, such as regressive autism, 12 which seem to be the relevant disorders to consider in 13 the first instance.

Q But if your mechanism applies equally to all of the kinds of autism, then it certainly doesn't just explain away regressive as postnatal. It could be anything. Correct? It could be any kind of autism that your mechanism applies to.

19AI am not giving an opinion about whether or20not my mechanism applies to other kinds of autism.

21 Q So, at this point, you can't say that it is 22 limited only to regressive autism, your mechanism.

A I have not considered the universe of otherpossibilities for this mechanism.

25 Q Yet you would use it as part of a Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888 DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4151

differential diagnosis to determine whether or not autism occurred as a result of vaccination exposure. A I don't use a mechanism for a differential diagnosis.

5 Q That's how you came to your conclusion. 6 Your mechanism is how you came to the conclusion, at 7 the end of your report, that you would consider 8 vaccine exposure in the differential diagnosis of 9 autism.

I offered a medical reason 10 Α I did, indeed. 11 or mechanism, and then I said that, given that, among the potential triggers for neuroinflammation, are 12 13 viruses and heavy metals, viruses and heavy metals should be considered a differential diagnosis, which 14 would include, of course, any source of virus, such as 15 the measles vaccine virus, and any source of heavy 16 metal, such as thimerosal. 17

Q And so you concede that your postulate that you have would apply equally to other exposures, even if we were just to consider potential postnatal causes.

22 MR. POWERS: Excuse me, Special Masters. 23 I'm going to object to the extent that we're now 24 beyond surrebuttal. These are questions that go to 25 Dr. Kinsbourne's earlier direct testimony and could 26 Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4152 1 have been raised, and may even have been raised, on 2 cross, at this point. These are way outside of the scope of the rebuttal testimony of Dr. Kinsbourne this 3 morning. 4 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: 5 Mr. Matanoski? 6 7 MR. MATANOSKI: I'll withdraw the question. 8 I think he has answered this before, actually. Ι think it's in his report. I imagine it's clear to the 9 10 Court now that his mechanism is not specific to the 11 mercury vaccine. MR. POWERS: Again, I object to counsel, on 12 13 an examination of a witness, making arguments on the record to the Court here. I just raise the objection 14 15 that when counsel is directing questions to the witness, that they be questions to the witness and not 16 17 argument to the Masters. 18 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: So noted. 19 BY MR. MATANOSKI: 20 Doctor, you talked a lot about glutamate 0 21 excess. How do we get to that process of glutamate 22 excess from vaccines? Is it the inorganic mercury in 23 your causal mechanism? 24 Α Neuroinflammation involves a process that I have already explained in detail in my report and in 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4153 1 my direct testimony, which raises the discontrol of 2 glutamate by its normal regulatory mechanisms. 3 Therefore, whatever might cause neuroinflammation is apt also to cause glutamate 4 I pointed out the three categories, known 5 excess. categories, of agents that could cause 6 neuroinflammation. 7 8 One category would be viruses persisting in the body, the second would be heavy metals, and the 9 third would be neurodegenerative disorders. 10 11 Among that range of causations, vaccines could play a role in two respects: one, insofar as 12 13 delivering a virus which stays in the body of particular children, and the measles vaccine virus has 14 been shown to do so in some autistic children; and the 15 other, a vaccine that contains, or, at least, 16 contained, mercury as part of its chemical 17 18 constitution and, therefore, would be one of the 19 available vehicles for delivering mercury to the body and, therefore, to the brain. 20 And that would be in the form of inorganic 21 0 22 mercury, in your opinion. 23 А Well, it wouldn't enter the brain in that 24 form, but once in the brain, it would become 25 decomposed to that. Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4154 1 And this glutamate excess is built up 0 2 because of inorganic mercury in the brain. 3 Α One of the many possible causes of glutamate excess in the brain would be a triggering by the 4 effect of low levels of inorganic mercury. 5 And this glutamate excess is going to 6 0 7 exacerbate, or continue to increase, as the inorganic 8 mercury continues to increase. Correct? 9 Not necessarily. Α 10 Q Why not? 11 Α Why should it? 12 So you don't know why it should or 0 13 shouldn't. 14 You don't know why it should or Α No. shouldn't. I never made the claim that the glutamate 15 excess would necessarily become worse and worse, and I 16 pointed out today, in testimony that I did give as 17 18 opposed to this topic, which we didn't address today, 19 that regulatory mechanisms, which can also keep the 20 glutamate excess in check. So what causes those regulatory mechanisms 21 0 to fail? 22 23 Α I didn't testify that the regulatory 24 mechanisms failed. 25 If they are in check, there isn't excess 0 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4155

1 glutamate.

A No. That's not true. You have a certain amount of excess glutamate, but it's capped. It is precluded from becoming out of control by antiinflammatory cytokines and regulatory cells.

Q And after this initial impact on that
glutamate regulatory system by inorganic mercury,
subsequent amounts of inorganic mercury had no impact
on that regulatory system.

10 A I don't know whether it has no impact. It 11 might have some impact. It might have no impact in 12 some people than others. It might have less impact 13 over time and yet others. This is a level of 14 specificity which I can testify to and don't need to 15 establish my mechanism.

Q So you're willing to say that inorganic mercury will induce glutamate excess, but then, after it induces it, you have no idea what inorganic mercury might do after that.

A As long as it stays there, it will maintain the neuroinflammation. That is something I have an idea about, and I've just stated that idea. Whether that neuroinflammation will become worse, stay the same, or get better, I'm sure, varies from person to person.

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS

4156

Q And you have no way of determining that.

A You would have to show me the persons.

1

2

Q Well, what would you look for in a person to determine why there would be more glutamate excess in one person or another as a result of inorganic mercury?

7 A First of all, we have to show that there is 8 more. Secondly, I would then have to consider the 9 particular case where there are no conceivable 10 reasons. This goes way beyond my report and way 11 beyond my testimony.

Q So you just got us to some will do it, and you don't know what's going to happen after that. Some inorganic mercury will do it, create this excess, but you have no idea what's going to happen after that.

Again, I object. You were 17 MR. POWERS: 18 talking about a dose issue, and Dr. Kinsbourne, on 19 rebuttal testimony, wasn't talking about dose at all. 20 This was an issue that he raised on direct testimony. He was crossed and re-crossed on that issue, and now, 21 22 rather than rebuttal cross-examination, re-re-re-23 cross, and, again, I object because we're going way 24 outside his rebuttal testimony and his cross-25 examination.

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4157 1 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Mr. 2 Matanoski? 3 MR. MATANOSKI: Ma'am, I don't know why Dr. Kinsbourne is telling us about neuroinflammation, if 4 he can't tie it to the vaccine or the inorganic 5 6 mercury, and tell us what's going to happen with 7 respect to the inorganic mercury. He is only telling us that neuroinflammation, which, he admits, can be 8 9 caused all kinds of possible factors. 10 If he can't tie it to inorganic mercury and 11 explain how he is tying the neuroinflammation, the 12 inorganic mercury, to reach a conclusion, at the end 13 of his report, that you should consider thimerosalcontaining vaccine, it is impossible --14 15 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: This is not 16 argument. SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: 17 No. 18 MR. MATANOSKI: Well, I was trying to 19 explain why he thought --20 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: -- the guestioning. I think he has limited his answer. He has delimited 21 22 his answer with he hasn't considered, or he is 23 uncertain, and needed to examine the individual. So 24 perhaps we can move to other lines of questioning. 25 MR. MATANOSKI: Yes, ma'am.

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4158 1 BY MR. MATANOSKI: 2 How much glutamate excess needs to be built 0 3 up before you get the excitatory effect that you are postulating? 4 No one that I know of has quantitated that. 5 Α So that's not capable of being tested. 6 0

I think it is capable, ultimately. 7 Α No. In 8 fact, I think that magnetic-resonance-spectroscopy 9 methods are either currently available or will be very soon available to actually see whether, in the brain, 10 11 there is microbial activation; whether, in the brain, 12 there is inorganic mercury. This is really a good 13 range, and, within a short time, we'll know whether it's right or wrong. 14

15 Q My question was the amount of glutamate, not 16 neuroglial activation.

17 A No. I understand your question, sir. This 18 is a question that I can't answer, and I don't believe 19 Respondent witnesses could either.

Q So is there a way of measuring how much glutamate will be needed, excess glutamate, to create the excitatory effect that you're postulating and trying to defend here?

A To my knowledge, that cannot be measured in humans, in living humans. There are in vitro models Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4159 1 in which it can potentially be measured. 2 Do you have any idea what the measurement of 0 3 excess glutamate would be before it becomes excitotoxic? 4 I offer no quantitative opinions, no. 5 Α 6 Ο Can the glutamate excess that you're 7 postulating manifest in the overexcitation in the 8 period of a day? 9 I based my testimony on medical literature, Α 10 and I'm unaware of any medical literature that 11 addresses that question. Could it remain latent for years? 12 0 13 Α Could it do what? Could this process of glutamate excess 14 0 15 remain latent for years without it manifesting itself in clinical symptoms? 16 I know of no literature which puts a 17 Α 18 timeframe on this. 19 You cited the Purcell paper, which was PML 0 20 567, I believe -- maybe that was "67" -- that paper didn't deal with regressive autism, did it? 21 Not 22 exclusively with regressive autism, did it? 23 Α That's correct. It didn't. 24 Q It was 567. In that paper, the authors -this is a portion that you did not cite or discuss --25 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4160 1 didn't the authors state, and this would be on page 9 2 of 567, "As we are examining postmortem samples long 3 after one set of the disorder, it is more likely that 4 we are identifying secondary consequences of the disorder." Isn't that right? 5 6 It probably is, but could you refer me to Α where it says that? 7 Under "Discussion." 8 0 9 Α Yes. 10 Q It's up on your screen now, too. 11 Α Okay. 12 So those authors are saying these are 0 13 secondary effects, not causative ones. Correct? 14 Α In this particular sentence, they are saying that, yes. 15 They are discussing their article. 16 0 Correct. 17 Α 18 Q Now, you mentioned the article by Dr. 19 Casanova. You called it an "important article" in your testimony just this morning. 20 21 Α Yes. 22 Q Now, he proposes a deficit of inhibition, 23 not an excitation. 24 Α Correct. 25 That's not what you're postulating. You're 0 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4161 1 postulating an overexcitation, not an inhibition. 2 Correct? 3 Α I am postulating a change in the excitationinhibition balance in favor of excitation. 4 5 Actually, sir, you were saying it's Q glutamate excess. 6 7 Α Yes. 8 Ο That's only one side of the balance. 9 Α Correct. In other words, the balance is skewed in the direction of excitation. 10 11 Q Because of glutamate excess. 12 Α What's that? 13 Q Because of glutamate excess in your postulate. 14 That's correct. 15 Α How does Dr. Casanova propose that the 16 0 deficit of inhibition occurs in his article? 17 18 А He is arguing that there is a problem with 19 inhibitory interneurons. So this inhibition, this deficit of 20 0 inhibition, is actually a function of brain 21 development. Isn't that right? 22 23 Α Not necessarily. 24 Isn't that what he postulates in his Q article? 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

Case 1:03-vv-00584-MBH Document 123 Filed 10/23/08 Page 63 of 275

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4162 1 As we have discussed, the question of brain А 2 development is an issue which is postnatal as well as 3 prenatal. In his article, doesn't Dr. Casanova 4 0 postulate or say that he believes that it occurs in 5 the prenatal period? 6 You may be right, but I don't want to rely 7 Α 8 upon that. Can I refer to the article? 9 Certainly. Well, would you accept that he Ο does and that, in fact, he says that it's in the first 10 11 trimester? I think that's perfectly possible. 12 Α However 13 Aren't minicolumns formed in the first --14 0 15 Α Let me explain the relevance of Dr. Casanova's statement to my theory. I was not 16 referring to his article necessarily as corroborating, 17 18 or even being pertinent, to my proposals as to the 19 origin of the new information and the autism. 20 I was pointing to his article in response to the criticism that the overactivation-overarousal 21 22 theory is outdated and not to be considered. He was 23 considering it very seriously. That was the point 24 about his article that I was presenting to the Court. 25 But his mechanism is one that's prenatal in 0 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4163 1 origin. 2 Α His and some other people's, too. Dr. 3 Zimmerman has also taken that position, absolutely. 4 0 Now, your attention was drawn to the Courchesne article. You cited that in your report. 5 That would be Petitioners' Master Reference No. 104. 6 7 In your report, and today, you cite this 8 article for the propositions for your support for your postulate of neuroinflammation, as part of your 9 10 causative mechanism. In your report, you cited that 11 part of the article that dealt with neuroinflammation. 12 However, you omitted the other factors that the 13 Courchesne authors were looking at as possible causes, didn't you? 14 15 А You have to show me the report and the other I don't have my report before me. 16 factors. Perhaps 17 you can refer me to the statement. 18 Q Here is the article. I quess we've already 19 highlighted the sections that are involved. 20 You cited the last part of this, 21 "Compensatory neurogenesis during a prenatal or 22 postnatal life that is triggered by adverse events 23 such as those that ignite the neuroinflammatory

24 reactions reported by Vargas, et al."
25 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Can we identify
Heritage Reporting Corporation
(202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4164 1 that number for the record? 2 MR. MATANOSKI: It's page 8, going onto page 3 9, sir. SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Thank you. 4 BY MR. MATANOSKI: 5 Prior to that, they listed two other 6 Ο 7 possible mechanisms here to explain their findings. 8 Didn't they say, prior to that, that the possibilities 9 also included a failure to correctly regulate the 10 number of neurons produced during the neurogenesis 11 stage of prenatal development in autism, and, as 12 another possibility, a deficit or delay in apoptosis 13 so that too many survive into postnatal life? I would like to make it clear, again, that 14 Α 15 I'm not presenting a discovery as to what impact is the true and scientific cause of autism. 16 I'm presenting one of a number of medically reasonable 17 18 possibilities. 19 I'll make it clear again that there are 20 other reasonable medical possibilities, and the 21 responsible articles mention those. I'm not arguing 22 that my proposal is better or worse, and certainly not 23 that my proposal excludes other interpretations. Of 24 course, it does not. Your attention was drawn to Petitioner's 25 0 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4165 1 Master List No. 274. You described that as an article 2 of some interest. Is that article and the discussion 3 therein limited to regressive autism? Α I'm sorry. What are you referring to here? 4 You had just discussed, in your direct 5 0 testimony this morning, Petitioner's Master List No. 6 274, which you described as an article of some 7 8 interest, and I was just asking you if that article was limited to a discussion of regressive autism. 9 I don't have the -- Casanova did this. 10 Α 11 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Yes. THE WITNESS: No, not specifically. 12 13 BY MR. MATANOSKI: In defending your reliance on an overarousal 14 0 model, you did mention, this morning, your list 15 article, which you actually cite in your report. 16 Α 17 Right. 18 Ο Your list article came out in 2006, and you 19 describe overfocusing in that article. Is that overarousal? 20 I think overfocusing is caused by 21 Α 22 overarousal, yes, but that article was not a 23 neurobiological article; it was a behavioral article. 24 Q And you didn't mention, in that article, that glutamate is a model of overflow --25 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4166 1 No, because it was not a neurobiological А 2 article; it was a behavioral article. 3 0 Now, you seemed to, in your testimony this morning, be making it clear that you're not 4 5 necessarily saying that it's astrocyte death that's occurring. Is that right? Would that be a fair 6 7 representation of what you were telling us this 8 morning? 9 I would like to reword it. It's not that Α 10 I'm not necessarily saying it; I'm not saying it. My 11 model does not postulate astrocytic death as being an 12 essential component, no. 13 0 In your testimony in Cedillo, you postulated the same mechanism and described it as one of 14 15 astrocyte death. Correct? You would have to show me that. I cannot 16 Α 17 remember that at all. 18 0 You can't remember. And, in Snyder, the 19 case that you testified last fall with the same 20 mechanism, you described astrocyte death occurring. Is that right? 21 22 Α I don't know because you would have to show 23 me what I said. Obviously, I don't remember the words 24 I used. 25 And in support for your proposition, in both 0 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4167 1 your report and in your discussion this morning, you 2 referred to the Aschner article. 570, I think, was 3 one that you put up, and there is also 568-P for this Petitioners' Master List References 568 and 570 as 4 support for your model of glutamate excess. 5 6 Let me just turn to that quickly. In Dr. 7 Aschner's discussion of this, as he describes it, an 8 "excitotoxic model," doesn't he describe this process in Petitioners' Master List No. 568 as a "vicious, 9 10 amplifying cycle of neurotoxic cascade"? 11 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: And counsel is referring specifically to --12 13 MR. MATANOSKI: -- page 5 of --SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: -- PML 568. 14 Yes, ma'am. 15 MR. MATANOSKI: SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: 16 Thank you. 17 THE WITNESS: Where on page 5 should I look? 18 MR. MATANOSKI: It's actually up on your 19 screen, sir. Doctor, if you would like to, it's right up on the screen, so it might be easier for you. 20 21 THE WITNESS: Right. I can see the words. 22 BY MR. MATANOSKI: 23 0 And, in 570, that you were discussing this 24 morning, page 2, the same page you were on, if you went down the paragraph a little bit further from 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4168 1 where your attention was directed, to the very end of 2 that same paragraph, second column -- if we can bring 3 that up -- perhaps we can't. 4 Do you still have 570 from where you were discussing it this morning? 5 6 Α 570. Is that in front of you, Doctor? 7 0 8 Α Yes, it is. 9 Page 2. Right above the paragraph that 0 begins with the bold, "Role of --" could I draw you 10 11 attention to that? The paragraph that begins with what? 12 Α 13 0 The paragraph that immediately precedes the bolded part that says, "The Role of Reactive --" 14 15 Α Yes, okay. Your attention was drawn, this morning, to 16 0 some discussion in the text a little bit before that 17 18 that talked about astrocytic glutamate uptake being 19 inhibited, and you were using that as support for a 20 proposition of not necessarily astrocyte death but just an inhibition in the function of the astrocytes 21 22 could result in this glutamate imbalance. 23 Α That's correct. 24 Q If you could carry that discussion down from Dr. Aschner to the end, doesn't he conclude that it 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4169 1 sets in motion an unimpeded cytotoxic cycle? 2 THE WITNESS: The exact phrasing, if we can 3 qo back to it -- can we go back to it? MR. MATANOSKI: It's right there in the 4 document that you were looking at. 5 6 THE WITNESS: My screen is blank, 7 unfortunately. 8 MR. MATANOSKI: It was page 2 of the document that you had in front of you. 9 10 THE WITNESS: Okay. 11 The same paragraph you were MR. MATANOSKI: reading from, Doctor. It's now up on your screen. 12 BY MR. MATANOSKI: 13 My question to you was, if you carried the 14 0 15 discussion on past where you were relying on this as a proposition that inhibition, without necessarily 16 astrocytic death, can lead to qlutamate excess, don't 17 18 the authors here, Dr. Aschner, in particular, say that 19 it sets in motion an unimpeded cytotoxic cycle? There was a statement about if it 20 Α Yes. became synchronous, and I'm trying to find that 21 22 statement again. You showed it to me earlier. I 23 don't see it now, but the point is that, indeed, the 24 end point is potentially a cytotoxic death, indeed, but, as I pointed out, in the living brain, there are 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4170 1 regulatory mechanisms that could preclude that cycle 2 from coming out of control in this fashion. And in your report, in further discussing 3 0 the role of astrocytes and trying to tie it into 4 mercury, you discussed the Charleston article, and, in 5 that article -- that's PML 116 -- and on page 10 of 6 7 your report, you state that the astrocyte population -8 - you describe that article as standing for the proposition that the astrocyte population in the brain 9 decreased significantly. 10 11 Α Yes, it did. 12 But, in Vargas, which you described this 0 13 morning and talked about, PML 69, the authors did not find any astrocyte loss. Is that right? 14 15 Α That's correct. And that was true in all of the autopsy 16 0 samples of all of the autism patients they looked at. 17 18 Isn't that right? 19 Α That is correct. 20 Both regressive and nonregressive. 0 21 Α Right. And, in Lopez-Hurtado, PML 446, another 22 Q 23 autopsy study in autistic individuals, they reported 24 no astrocyte loss. Isn't that correct? 25 That's correct. And the Charleston people Α Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4171 1 also didn't report any at 12 months and at 18 months, 2 only at six months. 3 0 So then, in those articles, they actually recovered, and it was not a lasting impact. 4 5 Α Either it recovered, or there was a compensatory proliferation of astrocytes, and, in 6 fact, in Charleston, people point out that toxic 7 8 insults often do cause a reactive proliferation of 9 astrocytes. 10 Q In compensation. 11 Α Yeah. 12 So the astrocytes are available, then --0 13 Α Right. -- to mop up the excess glutamate. 14 0 15 Α I think that may or may not be an outcome of They don't talk about that. 16 that. But the astrocytes would be available. 17 Q 18 Α Would be available? 19 Yes. You discussed Dr. Pardo's article, Q 20 which is PML 72. You would agree that Dr. Pardo is in the best position to interpret the significance of his 21 22 own work, don't you? 23 Α I think that's true of Dr. Pardo, I'm sure, 24 yes. 25 I'm sorry? 0 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888
DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4172

1 Yes, of course, yeah. Α 2 And your opinion that mercury from a vaccine 0 3 is a potential cause of autism was only formed in the last few months. Correct? 4 It's true that I've only studied this 5 Α seriously in the last few months, yes. 6 7 0 And you only came to that opinion in the last few months. 8 9 I have been aware since I first began to Α 10 study for this cycle of cases that one of the causes 11 of neuroinflammation is heavy metals, and I believe I 12 mentioned that in previous reports, but I haven't paid 13 serious consideration to the issue specifically of mercury until recently. 14 When I asked you that question in November 15 Ο in Snyder, you indicated to me that you had not formed 16 a conclusion at that point. 17 18 Α That's true. 19 And this postulate that you've laid out 0 before the Court; you've never presented that for 20 publication or peer review. Correct? 21 22 Α I only came to this conclusion quite 23 recently. 24 Thank you. I have no MR. MATANOSKI: 25 further questions. Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4173 1 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you. 2 Anything further from Petitioners' counsel? 3 MR. POWERS: No, Special Master. Nothing further. 4 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: 5 Any 6 questions from my colleagues? 7 (No response.) 8 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Okay. Ιt looks like it's about ten-forty. I do see that Dr. 9 Mumper has arrived, but I understood, from our earlier 10 11 off-the-record discussions that -- apparently, it's 12 ten-forty-seven. My computer is now connected up to 13 the chronometer I've just been handed. But my question is, did counsel want to 14 15 prepare for a brief break to address the item that counsel had indicated that they wanted to take up off 16 the record? 17 18 MR. MATANOSKI: I'm sorry, ma'am. Are you 19 proposing that we take that up right now or take the break and then take it up, or during the break? 20 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: We take a 21 22 break so that we can take up the item before we get to 23 Dr. Mumper's testimony. 24 MR. MATANOSKI: That sounds fine, Your 25 Honor.

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4174 1 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: I suggest we 2 take it up so that we have some opportunity to think 3 about it, have a little bit of a break, and resolve, 4 if there is anything that needs to be resolved, and then proceed into Dr. Mumper's testimony. 5 6 MR. POWERS: Thank you. 7 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Okav. Let's 8 see, if we're here, my thought would be just about a 15-minute break for the mid-morning break, which would 9 10 put us roughly 11 at -- I'm shortchanging you a couple of minutes, but roughly at 11, or we'll say five after so we can have 12 13 our brief conversation here. 14 MR. MATANOSKI: Thank you, ma'am. 15 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Okav. We are in a brief recess. 16 Thanks. 17 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) 18 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Please be 19 seated. We are back on the record, and I understand that, based on our off-the-record discussion, whatever 20 concerns Respondent had pertaining to the videotaped 21 22 testimony that will accompany Dr. Mumper's testimony, 23 there is no objection but a reservation by Respondent, 24 as I understand, to counter with video as necessary. Does that accurately reflect your position? 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

DR. KINSBOURNE, MD - CROSS 4175 1 That's correct, ma'am. MR. MATANOSKI: 2 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you. 3 To proceed, Petitioners' counsel, just let me draw to your attention, Dr. Mumper, that you will continue 4 under the same oath that was administered and you took 5 earlier in the proceeding. 6 Thank you. 7 Whereupon, 8 ELIZABETH MUMPER, M.D. having been previously sworn, was recalled 9 as a witness herein and was examined and testified 10 11 further as follows: 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. POWERS: Good morning, Dr. Mumper. 14 0 15 Α Good morning. We, obviously, have been in this position 16 0 before earlier when you gave direct testimony, but, to 17 18 make it clear on the record here, my name is Tom 19 Powers, along with Mr. Williams. 20 We represent the King and Mead families, as well as the Petitioners' Steering Committee, and we 21 22 have you on the witness stand today to respond to 23 specific testimony that was offered on direct 24 testimony by the Respondent's experts. Is that your 25 understanding of why you're on the stand today? Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. MUMPER, MD - DIRECT 4176 1 Yes, sir, I understand. Α 2 0 Did you have opportunity to listen to the direct testimony and cross-examination of Dr. Rust? 3 Yes, I did. I listened to the audio and 4 Α took 40 pages of notes. 5 6 And when you say you listened to the audio, Ο 7 did you listen to the live version, the audio 8 download, or some of both? 9 Α Some of both. 10 Q Did you listen to the entirety of his 11 testimony? Yes, I believe I did. 12 Α 13 0 I want to go through some of the issues that Dr. Rust specifically raised as they apply to William 14 15 Mead and Jordan King. Obviously, Dr. Rust covered a lot of ground, but we're going to focus on the case-16 17 specific testimony of Dr. Rust. Again, is that your 18 understanding of what your testimony today is directed 19 to? 20 Α Yes, sir. Now, do you recall some of his testimony 21 Q 22 about Rett's syndrome? 23 Α Yes. I recall quite a bit of testimony on 24 Rett's. 25 And as it applies to these cases, that 0 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4177

testimony about Rett's syndrome, do you have a response to that for the Special Masters to explain how you think that testimony fit or didn't fit with his analysis of the two cases?

5 A Well, I had thought that he was on 6 elaborating on Rett's syndrome a lot in order to lay 7 some groundwork and then make some type of 8 extrapolation or determination as specific to these 9 two cases, and, as time went on, I had the same 10 question in my mind that Special Master Hastings did, 11 in terms of where it was going.

Rett's syndrome is a very well-described 12 13 syndrome that, the vast majority of the time, occurs in girls, and the genetics of it have been identified, 14 15 in that we know actually the MECP-2 gene is involved, and, at some point, Dr. Rust seemed to be making the 16 17 extrapolation that Rett's syndrome has a lot of 18 autistic-type features, and we know the genetics of 19 that, and, therefore, we can extrapolate that other versions of autism may well be genetic, and, you know, 20 we still need to determine the genetics. 21

No doubt that that is true, to some extent, but to spend so much time on a disorder that is so fundamentally different from the way these two boys presented was very puzzling to me. He did make the

point that Rett's is now being described in some boys, which I actually find intriguing from the standpoint of it opening the door to certain environmental causes because if boys typically do not get Rett's, one wonders what it is about those boys that they are now being identified, even though they are in the vast, vast, vast majority.

8 We do know, from work that Jill James has done and other people who work in oxidative stress 9 10 literature that there are more challenges for boys in 11 terms of handling environmental toxins, specifically with regard to the role of glutathione because, in 12 13 general, females tend to have better preserved glutathione, and boys are at higher risk because of 14 their relatively lower levels of glutathione. 15

So the Rett's issue, to me, was a lot of 16 time spent on a disorder that is not really relevant 17 18 to these two particular boys, and I understood that 19 what I was supposed to do when I reviewed the records was to look at case-specific analyses, given the 20 information from the medical records and the videos 21 22 about these two particular boys, and try to generate 23 some hypothesis about factors in their case that might 24 be more specific to them as individuals.

25

Q Was there anything about Rett's syndrome Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. MUMPER, MD - DIRECT 4179 1 that was informative to your opinions on individual 2 causation in either of these cases? 3 Α No. 0 There was also discussion by Dr. Rust about 4 the possible that William Mead had a trajectory of 5 head size or head circumference that reflected a 6 pathological and congenital cause of autism. 7 Do you 8 recall that testimony? 9 Α I do. 10 Q What is your response to the testimony that 11 Dr. Rust offered? Well, I think the point that he was trying 12 Α 13 to make was that William initially had a relatively low- sized head circumference, and then he showed an 14 15 increase in his head trajectory with a subsequent decline. 16 17 The thing that seems inconsistent to me is 18 the fact that his newborn's head circumference was 19 well in proportion to his body length and weight and 20 was in around the 80 to 85th percentile at the time of birth. 21 22 Now, on -- I believe it was cross-23 examination, that Dr. Rust said that, Well, perhaps 24 that measurement was not very accurate because, you 25 know, the child had just been through birth trauma,

DR. MUMPER, MD - DIRECT 4180 and maybe he had bruising on his head. So I went back to the medical records to see if, in William Mead's specific case, I could find any evidence of that, and I found three different

5 references.

1

2

3

4

25

One is Exhibit 1, page 00031, which is an
intake form with a physical exam in which it says,
"Healthy male newborn and head and neck normal."

9 The other was a nursery record from Providence St. Vincent titled "Newborn Nursery 10 11 Admission Assessment," and, Scott, I'm sorry, but my 12 copy does not have an exhibit number, but I can turn 13 it over to the Court where it says, "Head and face symmetrical, normal," and the skin says "normal," and 14 15 there are opportunities there to check off a box for either bruising or petechiae, and that is not checked. 16

So whereas I can accept, in concept, Dr. 17 18 Rust's observation that, in certain cases, the newborn 19 head circumference might not be reliable, if there is 20 significant trauma. Again, I don't think that we can just speculate about cases that he has known of. 21 Ι think we have to look specifically at the child he was 22 23 talking about, and I do not find any evidence in the 24 medical record that substantiates that claim.

> Q And is it your testimony that if that claim Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 was, in fact, true, there would have been ample 2 opportunity in the medical record to reflect that? 3 Α I believe that is true. And a reasonable physician would have made 0 4 note of something that was abnormal as Dr. Rust 5 described. 6 Typically, you will see physicians 7 Α 8 documenting things like cephalhematoma if there is bleeding that's causing a large bruise on the brain 9 that might interfere with the head circumference 10 11 measurements. I know that it's certainly my practice 12 to do that. 13 There is another thing called a "caput succedaneum" that is another term that physicians 14 15 could document on their initial newborn physical examination. Neither one of those notations appears 16 17 in the records, to the best of my observations of the 18 records. 19 Do you also recall testimony by Dr. Rust 0 20 that he believes that both Jordan and William were not normal in their development prior to regression? Do 21 22 you recall that testimony? 23 Α Yes. 24 Q Do you recall Dr. Rust being able to cite to any specific piece of evidence in the medical record 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 in support of that contention?

A No. I did not see him point to anything specifically. He talked in terms of generalities, and I was actually struck by the fact that he seemed somewhat confused about the cases as he was testifying.

For example, one striking thing for me, when I reviewed William Mead's videos, is that his sister, Eleanor, appears in virtually all of the videos, and Dr. Rust was not able to remember that William had a sister.

So I would submit for the Court that I had paid some close observation to that fact and that it concerned me about the level of Dr. Rust's scrutiny, that he was unable to recall that, for example.

Q So it's your understanding, from listening to his testimony, that he was not able to identify anything in the videos with particularity, but also nothing in the medical records with particularity. Correct?

A I do not recall him saying anything specific. It's been a week since I listened, and I am open to a point where he may have said something, but I honestly do not recall it right now.

25 Q Now, Dr. Rust did describe the importance of Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4183

1 talking to the parents and getting a good parent 2 history as part of making any assessment of autism and 3 the onset of autism. Do you recall that testimony? Α Yes. He was describing his practice in his 4 own clinic at the University of Virginia in 5 Charlottesville, and he went so far as to describe 6 setting aside extra time at the end of the day so that 7 8 he would have time to get a careful history. 9 What was not clear to me was how much of 10 that history was actually performed by him versus 11 taken by his residents, which is a very typical 12 practice at most universities, including that 13 university where I had some many years of experience. In your clinical practice -- let me back up 14 0 a little bit. 15 Do you agree, in principle, that a thorough 16 parental history and thorough information from the 17 18 parents; is that important information you have when 19 diagnosing and treating autism? 20 I think the history from the parents Α Yes.

is probably the most crucial piece of information in putting together a picture of the entire child, not just with respect to his autistic symptoms but also with respect to his other medical problems. So I think it's absolutely very important.

4184

1 In your practice, are you the physician that 0 2 actually conducts that interview and collects that information? 3 Α That is correct. 4 And that's your standard practice. 5 0 Α That's correct. 6 In listening to Dr. Rust's description of 7 0 8 the development of Jordan King and William Mead, do you recall whether he ever heard the parents' history 9 10 at any point? 11 Α I believe that he testified that he had not 12 been here when they testified, that he had not 13 listened to the audio transcripts of their testimony, and that he had not read the written transcripts of 14 15 their testimony. And it's your testimony, is it, that having 16 0 information is, again, critical to assessing 17 that 18 both the diagnosis but also the timing of onset of an autistic disorder? 19 20 I believe that to be very important, yes. Α There was a significant part of Dr. Rust's 21 0 22 testimony that critiqued the care and treatment that 23 Jordan King and William Mead got. Do you recall that 24 testimony? 25 Α I do. Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

Q And this was testimony that specifically took issue with some of the treating pediatricians', Dr. John Green's, medical intervention, as well as a general critique of the type of interventions that you do at ARI. Is that correct?

A That is correct.

6

Q Could you describe your response, just in general, to that critique, including the critique that, in large part, these interventions are not science based?

11 Α I was disappointed with the way Dr. Rust 12 handled that line of questioning because these are 13 issues that I have studied in some detail in an effort to try to figure out how to help these children, and 14 it seemed to me that he dismissed various 15 interventions almost out of hand, saying things like, 16 "There is no evidence that IVIG helps children with 17 18 autism," and stating that as if it were a fact.

19 In reality, there is published science about 20 that very fact, and so I think that if you want to 21 state something like that, you should be more specific 22 and say, "IVIG only helps certain children with 23 autism," for example. So that's one objection I had. 24 The second objection I had is that he tended 25 to go down this laundry list as if Dr. Green was

trying to cure autism with these different interventions and almost as if he would try one thing and then move to something else if the first thing didn't work.

5 It's a crucial distinction here to realize 6 that John Green was taking care of his whole patient, 7 and he was addressing the specific medical problems of 8 the child. So it wasn't that he was moving from one 9 supplement to another to another, hoping that 10 eventually he would hit on something that would cure 11 his autism.

He was following, I think, a very rational approach, given what he knew about the child and the interventions he had available to him, and looking at the risk/benefit ratios of those interventions with respect to the biochemical and the underlying medical problems that the child had.

For example, the most egregious example from Dr. Rust was when he was asked about valtrex, and he said something along the lines, and I'm paraphrasing here, I don't have any idea why that would be helpful in autism. That's used for genital herpes.

23 Well, I would like to demonstrate later that 24 there is a very well-established biochemical reason 25 that John Green would have considered that and that it

Case 1:03-vv-00584-MBH Document 123 Filed 10/23/08 Page 88 of 275

DR. MUMPER, MD - DIRECT

4187

has nothing to do with treating genital herpes in, you
 know, a two- or three-year-old little boy.

Then the third thing I would just like to say is that if Dr. Rust, you know, didn't know why we would use some of these things -- for example, he said that he has never heard of eskimo oil, so he doesn't understand why that would be helpful.

8 We live in the Internet age, and he can Google it and, within just a few moments, find out 9 10 that that's a type of omega-3 essential fatty acids, 11 and then, if you look at the literature on omega-3 12 essential fatty acids, there is a broad amount of 13 information in the literature that documents the value for that for immune regulation and for being able to 14 15 help cell-to-cell communication and to help heal the lining of the intestine. 16

17 So, in general, that describes some of the 18 issues I had with the way he handled that line of 19 questioning.

20 Q You mentioned specifically that Dr. Rust 21 characterized some of Dr. Green's interventions as 22 attempts to cure autism. You described, generally, it 23 was to address the whole patient.

24 What do you believe were some of the 25 underlying medical conditions that William Mead and Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

Jordan King had that were being addressed by the therapies that Dr. Green recommended and that you used in your own practice, again, from the perspective of the ones that are being used to cure autism but to treat the whole patient?

Α Right. They both had evidence of chronic 6 7 diarrhea and, I think, subtle signs of abdominal pain, 8 and when we get a history from the parent that they have ongoing chronic diarrhea for as much as a year, I 9 think we need to take that seriously and not just 10 11 write it off to toddler's diarrhea in a child who is 12 losing function and deteriorating before our very 13 eyes.

14 Q So whether it's curing autism or not, it's 15 to treat a significant medical condition, which is the 16 chronic diarrhea.

A Right. And another thing that John Green was trying to address were abnormalities in methylation and transsulfuration biochemistry, and that was the underlying reason that he would choose things like certain B vitamins or methylcobalamin injections or folinic acid.

By examining the record, one can, at least, get reports back from the parents that the child seemed to improve when those interventions were

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 undertaken.

2 When I had the opportunity to listen to 3 Mylinda King's testimony here, and then also further interview her, she says that, even now, if she takes 4 away his methylcobalamin injections or misses a day, 5 she sees deterioration in his performance. 6 7 So I think that for the Special Masters to 8 understand that it was Dr. Green's perspective in trying to treat those methylation abnormalities that 9 would make his choices seem more reasoned and more 10 11 rational. SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Pardon me. 12 13 Can we just take a moment and everyone check your electronic devices? I was handed a note that there is 14 a little feedback coming through the system. 15 THE WITNESS: Special Master, I'm wondering 16 -- I have two mikes in front of me. Do I need both of 17 18 them, or is this the live one? 19 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Yes. You need both. You need to keep a little bit of a 20 21 distance. Okay. To proceed. Thank you. 22 BY MR. POWERS: 23 So, Dr. Mumper, you just mentioned this idea 0 24 of the methylation cycle. Do you recall Dr. Rust, again, describing some of these interventions as 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. MUMPER, MD - DIRECT 4190 1 having no basis in science? 2 Α Yes. 3 MR. POWERS: I would like to put up on the screen, and, unfortunately, we don't have copies right 4 now, but we'll have copies over the break, three 5 slides that you prepared and brought with you today. 6 7 Dr Mumper: Tom, I have a set of copies, if 8 that would be helpful. 9 MR. POWERS: If Respondent would like to take a look at -- it's not marked up, is it? 10 11 Dr. Mumper: No. 12 Okay. MR. POWERS: 13 Dr. Mumper: Well, if it's on the screen, I quess it's --14 If it's on the screen, I think 15 MR. POWERS: we can look at the screen, and, Counsel, we'll have 16 17 copies for you on the break. 18 I should stop for a second. This would be 19 Petitioners' Trial Exhibit 13? -- 14, I'm sorry. 20 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: It's going to be the 21 three-slide component from Dr. Mumper. 22 MR. POWERS: Yes. That's correct. So it will be page 1, page 2, and page 3 of Exhibit 14. 23 24 (The document referred to was marked for identification as 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. MUMPER, MD - DIRECT 4191 1 Petitioners' Exhibit No. 14.) 2 BY MR. POWERS: 3 So, Dr. Mumper, can you describe for the 0 Special Masters what you have there as page 1 of 4 Exhibit 14 on the slide? 5 This is the methylation and 6 А Yes. 7 transsulfurations biochemistry that Dr. Deth talked so 8 much about, and this is the way that we teach it to 9 doctors who are learning how to take care of children with autism. 10 11 Let me interrupt you for just a second. Q Now, did Dr. Deth actually prepare this chart, or is 12 13 this something that somebody else prepared, or is it adapted from Dr. Deth? 14 This is Dr. Jill Janes' slide, and then, 15 Α several place on the third of these, I have made some 16 notations about treatments that she did not put in but 17 18 that are my notations, and I'll be sure to clarify 19 those. 20 I just wanted to make it clear that Ο Okay. this is not something taken from Dr. Deth's testimony 21 so that the record here is clear that this is 22 23 something that was prepared for you in your clinical 24 work. Correct? 25 That's correct. Α Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

4192

1 I'm sorry for interrupting, but go 0 Okay. 2 ahead and explain again what this slide is. 3 Α Basically, this is just showing, number one, is the folate cycle, and the five 4 methyltetrahydrofolate at the bottom is converted up 5 to tetrahydrofolate at the top, which is the active 6 7 form of folate that's utilized in the body to support 8 methylation reactions. 9 And that's the part of the diagram with a 0 box that has the number one in it. 10 11 Α That's correct. 12 0 Okay. I was just going to say that the "MS" in the 13 Α little green box there is methionine synthase, which 14 is the enzyme that Dr. Deth discussed that has that 15 crucial role for helping make that conversion. 16 Now, let's move on to the portion of 17 0 Okav. 18 this slide that has a box with the number two in it. What is that? 19 20 That is the methylation cycle where Α 21 homocysteine is remethylated back up to make 22 methionine. Methionine is a very important, essential 23 amino acid, and it is converted to sand, which is 24 S-odenosylmethionine. 25 That is the major methyl donor in the body, Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

and so that allows you to assess methylation potential, and one of the values that's been very important for us to assess, as a result of Dr. James' work, is the SAM/SAH ratio and the fact that the proper balance of that needs to happen in order for methylation to continue properly. So this slide is simply setting the stage for a normal cycle.

8 Q And then the portion of the slide that has 9 number three in a box next to it --

10 Α -- is the transsulfuration cycle, and this 11 process by which homocysteine is converted to reduced qlutathione, which is the important kind, as opposed 12 13 to oxidized glutathione, is what is labeled here as "anti-oxidant potential," and a lot of our 14 15 interventions are designed to try to help the child make more reduced glutathione. So this is normal 16 17 methylation and transsulfuration biochemistry with the 18 folate cycle.

I might mention that this process is so important to nature that it's built in a couple of redundant mechanisms, the remethylation mechanism as well as the folate cycle, in order to supply methyl groups to make methylation happen.

Q So let's go to page 2 of Exhibit 14.
 A This is also from Dr. Jill James, "The Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4194

Effect of Oxidative Stress on Methionine Transsulfuration," and, basically, what this shows is the same cycle that I've shown you but with an idea of what happens to this very crucial cycle when children are under oxidative stress.

For example, they, therefore, are not able 6 to remethylate their homocysteine, and they end up 7 8 with lower levels of methionine to start. That leaves them with lower S-odenosylmethionine, and as these 9 10 methyl transferase enzymes are trying to enable the 11 child to methylate their DNA, their RNA, make proteins, make membrane phospholipids, make creatine, 12 13 which is the power currency of the cell, and make neurotransmitter. If all of those reactions are 14 inhibited, it's going to be very difficult for 15 children to turn their genes on and off. 16

One of the things that I did find intriguing about Dr. Rust's testimony is that he talked about how methylation is such an important process and how being able to methylate genes is gene regulation in action.

We have a lot of concerns about that because we are concerned about the epigenetic effects of any toxin or environmental factor that would impact on the cellular biochemistry.

25 Q Okay.

4195

1 And then, ultimately, at the end, I just А 2 wanted to point out that the glutathione in the 3 reduced form, which is the good guy, is down, and the glutathione in the oxidized version is up and that 4 cysteine is depleted in this model. 5 And, again, to make it clear, your 6 0 discussion here is not based on your personal 7 8 expertise as a biochemist, is it? 9 Α No. 10 Q It's not based on any expertise or original 11 research you've done as a molecular biologist. Α This is based on my initial reading of 12 No. 13 the Jill James work, my sheer honor to get to work with her on some research projects, my having heard 14 15 her explain the cycle in lectures that we mutually attended probably 10 to 20 times, and the way that I 16 use it to impact on my clinical practice and the 17 18 teaching of the doctors that we teach. 19 0 So let's talk about that final point, I quess, on Slide 3. Can you describe for the Special 20 Masters what this chart represents? 21 22 Α In this chart, and I have added some 23 notations of my own here, I have tried to look at the 24 interventions that physicians like John Green and I use to try to help these children, and to put it into 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

context into this crucial pathway so that it becomes
 clear that we are trying to fit the intervention to
 the scientific profile, the metabolic profile, of the
 child.

5 Q I want to direct your attention to a 6 particular portion of this slide, then.

7 A Okay.

8 Q To the left, there is a note that you added 9 that says, "M-B12 methylcobalamin."

10 A Right.

11 Q Can you describe for the Special Masters the 12 significance of that notation that you made?

A Yes. One of the theoretic interventions that one could do to make the methylation cycle work better would be to give methylcobalamin, which is also called "M-B12," to help generate methyl donors. A methyl group is a carbon and three hydrogens and four methionines so that it can take it through the cycle.

Jill James' work actually looked at children with autism, compared them to controls, documented that the children with autism had low methionines, low cysteines, and low reduced glutathione. So she designed an intervention trial in which she would give substrates and nutritional interventions that would help that methylation cycle work better.

1 She used methylcobalamin, she used betaine, 2 which is the same as TMG, and she used folinic acid. 3 So you can see that all of those interventions are 4 working on helping that remethylation cycle take 5 place.

6 So in a university lab, using well-7 demonstrated scientific techniques, and, ultimately, 8 published in peer-reviewed literature, she was able to 9 demonstrate the normalization of those methylation 10 metabolites in the children that she treated, to quite 11 a dramatic extent, and that's why we cited her paper 12 in my expert report.

13 So that is why Dr. Green, when he got 14 laboratory evidence implying impairments in this 15 methylation cycle, chose to use things like 16 methylcobalamin and folinic acid, and sometimes we use 17 TMG, and sometimes we use DNG.

Q Can you direct the Special Masters' attention to any other notations that you made on this slide indicating the type of treatments and therapies that you would use as a clinician and that you would teach to other doctors that you work with?

A Well, another thing that I think that's very interesting is, if you look at B-6 in magnesium, which is to the left of a circle that says "CBS," which

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

stands for cystathione beta synthase, there are about
 22 studies in the medical literature that have shown
 efficacy of B-6 or B-6 plus magnesium, and these go
 back several decades.

5 Dr. Rimland, at ARI, was noticing this many 6 years ago, a couple of decades before Jill James' work 7 was published. Now, I think, in retrospect, we can 8 postulate that one mechanism by which those vitamins 9 and minerals make a difference is in helping the body 10 generate cysteine, which is this rate-limiting amino 11 acid for glutathione production.

12 You'll recall from Dr. Deth's testimony a 13 fair amount of information about how important it is for kids, when they are trying to detoxify substances, 14 to be able to have adequate cysteine and make adequate 15 glutathione in order to feed their detoxification 16 pathways and how poorly their cellular biochemistry 17 works when they have a decrease and reduced 18 19 glutathione and an increase in oxidated glutathione, 20 which is what the big pink box with the "GSSG" is showing, high oxidized glutathione. 21

22 So that's another nutritional intervention 23 that ties specifically to this cycle.

Q Can you identify any other nutritional interventions that you believe provide scientific

Case 1:03-vv-00584-MBH Document 123 Filed 10/23/08 Page 100 of 275

DR. MUMPER, MD - DIRECT 4199

support for the clinical practices of yourself and Dr.
 Green?

A The other thing that I think that's very interesting is, on the sort of right-hand part of the slide where I've labeled "DPP-4, casein-free, and gluten free." This relates to some biochemistry related to adenosine, and if you'll bear with me for a few moments, I will ultimately take you back to the valtrex issue that Dr. Rust was asked about.

Adenosine, in the pink box there, when it is 10 11 elevated, as we have documented, per Dr. James' work, seems to be the case in about 20 percent of children 12 13 with autism, there is a feedback loop that makes it have an adverse effect on S-odenosylhomocysteines such 14 that that builds up. That leads the children to be in 15 a situation where they have an abnormal SAM/SAH ratio, 16 where the SAH part is too high, and the SAM part is 17 18 too low.

19 By negative feedback, the effect of that is, once again, that they are not able to methylate their 20 Remember the concerns we have about effects on 21 DNA. 22 gene regulation and gene expression when that's the 23 They are not able to make proteins adequately. case. 24 They are not able to make their phospholipid membranes 25 nor their creatine, which is the power currency of the

1 cell.

2 So another potential target for adenosine 3 intervention, for interventions to work on helping 4 methylation function well, is to try to get the 5 adenosine down when it's too high.

6 So, in our group at Defeat Autism Now, one 7 clinician noticed that a mother who was on valtrex had 8 given her child valtrex three different times -- given 9 it, taken away, given it, taken away, given it, taken 10 away -- and there was always a challenge-rechallenge 11 effect, where the child started speaking when he was 12 on the valtrex and regressed when he was off of that.

13 So we took that anecdotal experience as a reason to look into the biochemistry, and Dr. Baker 14 and Dr. James ultimately did a study in which they 15 looked at adenosine levels and found that, in the kids 16 who had high adenosine levels and were given a 17 acyclovir, which valtrex is broken down to acyclovir, 18 19 that the adenosine levels normalized, and as the 20 levels normalized, the children improved, in terms of their speech, language, communication, and social 21 22 reciprocity.

23 So this is a situation in which we are 24 looking at valtrex not as treating genital herpes in a 25 two-year-old but as in trying to, in a very

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

fundamental way, correct this very important, cellular
 biochemistry.

3 Now, if you'll bear with me for a minute, there is something called "adenosine deaminase binding 4 protein" that is important for the adenosine deaminase 5 enzyme to work appropriately on adenosine. 6 That binding protein, we know, is impaired with heavy 7 8 metals, including mercury, and that was shown in 1982 by a scientist called Pershell. I believe he was from 9 10 Germany, but I'm not positive about that.

11 The binding protein for ADA, DPP-4, which I 12 told you last time was dipeptitalphosphodase 4, which 13 is that enzyme that works on gluten and casein, and a 14 lymphocyte called CD-26 are all essentially the same 15 thing, and this is very confusing initially. But the 16 point is --

MR. MATANOSKI: Your Honor, I'm going to object at this point. At the outset of this, I thought there was going to be some rebuttal. I thought it was in the form of criticism about treatment.

At this point, we're way beyond any qualified testimony on these matters, these charts, everything that's going on now about Dr. Deth's pathways. I would just say, can we move on to Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

4202

something that's actually rebuttal to this case
 specific instead of something that the witness is not
 gualified to know.

SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Mr. Powers? 4 MR. POWERS: We are getting very close to 5 the conclusion of this line of questioning, but it's 6 7 appropriate on rebuttal because a fundamental topic of 8 Dr. Rust was that Dr. Green, the treating physician, as well as Dr. Mumper in her approach to these cases 9 10 generally, are not relying on science for the 11 treatments and the interventions. Dr. Mumper is detailing her reliance on the science as a clinician. 12

13 MR. MATANOSKI: Which we would submit she is 14 not qualified, or has not been qualified, to explain 15 how this could happen, how she could rely on this. 16 She is a pediatrician.

17 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Dr. Mumper 18 has stated that she is not testifying as a biochemist 19 and has no expertise in that area, and your comment, 20 Mr. Powers, to the last one, do you have any further 21 comment?

MR. POWERS: No further comment.
SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: We are at
the end of the casein-free, gluten-free matter.
MR. POWERS: Yes. We're about to move off
Heritage Reporting Corporation
(202) 628-4888

DR. MUMPER, MD - DIRECT 4203 1 of this slide. 2 THE WITNESS: I will move off the slide just 3 to say that the reason for trying to show the chemistry here was Dr. Rust said that he was never 4 aware of any children that had benefitted from a 5 gluten-free, casein-free diet. 6 I think this is one of the scientific bases, 7 8 that there is a subset of children that improved, and I am very surprised that, in his population of many 9 hundreds of children, he has not seen improvements in 10 11 at least a subset. BY MR. POWERS: 12 13 0 Now, we'll take that slide down, and, Dr. Mumper, you just expressed surprise that Dr. Rust 14 15 hasn't seen improvements related to the gluten-free, casein-free diet. What is your experience, as a 16 clinician with your own practice, as well as somebody 17 18 who is working with a network of doctors, what is your 19 opinion on the efficacy of the diet? 20 We tend to recommend the diet based on a Α 21 clinical picture in which we have some history of the 22 child either craving dairy or craving gluten or 23 otherwise deteriorating when they eat these foods. 24 Our best clinical estimates, when we ask 25 people to try the diet, is that about 30 percent of Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

the children will improve dramatically, another 30 percent will have some significant improvements, and there is probably about 30 percent or so who do not seem to improve where that is not part of their pathology.

6 But, in this situation where the parents 7 were reporting chronic diarrhea, I think it is an 8 entirely reasonable thing to do, and when we use those 9 diets, we're careful to supplement calcium. So I 10 think that, in given situations, there is rational 11 reason to use those diets in children with autism.

Q Now, you were describing your reliance on peer-reviewed, published literature, as well as materials prepared by people like Dr. James, a little while ago. Are you relying on any other review or compilation of scientific literature beyond what you just described in the slides?

18 Α Well, we are constantly upgrading our 19 bibliographies of scientific articles. I've got one now that I'm tasked to review that is looking at five 20 21 or six different categories. So, yes, we try to look 22 at the whole literature. We're specifically interested 23 in autism in the qut, autism in the metabolic 24 pathways, autism and immune disregulation, and autism 25 as relates to detoxification.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 Q And when you say "we," who are you referring 2 to?

A The scientists, researchers, and clinicians
4 associated with the Autism Research Institute.

5 Q Now, Dr. Rust described a concern that, in a 6 lot of these care and treatment interventions, there 7 seems to be an absence of controlled clinical trials 8 and an absence of placebo controlled clinical trials, 9 in particular, you know, the double-blind, crossover 10 placebo studies. Do you recall that testimony?

A Yes, I do.

Q And that that was a criticism of your work and that because of the lack of that evidence, he found that the care and treatments that you recommended as being ineffective and not based in science. Do you recall that?

A I do.

11

17

18 Q How would you respond to that point that Dr.
19 Rust made?

A I will acknowledge that we need many, many more placebo-controlled, double-blind studies, but we're very concerned about only using that model. Our paradigm is that these children have multiple medical problems and that if you are not careful when you pick your placebo-controlled trial, if you have a very

heterogeneous constellation of children with multiple medical problems, you may stumble upon something that does not look as if it will be helpful, even though it's helpful for a subset.

5 I think the best example of that is a 6 secretin study, which Dr. Rust referred to, expressing 7 surprise that William Mead had gotten secretin, saying 8 that the gold standard study had shown it was not 9 efficacious, but I have two points to make about that.

10 One is, if you actually look at the Herlihy 11 study, there were clear responders who did 12 dramatically well, and then there were a lot of other 13 patients who did not do well with secretin. We discussed this at length in the think tank, and the 14 15 scientists from the ARI that were involved in that study were concerned from the beginning that the 16 17 population was too heterogenous.

18 So in kids who had certain kinds of gut 19 symptoms, there were several of the children that got 20 dramatic responses, and if you'll recall from William Mead's laboratory data, he had pretty significant 21 22 laboratory findings in which, at Harvard Hospital, his 23 pancreatic enzymes were shown to be dramatically low 24 and then shown to improve dramatically after a 25 That's Exhibit 15, pages 51 and secretin infusion. Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

1 52.

2 So, again, for Dr. Rust to just paint a 3 broad brush that secretin is not useful in a placebocontrolled study and, therefore, can't be useful in 4 this particular patient, who clearly shows a need for 5 it, I think, reflects a very superficial understanding 6 of the importance that we place on taking care of the 7 8 individual patient based on their individual problems. 9 Now, in response to the criticism that there 0 are no clinical trials and no placebo trials, you, in 10 11 your practice, or you, in your role with ARI, are you 12 endeavoring to conduct such trials? 13 Α Yes, we are. Can you describe, very briefly, for the 14 0 Special Masters what type of trials you are planning, 15 either that are underway or that are planned to start 16 17 soon? 18 Α Well, we've submitted grants for a placebo-19 controlled, double-blind crossover on diflucan. We've 20 submitted, for looking at trying to work with the NIH on a chelation study, looking at DMSA probably 21 22 initially. 23 We're trying to do what are called "single-24 subject, multiple-baseline studies," where we can take a single subject and do lots of initial measurements 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888
4208

and then do interventions and measure their response so that we can deal with the issue of the fact that different biochemistry and different medical problems in a single child may need a certain constellation of interventions.

6 That's used very widely in behavioral 7 psychology, and we are working on adapting that to the 8 medical model, working with a guy named Ted Carr, who 9 is a behavioral psychologist, well published, who 10 wants to do some initial studies in that model with 11 gut disease in my clinic at the Rimland Center.

Now, the last issue that Dr. Rust raised 12 0 13 that I wanted to discuss with you, you sort of touched on a second ago, and that is chelation. Do you recall 14 15 his testimony that chelation is harmful, or potentially harmful, potentially fatal, that it's 16 painful, and that he didn't understand how it could 17 18 possibly have any efficacy in treating a disorder that 19 one would postulate is caused by inorganic mercury and inflammation? 20

21 A Right.

22 Q Do you recall that testimony?

23 A Yes.

Q How would you respond, for the Special Masters, to that particular critique on the chelation

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 issue that Dr. Rust raised?

A I have a couple of thoughts. One is to point out that chelation is a well-recognized and widely used pediatric modality in children that have blood poisoning and lead toxicity, and, in many of the children we treat who show mercury in their chelation urines, they also show evidence of lead.

8 So we think that it's important to go after the lead, and we've had many discussions at ARI about 9 how we're just as concerned, if not more so, about 10 11 lead than mercury in many of these children because, 12 even though we took lead out of paint and gasoline, we 13 just found out, a year or so ago, that we put it in a bunch of toys we got from China, and so they are still 14 15 being exposed to lead.

With regard to the dangers and the 16 fatalities, I would like to comment that when both Dr. 17 18 Green and I use chelation in our offices, it's 19 primarily oral chelation, and we tend to use blood 20 count monitoring for complete blood counts and chemistry screens every four to eight weeks, and so 21 22 that's why you saw some white counts in chemistry 23 screens in those boys' charts.

24 When IV is used, John Green is one of the 25 ones that has a vast amount of experience with that.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4210

1 The death that Dr. Rust was referring to was 2 actually a pharmaceutical error in which sodium EDTA, 3 not calcium EDTA, was given to the boy, who died. We would expect that if the child was given sodium EDTA, 4 it would have horrible consequences on his calcium and 5 probably lead to asystole, which is probably how that 6 But that does not paint all of chelation 7 child died. 8 as being dangerous or potentially fatal. That was a pharmaceutical error. 9

10 Q Now, Dr. Rust also just raised the question, 11 or made the statement, that he couldn't understand how 12 chelation could possibly have any efficacy,

particularly since the theory in these cases is that there is inorganic mercury in the brain that, obviously, chelation is not going to bring back out of the rain across the blood barrier. Do you recall his comments on that issue?

18 A I do.

19 Q How do you think it is that chelation could 20 possibly assist in the treatment of the symptoms of 21 children that you see?

A A lot of work remains to be done in this area, but we are able to mobilize mercury, lead, and other toxins from where they are hiding. Typically, mercury hides in the brain and in the kidneys and in

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 the liver and in the fat, and we know that we're not 2 typically removing anything from the brain, but, by 3 working on the rest of the body burden and taking off 4 the chronic stress that mercury provides, we're enabling cysteine to be regenerated, and we're 5 enabling glutathione to function more productively, 6 7 and we are eliminating some heavy metal burden by 8 doing the chelation.

9 It's also entirely possible that some of the 10 chelating agents are working by an antioxidant 11 mechanism. For example, DMSA is a good anti-oxidant, 12 and so sometimes we wonder if we are actually 13 achieving an anti-oxidant rather than a chelating 14 effect.

I will say that our preference is to try to mobilize the body's own mechanisms to do a natural form of chelation, so that's why we promote working on the methylation biochemistry and the nutritional support as a crucial component and not relying just on chelation.

21 Q Now, finally, Dr. Mumper, I want to move 22 away from the specific-treatment discussion that Dr. 23 Rust engaged in and that you've now replied to.

Do you recall Dr. Rust saying that he reviewed videotape of both Jordan King and William

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 Mead?

7

11

22

2 A Yes, I do.

Q And do you recall Dr. Rust saying that, upon his review of the video that he watched, he thought that both boys were abnormal before they actually regressed?

A Yes.

8 Q Do you recall him citing to any specific 9 portions of video in his testimony in support of his 10 conclusion?

A I do not recall that he did.

12 Q Okay. Have you identified specific portions 13 of video that you think are responsive to Dr. Rust's 14 characterization of the preregressive symptoms of both 15 Jordan and William?

16 A Yes. I tried to do that after taking notes17 on his testimony.

18 Q Now, you had an opportunity to review the 19 video -- I think you described this on your direct 20 testimony -- but you reviewed the video before Dr. 21 Rust testified. Correct?

A Right.

Q Are you saying now that you reviewed the
video again after you heard Dr. Rust testify?
A Yes. When I reviewed the videos the first
Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

4213

1 time, I took extensive notes about the ages of the 2 child and things that they were doing that either 3 appeared age appropriate to me or not. The second time when I went through, I was 4 trying to look at specific criticisms or suggestions 5 that had been made that the boys had deficits and 6 7 address specific criticisms about they must not be 8 talking, they must not have gestural language, they must not have social reciprocity, those kinds of 9 things, and I tried to find very short clips that 10 11 would demonstrate it. I think the whole total of each child is less than 10 minutes or so. 12 13 MR. POWERS: So I'll interrupt asking 14 questions of you and just address the Special Masters. 15 We do have video that we're going to show. We have done what is essentially an index of the video. 16 17 Jordan King would be the next exhibit, I guess, 15? 18 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Yes. 19 MR. POWERS: And William Mead's would be 16. 20 (The documents referred to were marked for 21 22 identification as 23 Petitioners' Exhibit Nos. 15 24 and 16.) 25 MR. POWERS: What we will do, and propose Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

1	doing, is that we will take the video clips that are
2	going to be shown here today, put those onto one
3	compact disk, and have an electronic index in that
4	disk that allows one to match up what you're about to
5	see on this piece of paper with the contents of the
6	CD, and we'll file that as soon as we can get that
7	produced.
8	If that sounds sufficient to the Court,
9	that's how we propose proceeding, and, obviously,
10	providing copies to counsel.
11	SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: That's fine.
12	MR. POWERS: So before showing the video,
13	I'm just going to take a moment and pass out to the
14	masters and to Respondent's counsel Exhibits 15 and
15	16.
16	SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you.
17	Also, counsel, without disturbing your plan for how
18	you plan to proceed, but to the extent that Dr. Mumper
19	could lay some groundwork before we look at the video
20	as to why she picked this particular clip, what we
21	should be paying attention to.
22	THE WITNESS: Right.
23	SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Because
24	without your sort of guidance even beforehand, we
25	might get it after, but subtleties are certainly lost
	Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. MUMPER, MD - DIRECT 1 if we don't have a sort of a preview before we get 2 into it. MR. POWERS: And that's what we anticipated 3 doing here, both a little setting some context and 4 then any description of what is actually seen. 5

SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: 6 Thank you. 7 MR. MATANOSKI: Your Honor, just for the 8 record, as you know from your prior orders that this 9 designation was exactly what the Court had asked for 10 prior to trial. The Respondent gave you the 11 designation of the particular points of the videos 12 that the Respondent will be looking at or relying on. 13 Petitioners declined to do that, instead saying that they would have to wait, they were just going to 14 15 essentially rely on the entire video and then designate later what they were going to rely on. 16

And with respect to replying to this, we 17 18 will rebut what we can today, if necessary, however we 19 reserve the right to designate or counterdesignate 20 other parts of the video later for your review in a similar fashion to see -- what you saw from us before 21 22 where we designated certain portions that we could be 23 potentially relying on.

24 MR. POWERS: And Petitioners, as you also 25 know, made it clear that we didn't designate anything Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

1 early on because we would not anticipate relying on 2 that in our case-in-chief. The designations now we're 3 in rebuttal it's impossible to designate ahead of time what one might use in rebuttal because you haven't 4 heard the testimony of the witness that you might be 5 rebutting. So these are offered in rebuttal. 6 And if 7 Respondent is saying they would want to reserve the 8 right to designate more video, then if the record is open in these cases and more designations are needed 9 and you want to see more information from video we are 10 11 happy to do that and designate whatever the Special 12 Masters think they need to see to get the full picture 13 of the video.

14 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: I would ask 15 that, Dr. Mumper, as you go forward with this that you 16 make clear in your preliminary comments what portion 17 of Dr. Rust's comment to which you are specifically 18 addressing.

19 THE WITNESS: Okay.

20 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: You 21 indicated you had taken very careful notes.

22 THE WITNESS: Right.

23 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: And you were
24 trying to respond to concerns about that.
25 THE WITNESS: Okay.

Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

4217

1 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: So if you 2 could make that clear as to what portion of Dr. Rust's 3 testimony to which you are responding that would be 4 very helpful.

5 THE WITNESS: Okay.

And then if I may, just to 6 MR. MATANOSKI: 7 clarify our position in this, we designated, our 8 experts designated certain parts of the record, the video record that they would be relying on, provided 9 10 that to the Court and to opposing counsel. During 11 their testimony they did not refer to any other parts of the record, the video record, so these designations 12 13 that Respondent has were well available to the Petitioners in advance. The notion that they are 14 rebutting something other than that is a bit strange 15 at this point since neither witness that referred to 16 videotapes actually referred to any specific part 17 18 other than the ones that have been designated. 19 Indeed, they didn't even refer to those. But those 20 had been designated already.

21 So the notion that rebuttal would come in 22 now without prior designation is again a bit strange. 23 And that is the reason why we'd ask that some relief, 24 if necessary be given.

25 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: I think that Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4218

1 request has been granted Respondent. 2 MR. MATANOSKI: Thank you. 3 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: That to the extent that you need or want to counter with 4 additional video testimony that we are certainly 5 willing to entertain that. 6 7 MR. MATANOSKI: Thank you, Ma'am. 8 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: To proceed. 9 MR. POWERS: Thank you. 10 So and, Dr. Mumper, before I ask about the 11 first thing I want to make sure we are technologically 12 ready to go. Okay. 13 BY MR. POWERS: Excuse me. Now, we are going to talk about 14 0 15 Jordan King first. You designated segment number one, which you have entitled "cooing"? 16 Α 17 Right. 18 Ο Can you explain to the Special Masters why 19 the little segment about 30 seconds long that they are going to see is significant in responding particularly 20 21 to Dr. Rust's testimony? There was discussion in Dr. Rust's testimony 22 Α 23 about non-verbal language and other measures to 24 communicate that did not involve actual words. And it was related to the, later to the topic of word count. 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4219

1 And so this is an early language marker. The child in 2 this video is about 3 months of age. And by showing 3 it I show normal language development at that time plus a to and fro reciprocal relationship with the 4 mother who is cooing with the child. 5 0 6 Okav. 7 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Now wait a minute. 8 I'm not sure I understand this exhibit. Part one you taped 12-98. What does taped 12-98 mean? 9 10 THE WITNESS: That's a date, December '98. 11 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: So this is, it is, means December '98. 12 13 Now you just said age 3 months. And I have 14 it Jordan King, born September 29, 1997. Is that not? 15 THE WITNESS: Is that a typo? MR. POWERS: Yeah, no, it's not the date 16 17 it's just the title of the tape. 18 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: All right, the 19 title of the tape. 20 MR. POWERS: It's just it is a number that 21 is the title of the tape but it's not a reference to a 22 date. 23 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: It's not a 24 reference to the date of the tape. 25 MR. POWERS: Right. Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

DR. MUMPER, MD - DIRECT 4220 1 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: All right. 2 THE WITNESS: Okay, Tom, in that tape we had 3 \_ \_ SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Let me also ask, 4 again what did Dr. Rust particularly say about the 5 word development that you are trying to rebut here? 6 If you can before we go into this on Jordan King, tell 7 8 me what in general he said about Jordan King that you are taking issue with? 9 10 THE WITNESS: The concern was that he talked 11 about how he thought it was a artificial distinction 12 between regressive and classic autism and that he 13 thought if you really look carefully and ask careful questions you'd find out that the child were not 14 15 initially normal but that they had subtle signs of abnormality. And when I looked at these tapes as a 16 17 pediatrician I thought that the things that we picked 18 out to show showed some very normal developmental 19 milestones both for non-verbal language, gesturing, as well as social reciprocity, as well as appropriate toy 20 21 play initially. And --22 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: All right, let me 23 stop you there. 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. 25 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Certainly lots of Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

DR. MUMPER, MD - DIRECT 4221 1 experts in this proceeding have said when you look at 2 people who are said to have regressive autism and you look back, study videos, you'll find evidence of 3 abnormality. That in general has been said. 4 Help me with my memory, to what extent did 5 Dr. Rust say that about Jordan? Did he point to 6 7 specific evidence of abnormality in Jordan? 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah, in --SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: To the best you 9 10 remember. 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. On the basis of the notes that I took which I -- it's on page 25 of my 12 13 notes, so that's about a little over halfway through his testimony, but I don't have the clarity to know if 14 15 that was specific for Jordan King or not. So maybe if I can't do that, and we're not allowed to show the 16 17 video, just tell me what the rules are. 18 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: I'm not going to 19 stop you from --20 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 21 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: -- showing any 22 videos, I just want to understand what point you are 23 trying to refute here because I don't, I'm not sure I 24 recall. 25 Mr. Powers, do you understand what point you Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 are trying to refute here?

2	MR. POWERS: Yes. Certainly as Dr. Mumper
3	described it was general testimony that Dr. Rust
4	offered that in his opinion relying on video that he
5	reviewed, and certainly without any reference to
6	specific frames, that Jordan King and also William
7	Mead were not normal prior to their regression.
8	SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Okay.
9	MR. POWERS: And again, he did that without
10	reference to specific frames but definitely was
11	placing the onset of symptoms, or conversely the
12	absence of normalcy, further and further back in time.
13	So these are simply offered to show in that time
14	continuum that describes the onset what Dr. Mumper has
15	identified from her skill and experience and training
16	as indications that Dr. Rust was either mistaken or
17	was not looking at the appropriate signs. And that's
18	her approach here.

19 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: And I do think he 20 said some general comments to that effect. I couldn't 21 remember any specific comments. And okay, so very 22 good.

THE WITNESS: I actually have found my notes now that are specific to Jordan King. I have that he said he had looked at the record regarding the timing Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

1 of loss of speech. And then he had a discussion about 2 the fact that it's not so much the number of words but 3 it's important that he was communicating and then stopped talking. 4 And then he also said in the record it said 5 something like he was never a "I want to be held" 6 7 baby. And he always takes that very seriously. 8 And in the cooing video even though he is not being held there is a social reciprocity that 9 10 speaks to social interactions that I thought would be 11 valuable. 12 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Very good. Please 13 qo ahead. 14 MR. POWERS: Thank you. BY MR. POWERS: 15 So let's go ahead and show what is 16 0 designated on Jordan King's video clip index as Video 17 18 Segment Number 1. 19 (Jordan King Video Clip No. 1 played.) 20 Α So the good eye contact, the social reciprocity with the mother, and the fact that he is 21 22 doing the appropriate language for a 3-month-old baby. 23 Let's move to Clip Number 2 please, Dr. 0 24 Can you give the Special Master some context Mumper. 25 for Clip Number 2 briefly?

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 One of the frustrations in looking at these А 2 clips is that the timing wasn't clear, the actual 3 dates did not show up on Jordan King. So the videos that I am going to show next I can tell you are 4 between the ages of 13 and 16 months but I can't tell 5 6 you specifically how old the child was. We can get 7 some clues perhaps from the progress of his 8 development.

9 But that seemed to be a critical time in 10 which Dr. Rust was saying that already, you know, the 11 child was showing signs of autism, impaired showing language improvement or failure to progress, loss of 12 13 social reciprocity. And so I wanted to address some areas in which he seems to be demonstrating age-14 15 appropriate normal behavior in that time period that 16 was questioned.

17 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Now, when you got 18 the tape, you just referred to a time frame of 19 sometime between 13 and 19 months of age. Is that 20 what you --

21 THE WITNESS: Yes.

22 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: -- just said? 23 THE WITNESS: To the best of my ability to 24 interpret the tape, the next one, two, the next series 25 of tapes are in that time frame.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. MUMPER, MD - DIRECT 4225 1 MR. POWERS: But was it 13 to -- I think Dr. 2 Mumper said 13 to 16 months. 3 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Okay. Well, I wanted to inquire where you got that? Because now in 4 Exhibit, Trial Exhibit 15 here it's been identified as 5 this is the tape from 1999, January to June. 6 Now, on 7 my calculations that would be 15, 15 to 21 months. So 8 I want to know where you got the idea of 13 to 16? 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. In my original dating 10 of the one that's marked "Playing Marimba" the date is 11 October '98 to January '99. 12 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Right. Right, I 13 see that. THE WITNESS: Which is 13 to 16. 14 15 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: So that's the 13 16 to 16. All right. 17 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. The "Drop the 18 Objects, Smile at the Camera" would be --19 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Anyway, I think you've answered my question. 20 21 THE WITNESS: Yeah. 22 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: You're getting the 23 date just from the dates of the tape. So the tape was 24 marked January through June of 1999. 25 THE WITNESS: Right. Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. MUMPER, MD - DIRECT 4226 1 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: And you're just 2 getting the dates from that? 3 THE WITNESS: Right. SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: All right. 4 MR. POWERS: And not to delay actually 5 seeing the video, but just as a technical matter the 6 7 way that the parents maintained these on 4-hour VCR 8 tapes. And so 4 hours of tape would have -- this is the date range they wrote on there. In many cases 9 10 there's not a stamp on the film itself. 11 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: I understand. 12 So that explains some of the --MR. POWERS: 13 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: My videotapes at home are marked exactly the same way. 14 15 MR. POWERS: Okay. BY MR. POWERS: 16 17 0 So, Dr. Mumper, let's go ahead and show Tape 18 Number 2, please. So I think we've established the child's 19 Α actually older here per Special Master Hastings. 20 21 (Jordan King Video Clip No. 2 played.) 22 So what I wanted to demonstrate there was 23 the child dropping the toy and then looking to see 24 where it went has to do with the establishment of a concept called object permanency and making the 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 connection in his brain that when you do something 2 with an object and it goes out of sight that it 3 continues to exist beyond what you see. It also can be interpreted as processing 4 cause and effect. 5 And then I also wanted to show that in that 6 age range above 16 months he was still smiling and 7 8 having social reciprocity with whoever was running the 9 video camera. 10 Q Now Clip Number 3 please. This is one that 11 is called "Playing the Marimba." And now, Tom, I believe we are back to the 12 Α 13 somewhere in the 13 to 16 month age range now. And this is looking at reciprocity in terms of play with 14 15 another person and being able to socially interact in a musical game. 16 (Jordan King Video Clip No. 3 played.) 17 18 Q Okay. 19 And he was also looking around at the Α videographer again in that. 20 Clip Number 4, "Playing with the Cat." 21 0 22 Let's go ahead and cue that up, please. And can you 23 describe what the Special Masters ought to have an eye 24 out for here? 25 Α Yes. In this situation it seemed like very Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

Case 1:03-vv-00584-MBH Document 123 Filed 10/23/08 Page 129 of 275

DR. MUMPER, MD - DIRECT 4228 1 appropriate interactive play with an animal and with 2 the grandmother. 3 0 Okay. (Jordan King Video Clip No. 4 played.) 4 Α And again he looked at the camera. 5 Clip Number 5, quick context? And I think 6 Ο 7 we've got our process down for going through these. 8 Α Yes, right. So if you could provide some context here 9 0 for the Special Masters and then we'll show the clip? 10 11 Α One of the discussions in testimony was about not being able to use gestures, that it wasn't 12 13 just language but that children also had gestures that were postulated to be absent or of poor quality in 14 these children. And this is demonstrating gesture to 15 be picked up, which is typically around, emerges 16 around 9 months of age as a skill. 17 18 (Jordan King Video Clip No. 5 played.) 19 And again still making eye contact with the people in the scene. 20 What's been designated as Clip Number 21 0 Okav. 22 6 called "Dancing" I'm quessing is Jordan dancing. 23 But if you could provide again some context for the 24 Special Masters? 25 Showing ability to enjoy play, ability to Α Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. MUMPER, MD - DIRECT 4229 1 interact and look at the person who is filming him. 2 You will see when you see the tape that he is very 3 engaged. (Jordan King Video Clip No. 6 played.) 4 Okay. Now, the next one it's called 5 0 "Toolbench," and this is Clip Number 7. 6 In this it demonstrates his ability to use 7 Α 8 tools in a functional way and an appropriate way to play as opposed to lining up toys or playing with them 9 10 in an inappropriate way. 11 (Jordan King Video Clip No. 7 played.) And is that Maya, his sister? 12 0 13 Α That's Maya, his sister. So we know that he is at least 15 months old in this video. 14 (Jordan King Video Clip No. 7 playing.) 15 This is from the -- that was on the original 16 0 17 tape. 18 Now, Clip Number 8 is called "Harmonica." 19 Again quick little context for the Special Masters and we'll play that clip? 20 Showing the social reciprocity between him 21 Α 22 as he plays a harmonica and the other people in the 23 room, showing interactive play. 24 (Jordan King Video Clip No. 8 played.) And actually if we could stop it there for 25 0 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4230

1 just a quick second. Jordan was shown being held. Do 2 you recall Dr. Rust making comments that one of the 3 things that he thought might have been going on with 4 Jordan early on was an aversion to touch and an aversion to being held? 5 6 Yes, I do recall that. And, in fact, there А 7 are many, many, many examples in the video I reviewed 8 where he was being held by various people, grandmother, mother and father. 9 10 Q Okay. Let's go ahead and complete rolling 11 this clip please. (Jordan King Video Clip No. 8 played.) 12 13 Α So he perked up when he was told he had a And so he was responding to the mother 14 qood job. there. He clearly was smiling brightly and 15 interacting. And so at that point he was also showing 16 17 gestural language. 18 Q Now, you also, tell me if you did, recalled 19 Dr. Rust saying that Jordan had splitter skills? 20 Α Right. You have to stay back from the microphone. 21 Q 22 What was his description of splitter skills 23 relative to Jordan King? 24 Α Well, I believe he was postulating that he had musical abilities. And so between the fact that 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4231

he came from a musical family and had demonstrated his work with the harmonica and marimba he may have been referring to that. Whether it's a true savant skill, you know, time would tell.

But at this point there's nothing savant-5 0 like -- I mean not to denigrate Jordan's harmonica 6 7 playing -- but there is nothing savant-like that you 8 would identify in any of the musical sequences? And I 9 say it jokingly, but since Dr. Rust did mention this, there is nothing savant-like that you've identified in 10 11 any of the musical scenes here involving Jordan, is 12 there?

A Yeah, I would say that was very rudimentary,
aqe-appropriate for a toddler harmonica playing.

15 Q Okay.

16 A Yes.

Q We're going to go to Video Clip 9 then,
please. And this is "Building a Marimba."

A And the thing to look for here is his ability to use a nail in a functional way and to imitate his father trying to put a nail into a hole and repeatedly getting it out of the bag, the nail bag.

 (Jordan King Video Clip No. 9 played.)
 Q And so demonstrating the nail but did you Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888 Case 1:03-vv-00584-MBH Document 123 Filed 10/23/08 Page 133 of 275

DR. MUMPER, MD - DIRECT 4232 1 see anything else in that video that would be 2 significant, any interactions with his dad or anything 3 of particular mention? Yeah, he was looking back and forth for Α 4 approval from and interaction with his father. 5 And then we're going to show Clip Number 10. 6 0 And the reason I chose this is that there 7 Α 8 was some speculation about Jordan withdrawing around 9 the time of the birth of his sister and being withdrawn and not socially interactive with her. 10 11 (Jordan King Video Clip No. 10 played.) 12 0 Okay. 13 Α And --SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Before we leave 14 15 this tape, in that sequent his sister looked like a very, very young newborn. 16 17 THE WITNESS: Right. 18 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Would that be your 19 interpretation? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes. I think she was a very 21 new newborn. So my best quess on his age would be 22 that he was around 15 months at that age. 23 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: All right. 24 THE WITNESS: And that's all we have to show 25 looking at normal characteristics. We have two brief Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 clips post-regression that show a clear, I think, 2 contrast to what we've been looking at. 3 BY MR. POWERS: 0 And before we move to those I just want to 4 note in watching the videos here there were a couple 5 of videos where he was vocalizing and babbling but 6 honestly I didn't hear a lot of fully-formed words. 7 8 What's your assessment of his language skills based on the video clips that we've seen here? 9 10 Α I agree that we don't hear a lot of clearly 11 articulated words in these video tapes. I did hear Mrs. King testify, and I found here to be a very 12 13 reliable historian, and she gave word counts which would suggest that he did have normal language 14 15 development. But what's striking in these videos is that he almost always either has a pacifier in his 16 mouth or he's eating something or he's playing the 17 18 harmonica and so I don't see a lot of language. So I 19 think we have to be clear that for that aspect of 20 those three domains of his development I don't have 21 qood examples on video and I am relying on parental 22 history.

Q So you mentioned that the last two clips that we'll see here for Jordan King, 11 and 12, these are two that represent a presentation of post-

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. MUMPER, MD - DIRECT 4234 1 regression symptoms? 2 Α Right. And I mainly want to have the 3 Special Masters look for a qualitative change on his facial expression, how much more detached he is now, 4 5 how he doesn't show the same kinds of social reciprocity, and how he is oversensitive to auditory 6 7 stimuli and exhibiting hand flapping. 8 0 Okav. So we will go ahead first and show Clip Number 11. And this is, the short title of this 9 is he has "hands on his ears." 10 11 (Jordan King Video Clip No. 11 played.) 12 Is that a behavior that you noted in 13 multiple videos after regression? Oh, after regression, yes. Did not see it 14 Α before. 15 And finally for Jordan we're going to show 16 0 17 Clip Number 12. 18 (Jordan King Video Clip No. 12 played.) 19 So what's significant about this videotape, 20 particularly as you would compare it to the clips that we viewed and that you've reviewed before he 21 22 regressed? What are the significant things to take 23 from that clip? 24 Α So it shows to me a significant qualitative 25 change in the interactions with his father. Whereas Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4235

1 before he was so engaged and now he seemed to be 2 withdrawing. And he also is demonstrating a lot of 3 hand flapping. Again the vacant expression in his 4 face. And whereas before he seemed to enjoy manipulating tools in an appropriate way, now he seems 5 to have lost that higher level of toy usability. 6 7 0 And particularly with the toys you are 8 talking about the way that he just kept flipping the puzzle piece back and forth? 9 10 Α Right; as opposed to putting it into the 11 form board. 12 0 Okay. So now we're going to talk about some 13 of the videos from William Mead? 14 Α Yes. And this is the list that is Petitioners' 15 0 Trial Exhibit Number 16. We will use the same process 16 here, Dr. Mumper, in introducing the context for the 17 18 Special Masters and then showing the clips in 19 sequence. 20 Α Okay. So Clip Number 1, can you explain what they 21 0 22 are going to see and what they should be looking for? 23 Α Actually, if I could just get a minute to 24 get organized here? Because I was trying to find the 25 specific things in Rust's testimony, which I have Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. MUMPER, MD - DIRECT 4236 1 So now I just need to get oriented to his done. 2 videos. 3 0 Okav. SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: You 4 anticipated my question, Dr. Mumper. 5 6 THE WITNESS: Say it again? 7 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: You 8 anticipated my question. 9 THE WITNESS: So on my page 5 of Dr. Rust's testimony he talks about kids with autism being head 10 11 shy, not wanting to have their head touched or hair 12 washed, that this is a very striking finding that 13 comes on very early. 14 He also talked about aversive eve contact 15 and how that was a systems problem that was worthy of careful scientific investigation. 16 17 And he also talked about parents in the 18 family history tending to be rigid and aloof and 19 hypersensitive to criticism. Through multiple video 20 clips I did not find that to apply to either set of 21 these parents. 22 And so with that as a background, the first 23 tape that's called --24 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Just a 25 moment. Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

1 MR. MATANOSKI: I would just observe that I 2 believe those comments by Dr. Rust were general 3 comments. As I think the Court has observed before 4 about some of the other comments that he had made they 5 were to apply more generally to descriptions of 6 autism.

7 MR. POWERS: And, Special Masters, he was 8 describing why those general comments informed his opinion that these two boys demonstrated what he 9 described as abnormal courses of development before 10 11 their regression and that they had early onset. So 12 again his comments were general but he was applying 13 them in a way to support his opinions on the casespecific determination that both of these boys were 14 15 abnormal at particular stages of their development.

SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Okay.

16

17 MR. MATANOSKI: I recognize the Special 18 Master can go back at the testimony of Dr. Rust. And 19 we'd submit that our recollection of that is that it 20 was general in nature and that I think it's pretty 21 clear in his report and through his testimony that he 22 did not dispute that either the King or Mead child had 23 regressive autism.

24 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: That is what 25 I recollect. But Petitioners' counsel, if you would Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

like to proceed with this demonstration in the absence
 of any objection from Respondent.

3 MR. POWERS: Yes. We would like to proceed and just with the note that while Dr. Rust did not 4 dispute the what ultimately was regressive autism, he 5 did make reference to both boys having -- he was very 6 7 non-specific about it so we can't be more specific, 8 but he did make reference to both boys being not normal before the regression. And if he had been more 9 specific we could point to a particular page of his 10 11 testimony, but he did make a general observation about 12 the lack of normalcy before the regression that we all 13 concede.

SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: I will 14 15 observe that it was my recollection of Dr. Rust's testimony that at the time that it was documented one 16 could assume that it had appeared earlier. 17 But it 18 was, there was an inability to determine, and he 19 acknowledged he had not met the children and had not 20 examined them personally. But based on what the reflections were in the medical record that the time 21 22 that you are documenting something there is an 23 understanding that the activity was a loss of the 24 activity or function occurred before the notation. 25 But with that in mind we will -- and again, Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

DR. MUMPER, MD - DIRECT 4239 1 Dr. Mumper, if you would just describe, as you have. 2 THE WITNESS: Okay. And I will try to be 3 brief. The "Johnny Jump Up" tape is showing 4 reciprocal social interactions with his, one of his 5 6 parents. 7 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Do you have 8 an estimated age for this? These are pretty well dated but I don't know what this is. 9 10 THE WITNESS: Hang on one second. On my --11 Scott, if you can help me, what I am looking for is 12 not the recounted notations that you did this morning 13 and last night but the ones that I set you by e-mail that had better ages? 14 15 BY MR. POWERS: For "Johnny Jump Up" does it sound accurate 16 Ο to believe that this was something from November of 17 18 1998 when he was about 5 months old? 19 Α Yes. Yes, that is correct. 20 (William Mead Video Clip No. 1 played.) The next one is marked "Pushing Up" and --21 22 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: What is the 23 purpose of "Johnny Jump Up"? 24 THE WITNESS: To show the reciprocal interaction, the smiling, the fact that he's bright-25 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. MUMPER, MD - DIRECT 4240 1 eyed and alert, that he looks like a normal 5-month-2 old. 3 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you. BY MR. POWERS: 4 So the next clip is Clip Number 2 on Exhibit 5 0 16, it's called "Pushing Up"? 6 Right. And that's demonstrating great eye 7 Α 8 contact. 9 (William Mead Video Clip No. 2 played.) 10 Reciprocal smile, bright-eyes, laughter with 11 the father. Clip Number 3, "Bath Time." And I will note 12 0 13 that it is with his sister, to Eleanor's everlasting embarrassment perhaps, but this is actually a 14 15 significant clip, as Dr. Mumper will explain? And this clip speaks to the issue of Dr. 16 Α 17 Rust's testimony that not wanting to have their heads 18 touched or their hair washed is a very early sign of 19 children with autism. And in this video you will see 20 that he tolerates that from his sister and he also does a fair amount of babbling. 21 22 (William Mead Video Clip No. 3 played.) 23 0 And again what was significant about that 24 clip? 25 Well, he seemed to tolerate or perhaps even Α Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4241

1 enjoy the head touching. But he's showing a lot of 2 reciprocal smiling and giggling and laughing and 3 normal appearing bathtime play. Tape Number 4, this one is called "Hi, Dad." 0 4 What is the context and the significance of this clip? 5 6 What should the Special Masters be looking for here? 7 Α This is a tape that was done around the time 8 of his first birthday when he was around a year old. And he demonstrates the words "Hi, Dad." Two-word 9 10 phrases typically come in around 18 months. It's 11 demonstrating that at a year he at least had several words which is very much in keeping with the history 12 13 given by the parents. This tape also shows some reciprocal play 14 with the sister again. 15 (William Mead Video Clip No. 4 played.) 16 And then we will just keep going, sort of an 17 0 18 extension of this is Clip Number 5 which is called "Play Nice." 19 (William Mead Video Clip No. 5 played.) 20 21 Q And what was significant about that clip 22 again having seen it again? 23 Α He says "Hi, Dad" again and he's having 24 reciprocal interactions with both the parent and the 25 sibling. Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 Everything about that video was 0 2 developmentally and age appropriate? 3 Α It seemed very age appropriate to me, yes. 0 Now, the last two videos can you 4 Okav. 5 describe to the Special Masters what we'll be looking at? 6 The last two are after his regression. 7 Α The 8 first one is showing him covering his ears and hand 9 flapping as a stark contrast to his initial prior 10 normal behaviors that we've attempted to demonstrate 11 here. 12 And the second one I believe to be 13 demonstrating that he has abdominal issues. (William Mead Video Clip No. 6 played.) 14 15 0 Okay, now that, the date on that was July 2000, so he would have been about 27 months old? 16 Α That's correct. And I think you can 17 18 appreciate the deterioration in the quality of his 19 Whereas at a year he was able to say "Hi, language. 20 Dad," he is pretty much reduced at this point to these guttural utterances. I think that there is a 21 22 qualitative change to his facial expression, he has 23 more of a vacant look. And he wasn't able to imitate 24 saying cheese. It just is a way of demonstrating the 25 regression.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

Case 1:03-vv-00584-MBH Document 123 Filed 10/23/08 Page 144 of 275

DR. MUMPER, MD - CROSS 4243 1 And several times he was holding his hands 0 2 to his ears? 3 Α Yes. As if he had hyperacusis or was trying to modulate the incoming sensory stimuli. 4 And was he also flapping his hands? 5 0 Α And he also was flapping his hands, yes. 6 7 0 Had you seen any behavior like that prior to 8 the, say, 16 months of age? 9 I did not. Α 10 Q The final clip we're going to show is Clip 11 Number 7. This is William at the computer? Α 12 Yes. 13 Q Okay. And I would like to set up the Special 14 Α 15 Masters to look for his abdomen in this picture. One of the things that John Green did for which he was 16 criticized by Dr. Rust was to work on aspects related 17 18 to the child's diarrhea and bowel movements. And I 19 believe that this tape shows inferential evidence that 20 he was having abdominal pain. You will notice that his abdomen seems quite distended, quite bloated, that 21 22 he pushes on the lower part of his abdomen. That at 23 one point he is pulling on the skin. And this, these 24 are behaviors we frequently see in children with And I believe that we at least need to be 25 autism. Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888
4244

open to the possibility that they are trying to communicate with us that their stomachs hurt in ways that they have to use since they no longer have language.
(William Mead Video Clip No. 7 played.)
Q So, Dr. Mumper, in addition to the stomach

7 issues was there anything else in that video clip that 8 merits description or mention to the Special Masters?

9 A That he seemed nonresponsive to multiple 10 efforts by his dad to engage him, that he was sort of 11 staring fixed on the computer screen but not 12 attempting interactive play.

Q So based on your listening to the parents' testimony, your review of the medical records, and now the videos that you've identified here, do you agree or disagree with Dr. Rust's testimony that each of these boys was likely not normal prior to their regression?

19AI don't find evidence, so I disagree with20him.21MR. POWERS: I have no further questions.

22 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you.
 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION
 24 BY MR. JOHNSON:

25 Q Good to see you again, Dr. Mumper.

DR. MUMPER, MD - CROSS 4245 1 Α Hi. 2 Q As you know, my name is Vo Johnson. I am 3 representing the United States. Doctor, you covered a lot of different 4 issues in the early part of your direct, and so I just 5 want to make sure that in the last two weeks since 6 you've testified that you have not become an expert in 7 8 biochemistry; is that correct? 9 Α That is absolutely correct. 10 Q And you've not become an expert in 11 neurology? 12 That's true. Α 13 Q You've not become an expert in psychiatry? That's true. 14 Α And you have not become a clinical 15 0 psychologist? 16 17 Α That's true. 18 Q Okay. And you have not become an expert in 19 toxicology? 20 Α That's true. 21 Q And you've not become an expert in 22 neurotoxicology? 23 Α That's true. 24 And you've not become an expert in genetics? Q 25 Α That's true. Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

Q Would it be fair to say that your knowledge of those areas is based on what you have learned from your colleagues at the Autism Research Institute? A And through my reading of other literature, yes.

Q What other literature are you specificallyreferring to?

8 Α We maintain bibliographies not just of the papers written by people officially associated with us 9 but other articles in the autism literature. 10 So the 11 works of, you know, Pardo, Zimmerman, Vargas, Martha 12 Herbert, people that are in Italy working on the 13 environmental components of autism, people at the Mind Institute that are working on immune disregulation and 14 15 autism, Federico Balzoa in Italy that's working on gut abnormalities in autism. So the list could go on but 16 we don't limit ourselves to what is just within our 17 18 institute's publications.

19 Q Would it be fair to say that you give more 20 weight to those articles that your colleagues at the 21 Autism Institute are feel are helpful?

A That would be a fair statement. And I also give more weight to articles where I've had the opportunity to discuss them with the authors.

25 Q Doctor, you were asked a number of questions Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4247

1 about the different treatment therapies that Dr. Green 2 provided to both William Mead and Jordan King, and 3 that I think you also use to some extent in your own 4 practice?

5

That's true.

Α

One issue that was asked about was 6 Ο 7 chelation, the use of chelation therapy. And I 8 believe that you said that, you testified that even 9 though or that the justification for the use of 10 chelation in your practice was really targeted towards 11 the lead that was present; is that what you testified to? 12

13 Α That's not exactly the way I meant to say I was saying that we look at various types of 14 it. toxicity, and lead is very, very common. 15 So when we do porphyrin analyses the two main things that we're 16 looking for in those porphyrins are lead and mercury. 17 18 And we have come to very much appreciate how much they 19 co-exist. And so treating lead toxicity is well 20 within something that pediatricians have had experience with in terms of treating that with DMSA, 21 22 which was also used in these boys.

23 Q What symptoms of lead toxicity are you 24 relying on for the justification to do chelation 25 therapy?

4248

1 Well, the classic symptoms of lead toxicity А 2 include irritability, hyperactivity, or declines in 3 cognitive performance. But as you may know, the American Academy of Pediatrics and the CDC have 4 recommended ongoing lead screening for children 5 because it is not felt to be prudent to rely on 6 7 development of symptoms as opposed to trying to 8 address lead toxicity if it exists in a child somewhat 9 unsymptomatically at the time.

10 Q So you would require some testing showing an 11 abnormal lead level in the blood before you would do 12 chelation therapy in that child?

A No. Because the lead levels in the blood only persist for a relatively short time. The blood turns over very quickly within two to three months. So unless you are getting the child at the age of the acute lead exposure, you may miss the exposure in the blood. And so you are left with indirect

19 measurements.

20 Q So it's your testimony that blood testing is 21 not a reliable measure of lead body burden?

22 A Right. It can be used to look for acute 23 exposure.

24 Q Doctor, you would at least agree that people 25 have died from chelation therapy; correct?

1 Α Yes. 2 Ο And I believe you mentioned one case that 3 Dr. Rust raised in his testimony but that's not the 4 only case in which someone has died from chelation therapy; is that right? 5 He mentioned four. And I consulted with 6 А several of my colleagues and we could not find four 7 8 cases. We were only aware -- I'm mostly aware of the 9 one that I mentioned. And I think that there was one other one of which I'm not familiar. But I do not 10 11 know the third or fourth case. 12 Are you aware of one case that actually 0 13 involved there was a lawsuit that was brought and one of the defendants that was named in that lawsuit was 14 Metametrix which is one of the labs that's done 15 testing in these two cases? 16 Α I was not aware that Metametrix was named in 17 18 that lawsuit, no. You talked a little bit about some of the 19 0 20 therapies that Dr. Rust criticized. And I wanted to ask you a couple of questions about those. 21 And let's start out with IVIG since that was the first one that 22 23 you discussed. 24 Α Uh-huh. 25 How does IVIG treat persistent inorganic 0

DR. MUMPER, MD - CROSS 4250 1 mercury in the brain? 2 Α I am not saying that it does. So whether IVIG treatment is effective in 3 0 any given case really doesn't speak to the issue of 4 whether thimerosal from vaccines contributed to 5 autism; is that correct? 6 It speaks to the issue that he was treating 7 Α 8 documented low IqG levels in those children. 9 And that's not specific to persistent 0 10 inorganic mercury in the brain; is that right? 11 Α Not to my knowledge. 12 How does Eskimo oil treat persistent 0 13 inorganic mercury in the brain? I am not aware of any studies that have 14 Α 15 assessed that specifically. So the ineffectiveness or effectiveness of 16 0 17 Eskimo oil in treating symptoms of autism really 18 doesn't speak to whether thimerosal from vaccines 19 contributes to autism; is that right? 20 Α That's correct. It's being used for intestinal reasons and the other reasons that I 21 22 articulated. 23 0 How does valtrex treat persistent inorganic 24 mercury in the brain? 25 Actually I think that if you use valtrex to Α Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4251

1 decrease adenosine which would then allow methylation 2 biochemistry to proceed, the ultimate result of that 3 would be an increase in glutathione as demonstrated in Dr. James' work. Cysteine and glutathione are part of 4 the integral mechanisms for handling mercury. 5 And so whereas John was not doing it specifically with the 6 target of working on inorganic mercury in the brain, 7 8 that is actually quite a biologically plausible way to improve detoxification capacities through the body's 9 own natural mechanism since qlutathione is the primary 10 11 thing that we rely on to try to handle mercury 12 toxicity.

13 Q And remind me again, what is valtrex, what's 14 the primary clinical use for valtrex?

15 A It's an antiviral agent but it's also a 16 purine analog and so that's where its utility in 17 dealing with adenosine comes in.

18 Q And when you say "antiviral" I believe you 19 said Dr. Rust testified that it was used for use in 20 genital herpes; is that correct?

A Right. And it's also been looked at for other types of viral infections, HHV6, Epstein-Barr virus, cytomegalovirus. I'm not sure from John's notes if he was primarily using the viral mechanism or the adenosine mechanism, or both.

1 Q Are you aware that valtrex has never been 2 tested in a pediatric population?

A I would not be surprised because many drugs that we use are not tested under the age of 12. However, acyclovir is the drug of indication for newborn herpes encephalitis. And valtrex breaks down to acyclovir. So we have clear precedent in standard medical practice for using it in even newborns.

9 Q Would you be more comfortable using that, 10 using valtrex if it had been tested in the pediatric 11 population?

A We have become used to not always having that luxury, but it's always great when the studies are done on the children. So, yes, I would be more comfortable.

Q And I think you alluded to earlier that there aren't case-controlled studies on the use of many of these therapies; is that right?

19

A That is true.

20 Q Would you feel more comfortable as a 21 pediatrician treating children if these various 22 treatments had been tested in a case-controlled study?

A As long as the case-controlled study took
into account the medical problems of the child and was
not very heterogeneous, yes, I would.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

Q And are you testifying here today that you believe that your clinical judgment about the effectiveness of these treatment therapies is more reliable than a case-controlled study would be?

I'm testifying that when we are trying to 5 Α take care of a generation of children and being 6 overwhelmed by their medical problems that we are in a 7 8 position where we are trying to take care of the 9 individual patient and we feel some urgency that we 10 can't wait for 10 or 20 years. These children seem to 11 have a window of opportunity where if you treat their 12 medical problems they get better. And with the 13 timeline of applying for grants, getting the studies completed and analyzing the results and then the meta-14 analyses, we are proceeding in good faith, using our 15 best clinical judgment, realizing that we don't have 16 good case control studies for all that we do. 17

Q And I've heard you use the phrase in the past, refer to the concept the child is your laboratory. Is the approach you just described what you are referring to when you say the child is your laboratory?

23 A That's a shortcut way of saying that when 24 you're doing intervention your biggest outcome is how 25 it affects a particular child. We certainly use a lot

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4254

1 of laboratory values in helping us assess the child. 2 But if we are able, for example, to give valtrex and 3 document that for a particular child their adenosine 4 level went from high to the normal range, as it did in Jill James' work, that is more important to me than 5 what acyclovir did in 20 kids that are not my patient, 6 because for that patient it demonstrated an 7 8 improvement.

9 Q So in other words, you're willing at least 10 at this point to rely on your clinical judgment, even 11 in the absence of case-controlled studies showing that 12 these treatments are effective?

13 A There are case controlled studies looking at 14 a number of these treatments showing their efficacy. 15 That has been demonstrated, for example, for B6. 16 There are about 22 studies demonstrating efficacy. 17 There have been studies looking at omega-3 and 18 demonstrating efficacy. We have looked at multiple 19 vitamins and we demonstrated efficacy.

But much more work remains to be done. Q And those treatments that you just talked about are those the ones that are targeted at the oxidative stress issue?

A Many of them are, yes.

25 Q And oxidative stress is not specific to Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

DR. MUMPER, MD - CROSS 4255 1 mercury toxicity; is that right? 2 Α That's correct. 3 0 Those, other things can cause oxidative stress? 4 Α That's correct. 5 Doctor, did you listen to the testimony of 6 0 7 Dr. Rutter or Dr. Lord or Dr. Fombonne? 8 Α No. I was not able to hear Dr. Rutter or And I only heard part of Dr. Fombonne's 9 Dr. Lord. 10 testimony when I was driving up yesterday. 11 Q I believe you testified when you were here a 12 couple of weeks ago that you don't diagnose autism; is 13 that right? I do rely on other psychologists, 14 Α Yes. 15 psychiatrists to be the one who makes the diagnosis. I'm concerned that if I were to diagnose them and then 16 take care of them and they get better that the 17 18 criticism would be levied that I must have 19 misdiagnosed them in the first place. 20 Do you know what the ADIR is? 0 21 Α Yes. 22 Q Can you tell us? 23 Α Autism Diagnostic Interview Revised. 24 Do you use that tool in your practice? Q 25 I get reports on it from other Α I do not.

DR. MUMPER, MD - CROSS 4256 1 people but we do not do those intakes ourselves. 2 Do you know what the ADOS is? Q 3 Α The Autism Diagnostic Observation Scale. 0 Do you use that in your practice? 4 I typically do not. We did use the ADOS in 5 Α one of our clinical trials in which we hired a 6 psychologist to administer it to our patients. 7 But I 8 do not have any experience administering it myself. Again I rely on reports from other doctors. 9 Do you know whether the ADIR or ADOS have 10 Q 11 any questions that are targeted to the issue of determining whether a regression has occurred? 12 13 MR. POWERS: I'm going to object. This is a re-do of the cross-examination of this witness and is 14 not addressed to any of the issues that she discussed 15 in her rebuttal testimony today. I believe that 16 opportunity to raise these issues would have been 17 18 during cross or during re-cross following her direct 19 testimony. This is not surrebuttal. 20 Special Masters, we have heard MR. JOHNSON: a great deal of testimony from Dr. Mumper today based 21 22 on the videos in which she is purporting to identify 23 abnormal development as opposed to normal development. 24 I think this goes directly to her qualifications for 25 being able to offer that testimony.

DR. MUMPER, MD - CROSS 4257 1 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Proceed. 2 MR. JOHNSON: Thank you. 3 THE WITNESS: My memory is that the ADIR does have some targeted questions that work on 4 identifying regression. 5 BY MR. JOHNSON: 6 7 0 Do you know what those questions are? 8 Α No, I do not. In your own practice do you use any 9 0 10 standardized questionnaire when you are taking a 11 parent history or a patient history from the parent? 12 We use an intake form. Α It is not 13 standardized. What questions do you ask to determine if 14 0 15 there's been a regression? We look for -- we ask questions about age-16 Α appropriate language, social and reciprocal behavior. 17 18 We look for a time at which the child seems to be 19 meeting milestones. Then we look for a period where 20 they clearly lose those milestones. 21 The classic example is to expect that the 22 skills are obtained and then they're clearly lost and 23 that there's a period of time, some people use three 24 months, between the time that they clearly 25 demonstrated that and then have clearly lost it. Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 Q Can you give some examples of specific 2 questions that you ask?

A How many words did your child have at one
4 year? Momma, Dadda, hi, bye and Nonna.

How many questions did your child have at 18
months? He was no longer speaking any words but
sometimes he's just "ummm" or "mmmm."

8 Q Were you giving examples of both the 9 question and an answer to the question?

10 A Right. Right. The question initially is 11 assessing language at a certain point and then 12 assessing language at another certain point. And I 13 was trying to give an example of regression.

Q You determined in this case that there was totally normal development based solely on the review of -- your review of the medical records; is that right?

18 Α I made the judgment that up to some point 19 that the child appeared to me to be normally 20 developing based the notations in the well-baby checkups which I went through month by month, and on 21 the basis of the videos that I was able to review in 22 23 which the normal development seemed to correlate with 24 what had been annotated in the pediatrician's records. 25 And then at some other point there was loss of

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4259

1 language, loss of social reciprocity, loss of 2 reciprocal behavior and appropriate play versus 3 ritualistic play. Now, you didn't review the videos until the 0 4 Thursday before you testified; is that correct? 5 6 Α That is correct. I did not get them until 7 then. So at the time that I wrote the report I was 8 very much dependent on the pediatric records which seemed to be doing a state of the art kind of 9 assessment at the well-baby visits and then clearly 10 11 documenting a regression. 12 And you also at the time of your report had 0 13 not interviewed the parents; is that correct? 14 Α That is correct, yes. Did you hear Drs. Rutter, Lord and Fombonne 15 0 all testify that parents often don't recognize early 16 subtle signs of abnormal development? 17 I did not hear that testimony but I do know 18 Α 19 that Rutter and Lord and Fombonne have written about that and talked about the subtle signs. 20 Do you disagree with their testimony on that 21 Q 22 issue? 23 Α I think there are certainly cases in which 24 parents overlook subtle signs. And if there are 25 subtle signs that I missed on these videos I will be Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. MUMPER, MD - CROSS 4260 1 open to learning from those colleagues.

I think the fundamental issue though is do these kids look like they're abnormal from birth or do they look like they're on a normal developmental trajectory and then something happens that interferes with that. So that for me is the crucial kind of issue.

8 Q I think you testified that you did not see 9 evidence on the videos of Jordan King not wanting to 10 be held; am I characterizing your testimony correctly?

11 A I think I said that I saw a number of cases 12 where he was being held. Now, there were a couple of 13 examples on the videotape where he did try to get out 14 of the parent's arms. And so I just was trying to 15 point out that the sort of all or nothing situation 16 doesn't exist. And I think that he was able to 17 tolerate being held many times.

Q You would agree that there are notations in the medical record that indicate his mother reporting that Jordan didn't like to be held as an infant,

21 wouldn't you?

Yes.

22 A

Q In fact, at Jordan King Exhibit 8, page 109, notes "Mother noted that Jordan was more content not to be held as an infant." Would that be one of the

DR. MUMPER, MD - CROSS 4261 1 notations that you saw when you reviewed the record? 2 Α I think so. I'd just like to look and see 3 where it is. (Witness reviews document.) 4 Yes. 5 And also at Jordan King Exhibit 8, page 87 -6 Ο 7 - no, sorry. Yes, page 87, does it say "at 3 months 8 he was never an I-want-to-be-held child but did allow it then grew out of that"? 9 Uh-huh. 10 Α 11 Doctor, I think you testified a couple of Q weeks ago that you don't typically use videos in your 12 13 own practice; is that right? That is correct. 14 Α That you normally just don't have time to 15 0 view the videos? 16 Α 17 Right. 18 0 Were you ever asked -- let me ask this. 19 When were you first asked by counsel to go through the videos and identify clips that you thought or that in 20 your opinion showed normal development? 21 22 Α Gosh. I can't, I can't really remember the I think it was sometime about two weeks after 23 timing. 24 the DAN conference, which would have put it maybe in the third week of April. But I'm not at all sure 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 about that.

2	Q And you obviously didn't view the videos at
3	that time, is that right, because you only saw them
4	for the first time the Thursday before you testified?
5	A The I'm trying to remember now. I
6	remember there was a Saturday morning that I spent a
7	great deal of time looking at them. I guess what I
8	really need to determine is when I actually received
9	them. And, I'm sorry, I can't remember the timing on
10	it.
11	Q So as you sit here you just can't recall
12	when you first viewed the videos?
13	A All I can say with certainty is that it was
14	sometime after our big Defeat Autism Now conference
15	which was sometime in early April.
16	Q And did you prepare any notes at that time
17	regarding the videos?
18	A I have a bunch of notes. One set the first
19	time I reviewed them, another set trying to hone in on
20	what was testified on by Dr. Rust. So the honing in
21	happened this past weekend. The first review I think
22	I only, I think I only got the notes the Thursday
23	before I was due to testify the following Friday, so I
24	had looked at it the weekend before my testimony.
25	That's my best recollection. So I have two sets of
	Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4263

notes from the first review the weekend before I
 testified and from the second review the weekend
 before this testimony.

Was it communicated to you when you first 4 0 received the videos approximately two weeks after the 5 Defeat Autism Now conference that you're referring to, 6 was it communicated to you at that time that the 7 8 Petitioners were asked to designate specific portions of the videos that they contended showed normal 9 10 development? 11 Α I may have misunderstood the timing on that 12 because I didn't realize I was supposed to submit that 13 way ahead. I'm sorry. I quess I may have

14 misunderstood that.

15 Q And have you ever been provided a copy of 16 Respondent's video designations?

17 A No.

18 MR. JOHNSON: Thank you. I have nothing19 further.

20 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Any further 21 questions from Petitioners' counsel?

22 MR. POWERS: Not at this time, no.

23 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Any

24 questions from my colleagues?

25 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Yes. I have just Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 a couple I think, Dr. Mumper.

2 According to your report and my view of the 3 record as well, Jordan received the thimerosal in question at birth and at age 2, 4 and 6 months. So 4 according to your report your summary is that by the 5 time he was 7 months old he had received a total of 6 187.5 micrograms of ethyl mercury? 7 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Is that right? 9 I think that sounds correct. 10 THE WITNESS: 11 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: So I want to ask you then is the timing of the onset of Jordan's 12 13 symptoms is it crucial to your ultimate opinion, you've indicated the opinion that in Jordan's case you 14 15 think it's probable that the thimerosal and that series of vaccines contributed to his autism? 16 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. 18 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Would it matter in 19 that opinion whether the first symptoms occurred at 18 months or 13 months or 9 months? Does it matter? 20 21 Would you opinion be the same? 22 THE WITNESS: No, sir, it really doesn't 23 matter to me because I think the crucial thing here is 24 that mercury can be latent for a period of months 25 before it manifests. The classic example of that is a Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4265

1 lab researcher who got two drops of mercury on her 2 gloved hand and seemed fine for about three or four 3 months, then go dramatically ill and ultimately died. So the concept of it being there and not causing overt 4 symptoms for a while as yet to be determined until we 5 study this better is entirely consistent with what I 6 believe to be the case here. 7 8 So for me the crucial thing is more than at least initially he seemed to be developing normally 9 10 and then he had the development of autistic symptoms. 11 And whether they started at 15 months, 18 months, 20 12 months or 22 months doesn't really change my mind 13 about the plausibility that thimerosal was a contributing factor. 14 15 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: All right. And that wouldn't change if they occurred even earlier 16 than that, say 13 months? 17 18 THE WITNESS: Right. Because in this case 19 his exposure, his first exposure was a hepatitis B vaccine at birth which he got when his mother had been 20 given antibiotics for a fever and he had just been 21 22 So the initial exposure was quite early on. born. So 23 it's very difficult for me to tie an exact timeline to 24 overt symptoms. 25 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: All right. That's

DR. MUMPER, MD - CROSS 4266 1 all I have. 2 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: I have a 3 couple. Would that same observation apply in the 4 Mead case? 5 6 THE WITNESS: Yes. 7 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: The other 8 matter I wanted to get from you, you had indicated there were three particular record citations regarding 9 the normal head size at birth --10 11 THE WITNESS: That's correct. SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: -- for 12 13 William. I only noted one. Perhaps you didn't say all three of them but I'd like to get those. 14 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Let me see if I can 15 The problem is is that the second one 16 find that page. I cited did not have an exhibit number on my copy. 17 So 18 I will turn my paper copy over and one page has two 19 different citations, one about head size and one about skin. And so it's titled Providence St. Vincent 20 21 Medical Center nursery admission record. 22 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Right. 23 And the third one? 24 THE WITNESS: The third one is on the same 25 page as the second one. Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

4267

1 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Okay. 2 THE WITNESS: It was just three different 3 places that addressed the issue of maybe the head size was off because of trauma. 4 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: 5 Let me 6 inquire, I recall that your testimony on -- during 7 your initial time, and I can't remember whether it was 8 direct or cross, but you look for deviations from the standard that would cause you to be concerned about 9 10 head circumference. Dr. Rust gave some testimony that 11 really it didn't matter what the head, the birth size or birth time measurement for the head circumference 12 13 was, he looks for trends. THE WITNESS: 14 True. 15 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Do you disagree with the looking for trends in your personal 16 practice or what I'm trying to get at is do you think 17 18 that is an invalid way or are you challenging the 19 validity of what he said? 20 THE WITNESS: No. I agree completely with 21 him that trends are important. And I also am open to 22 the possibility that any isolated point could be an 23 That's why when he postulated an error in this error. 24 case I went back to see if maybe the head was noted to 25 be misshapen or have a cephalhematoma or caput Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

4268

succedaneum because he was postulating that the higher
 head circumference at birth might have been
 artifactual.

The trend he's looking for is a normal or 4 low head size that then goes up and then comes back 5 And that has been classically described in many 6 down. different cases of researchers that are looking at 7 8 head circumference as one way of understanding autism. And that model fits well with a lot of the published 9 I was just pointing out that we didn't 10 literature. 11 seem to have that model in William Mead and that if you were going to throw away that first measurement 12 13 because it was high and therefore the trend wouldn't have been as dramatic, it would be nice to have more 14 15 than just speculation that it might have been wrong or that the child might have had head trauma. 16

And it just seems like from the medical records that potentially limited as they are that we do not have reason to think that his head really wasn't that size at birth, that it really wasn't 80th to 85th percentile at birth.

But I agree, trends are important, much moreso than individual numbers; correct.

24 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: And just to 25 be clear about your position in this particular case,

4269

you don't think that that trend exists, it is your interpretation of William Mead's records that that trend does not exist for William Mead, the trend to which Dr. Rust referred?

THE WITNESS: I think for me it's going to 5 be an unanswered question. 6 It seems to me that we 7 have evidence that he started out on a growth 8 percentile for his head that was very much in keeping with the rest of his body. He did show some 9 elevations in his head circumference at the 4, 6 and 9 10 11 month checkup. And then he comes down a little bit above the 50th percentile. So it is a little bit of a 12 13 trend that shows the decrease in head circumference after an initial higher point. I just don't want to 14 15 leave out the possibility that initially he was already at a high point. 16

SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you.
Have my questions of Special Master Hastings'
questions provoked further questions from counsel?

20 MR. POWERS: They have not, Special Master. 21 Just to note for you that the exhibit number that Dr. 22 Mumper was referring to, and this is in William Mead's 23 individual file, it's Exhibit 3, page 13.

24 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you25 very much.

DR. MUMPER, MD - CROSS 4270 1 Does that conclude Petitioners' presentation 2 of your rebuttal witnesses? 3 MR. WILLIAMS: We have one more matter. Special Master Vowell had asked me to lay a foundation 4 for the limitations on the data that Dr. Young and 5 Geiers had to deal with when they produced their 6 7 studv. And I have a letter from Dr. Young explaining 8 that and also responding to some of the criticisms that Dr. Fombonne made two days ago. And we've marked 9 this as Petitioners' Exhibit 17. 10 11 We will file it. 12 (The document referred to was 13 marked for identification as 14 Petitioners' Exhibit No. 17.) SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: 15 Thank you. Are you planning to address these? 16 MR. WILLIAMS: No. Although I will state I 17 18 checked with her and she is available that week in 19 July if the Special Masters would want to ask her questions or if Respondent wants to ask her questions, 20 she'll be here. I think she could come any one of 21 22 those five days. And I doubt if her testimony would 23 be very lengthy in any way, so. 24 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you. 25 MR. MATANOSKI: Your Honor, I will consider Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1	this new trial exhibit and determine whether we have
2	any objection to the extent it may constitute a
3	rebuttal evidence to prior testimony that was
4	previously unexplained, unanticipated as it were.
5	SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: So noted.
6	Should you like to lodge a formal objection you will
7	draw it to our attention?
8	MR. MATANOSKI: Yes, that's correct, ma'am.
9	SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Let me take
10	a look here. We are at about 1:20. And my question
11	to Respondent's counsel, do you have witnesses that
12	you intend to introduce or put on this afternoon?
13	MR. MATANOSKI: Yes, ma'am, we do.
14	SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Do you have
15	an idea about does it make sense for us to press on a
16	little bit longer or is this an appropriate time for I
17	will call it a lunch break?
18	MR. MATANOSKI: I think it would be the
19	appropriate time for a lunch break, ma'am.
20	SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: With that
21	said, how much time would counsel require to eat and
22	for your working lunch?
23	MR. MATANOSKI: If I may have a moment, Your
24	Honor?
25	SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Please. We
	Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. MUMPER, MD - CROSS won't charge this minute. (Pause.) MR. MATANOSKI: Forty-five minutes should be fine for us, ma'am. SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Okay. That puts us roughly at 2:05 that we will return. And we will take a lunch recess and return then. MR. MATANOSKI: Thank you, ma'am. SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you. (Whereupon, at 1:20 p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter was recessed, to reconvene at 2:05 p.m. this same day, Friday, May 30, 2008.) 

1	<u>A F T E R N O O N S E S S I O N</u>
2	(2:12 p.m.)
3	SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Please be
4	seated.
5	Respondent's counsel, I understand you have
6	some witnesses to introduce to rebut some testimony
7	that you've heard. It appears that Dr. Fombonne has
8	retaken the stand.
9	Dr. Fombonne, you will continue under the
10	oath that was administered to which you swore earlier.
11	Whereupon,
12	ERIC FOMBONNE
13	having been previously duly sworn, was
14	recalled as a rebuttal witness herein and was examined
15	and testified further as follows:
16	MR. MATANOSKI: Thank you.
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	BY MS. RICCIARDELLA:
19	Q Good afternoon, Dr. Fombonne, welcome back.
20	A Good afternoon.
21	Q Would you please state your name again for
22	the record?
23	A Eric Fombonne.
24	Q Dr. Fombonne, there was some discussion
25	today by Dr. Mumper about the various treatments that
	Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

Jordan King and William Mead have been receiving for their treatments for autism and other related, allegedly related conditions. Are the majority of those treatments recommended by the majority of autism sexperts?

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - DIRECT

A No. Actually none of them is recommended by autism experts. And there are actually published guidelines about the evaluation and the management of children with autism by the American Academy of Pediatrics or neurologists, and none of them recommends these practices.

12 Q Is there any evidence as to the efficacy of13 those treatments?

A No. That's one of the reasons that there is no evidence for their efficacy, no evidence for the reason for them to work, but there is no published studies which would suggest that it would change the course of autism.

19 Are any of those treatments dangerous? Q 20 Yes. Often these treatments are thought to Α 21 be innocuous by parents who are trying to do 22 everything they can. And we understand that. But 23 some of these treatments might actually be detrimental 24 to the health of the children. So chelation therapy could be, as we know, dangerous if it is not well 25

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 administered and well controlled. The use of 2 megavitamins, B-12, B-6 and magnesium treatment has 3 been associated with cases of neurotoxicity at times. And the diet with gluten free case in free, for 4 instance, has been studied and published two years 5 ago where it has been shown that the children who were 6 strictly on this diet actually had lower levels of 7 8 plasma amino acids which are essential for growth and 9 brain growth in particular.

10 So the belief that these treatments can be 11 tried and would be harmless anyway is actually not 12 supported by the data.

13 Q Are there standards that are used by the 14 medical and scientific community before a treatment is 15 recommended?

There are different kinds of standards 16 Α Yes. to evaluate the efficacy of interventions. 17 The rule 18 is to rely on evidence which is the most robust which stems from randomized clinical trials which are 19 20 usually double blind placebo controlled and for this method there is no study which has been relying on 21 22 this method for the practices of the treatment which 23 has been discussed this morning.

24 Q Do you have experience with randomized 25 clinical trials?

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4276

1 Yes, actually I did. I started my research Α 2 career working on a randomized clinical trial, did a 3 thesis on that, my first two publications I think had to do with randomized clinical trials. And I am 4 currently we are testing the efficacy in a randomized 5 clinical trial of a treatment which is not biomedical 6 which a language-based intervention to improve 7 8 communication skills in young children with autism. And we did a randomized clinical trial. It's a 12-9 And I located at at random parents 10 weeks treatment. 11 and their children to a group where they were 12 immediately treated with this intervention. And there 13 was a waiting list control group and 36 families or children in each group, so it's guite powerful in 14 15 terms of the statistical power.

I just want to share with you our findings 16 that it's an intervention that everybody likes. 17 When we did the trial we had all the impression that it was 18 19 actually achieving some of the positive results. 20 Parents were happy and were convinced that the methods were showing efficacy. And we did too. But as we did 21 22 the study well we didn't analyze the data before the 23 data were finally collected. And when we broke the 24 blind and looked at the results and there is no 25 evidence for a big difference between the two

treatment groups which is breaking my heart in some ways. But that also shows that our experience as clinicians and as parents can be misleading.

And I think the field of autism has been 4 replete over the last 30, 40 years of treatments and 5 interventions that practitioners engage into and their 6 7 parents apply to their children. And the story has 8 been that when you take these practices and put them to redraw some clinical tests, that of the randomized 9 clinical trial usually the story is much more 10 11 disappointing. And a case in point is this secretin 12 story.

13 0 The secretin study that you're referring to? Yes, yes. And again that was huge 14 Α 15 enthusiasm after a few cases reported by the literature by practitioners. It is changing its 16 improvement with autism, and it was to the extent that 17 18 parents worldwide were wanting to have their children 19 using secretin. And I think the NIH at that time 20 funded three separate randomized clinical trials which were conducted, it took about five years to do that. 21 22 And when the results were released all these three 23 randomized clinical trials were negative, there was 24 no, absolutely no advantage for secretin over placebo. 25 So that helped to resolve the question. But still you

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - DIRECT 1 had like five or six years of practices where people 2 believed in it, both practitioners and parents. 3 And the point is that the clinical experience is in no way a measure of the efficacy of a 4 treatment, including mine. 5 Dr. Mumper also discussed IVIG treatment and 6 Ο

she took issue with Dr. Rust's criticism of IVIG 7 8 treatment. Do you have any experience with IVIG?

9 Yes. Actually we did publish, we see the Α first author in my C.V. is, Laura stern, a fellow 10 11 which I supervised. It's a small study of a group of 12 about 20 children. Who were assessed in the 13 Immunology Department of the Montreal Children's Hospital at the time when this treatment became very 14 15 fashionable, should I say, so many parents wanted to have access to this treatment. And rather than to do 16 nothing with that our immunologists reluctantly 17 18 initially but said, well, let's explore their immune 19 system and see if there is really a deficiency in, 20 immunuglobins in the children.

And we published this study in an 21 22 immunological journal. And in fact we didn't, we 23 failed to find evidence that there was a deficit in 24 immunoglobins and there was just one child who had an 25 unusual pattern who received IVIG at the end as a sort

> Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

of attempt to help him, but there was no particular
 evidence for efficacy.

3 So the story is that as a routine treatment 4 it has no place in the management of autism unless you 5 have a documented deficit, immunological deficit which 6 has to have certain characteristics.

Q Now, Dr. Mumper also discussed single-study baseline studies. You take one child and you look a the efficacy of various treatments applied to that one child. Do you have any experience yourself with single study baseline studies?

We want to have randomized clinical 12 А Yes. 13 trials but often we don't have this level of evidence, so there are lower levels of evidence to ascertain the 14 efficacy of interventions, particularly in the 15 behavioral domain but also using medication or 16 17 biomedical intervention. So the single subject design 18 with multiple baseline evaluation is a way to test.

You measure a child without doing anything with him at several points in time so you assess his baseline of behavior. And then you administer the treatment that you think might make a difference and then you follow by several assessments and then you remove the intervention and you expect that the child would respond to the treatment. And you would go back
4280

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - DIRECT 1 to baseline if you remove the interventions. So 2 that's a way to observe over time the child before, 3 during and after treatment in a way which is more 4 rigorous and allows to draw some meaningful inferences. The causality inference might sometimes 5 be subject to caution, but it's a progress compared to 6 7 the simple clinical acumen that people have when they 8 say that's what I like to do, that's what I do, it seems to work; that is not enough. 9

And I am struck that this design has been 10 11 available for years and the people who support the 12 chelation therapies and all this sort of treatment 13 have failed completely to publish data which is rigorous and can be analyzed in this sort of 14 15 preliminary way.

Doctor, I'd like to discuss the videos. 16 0 We were shown some videos this morning by Dr. Mumper that 17 18 allegedly show Jordan King and William Mead's normal 19 development before their regression. Do you have any 20 comments, before we look at some specific videos that we saw this morning do you have any comments with 21 22 regard to Dr. Mumper's methodology, the way she 23 assessed the videotapes?

24 Α I think there are some videos in which Yes. 25 her comments were not actually supported by what's on Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4281

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - DIRECT 1 the video. And I will give some examples of that. 2 As I said the other day, what is very 3 important when we assess children with autism is to look at the quality of the behavior. It's not only 4 it's there or not, we need to evaluate the quality. 5 So when we talk about social reciprocity we need to 6 assess if the child, for instance, initiated 7 8 interaction or responded to an initiation of interaction by someone. Then you look at the quality 9 10 of the interchange and how it goes on back and forth. 11 That's the quality that we want to evaluate.

12 So that the child initiated at one point 13 behavior is not evidence that there is good quality or qood reciprocity in social interaction. 14 That's one 15 aspect.

And the same for babbles. For instance, 16 there are some utterances, babbles or even words which 17 18 are used you need to assess how spontaneously they are 19 used by the child, do they have a communicative 20 function, is there communicative intent, and what happens if then they're responded to and is there 21 22 really a conversational or interchange with a child 23 who babbles or a child who has a few words. So you 24 need to assess these qualities otherwise it can be 25 misleading.

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - DIRECT 4282 1 So I think that we would represent a few 2 clips again and look at them in a different way. I also wanted to correct some comments that 3 Dr. Mumper made about object permanency. Object 4 permanency has to do with cognitive development. 5 I'm sorry; what? 6 0 7 Α Object permanency. When she was looking at 8 Jordan King dropping the toys and he was looking at 9 his toys, that has nothing to do with object 10 permanency. This is a concept that has to do with 11 cognitive development in young children which is 12 assessed when you present an object to a child and 13 then you remove it from his visual field and then you see if he is looking for this object once it has 14 15 disappeared. In that particular case comments are not appropriate to what we saw. 16 17 And that -- yes?

18 Q Any other general comments before we look at 19 the clips?

A The other comments is that I think the debate was is there evidence of abnormal development before the regression, or can we determined that the child was developing absolutely normally up to the point of the regression. We can re-discuss this issue about the timing of regression later. But I think

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - DIRECT

1 it's, again, Dr. Mumper should know that we in the 2 field do not consider that we can actually detect abnormalities in most children with autism before the 3 age of 12 months. So her showing clips at 3 months or 4 5 months of age are not informative at all because 5 it's not a period of the development where you would 6 7 expect to pick up the specific abnormalities seen in 8 autistic children.

9 We have ongoing, it's documented in so many studies that I don't want to overwhelm you with the 10 11 literature, but we have ongoing prospective studies 12 where we follow children who are siblings of already 13 diagnosed children. And this is an ongoing project which involves several teams worldwide. And so the 14 15 siblings, a proportion of which is as high as 15 percent, would later develop autism, is followed from 16 17 And therefore we have an opportunity to birth. 18 observe prospectively the development in order to 19 identify the first signs of what will become autism in 20 some of them. And up to the age of 10 months, 11 months we usually when we compare them to those who 21 22 will not develop autism or to typically developing 23 children we don't find much even with standardized 24 assessment procedure.

25 It is mostly around the age of 12 months Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4283

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - DIRECT

4284

that we start to see subtle abnormalities in social communication which are indicative of autism but not before that age. So I think using clips up to the age is not evidence of anything.

5 Q Would you like to play some of the clips 6 that we saw?

7 A Yes. So maybe we should go with maybe, I8 don't know, we should go to Jordan King's?

9 Q King's first. How about Number 4, "Plays 10 with Cat"?

11 Α Number 4, yes. And let me before seeing the tape, what is important because this is an example of 12 13 an observation that would fool many people who don't look at the right things. And it's natural. 14 I just want to draw the attention of the Masters of the 15 amounts of vocalization that the child is producing 16 17 during that clip, and also to look at how he interacts 18 with others who are around him and does he pay -- for 19 instance does he orient to them, does he respond, does 20 he give eye contact to any of them, does he produce 21 any vocalization or any gesture? That is the kind of 22 thing that we would like to see that a normal child in 23 that circumstance should have showed.

24 So let's have it.

25 Q And just Dr. Mumper identified this segment Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888 Case 1:03-vv-00584-MBH Document 123 Filed 10/23/08 Page 186 of 275

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - DIRECT 4285 1 as Jordan's age approximately 13 to 16 months old? 2 Α Yes. 3 0 Would it be possible to use Number 4. (Jordan King Video Clip No. 4 played.) 4 So he's interested in the cat, absolutely. 5 Α But he doesn't really look at his parents or look at 6 7 the grandmother, if it's the grandmother. He will go 8 and follow the cat, which is very interesting. 9 You see, she approaches, she touches him, he 10 doesn't really give eye contact at any time. And 11 there is no babble, no vocalizations at all. I want to say that it's a small thing but I 12 13 want to remind here that the father wrote he was never These video are highly consistent with 14 a babbler. what the parents reported at the time. And just as a 15 point, I reviewed all the videos of Jordan King, I 16 have never heard one word. There is no word that he 17 18 used, very few vocalizations. When there are a few 19 vocalizations they are usually not socially directed and their communicative intent is dubious. 20 The next clip I would like to play Number 5. 21 Q 22 On the next clip this clip was used as Α 23 evidence of his gesturing by Dr. Mumper. And you will 24 see a partial gesture. But what matters is the quality and the spontaneity of gesture. And here you 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - DIRECT

4286

1 will see that indeed he's responding to an adult, 2 trying to engage and the adult opens his arms. And 3 it's to that initiation by the adult of this movement that Jordan starts to respond. And we will see the 4 response is actually partial, he doesn't really get --5 6 doesn't complete the gesture. 7 But what is important for us when we assess 8 these tapes is to look at the spontaneous initiation of communicative acts by the child. 9 This is not 10 spontaneous, he is responding partially to the 11 initiation of an adult. ( Jordan King Video Clip No. 5 played.) 12 13 Α You see, she engages him and then, then he But it's not, it's not initiated by him. 14 responds. 15 And this is a quality that you need to assess. Do we have some clip 9? 16 Yes, Number 9, "Building the Marimba." 17 0 18 Α Yes. 19 ( Jordan King Video Clip No. 9 played.) 20 This is the sequence where he is building up something with his father. And, yes, he is interested 21 22 And again, children with autism usually are in toys. 23 very interested in manipulating toys, doing physical 24 activities, so this type of physical functional play 25 is often present. And what is lacking is imaginative Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - DIRECT 4287 1 play or creative play at a later stage. So the fact 2 that he is interested in toys or musical objects is 3 absolutely fine and doesn't rule out autism at all. But on that tape, on that clip again let's 4 look at the amount of vocalization that the child 5 used. Does he direct the attention of his father to 6 7 something that he does? Does he respond? Is there 8 interchange between the two? You will see there is not much, it's more the father is here, he's there 9 observing it what he does, and then following his own 10 11 agenda in playing with his toys, but there is no 12 really social interchange I think it's the quality 13 which is not there. ( Jordan King Clip No. 9 played.) 14 15 You see, he's remarkably quiet. I mean there are a few, a few moments where his father 16 imitates the drill and he copies that, but he doesn't 17 18 progress. There is no more vocalization and then he 19 doesn't initiate any attempt to an interaction with 20 Just he follows passively. And there is no his dad. words here heard at all. 21 22 Now, Dr. Mumper described this clip as Ο 23 showing that Jordan was seeking approval from his 24 father. Do you see that in this clip? 25 Α Approval for?

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - DIRECT

1 She just said it was evidence of normal 0 2 behavior seeking approval from his father. 3 Α No, I don't think it is. That's an inference that cannot be drawn. I think this is 4 I appreciate that for most people it would 5 subtle. subtle deficits. But again it's the pattern which is 6 consistent across all videos which I have seen. 7 And 8 again I have not heard any word up to the fullblown 9 And he's quiet, doesn't vocalize. autism. When he vocalizes it's very limited, it's not used really to 10 11 communicate socially, and he usually doesn't reciprocate with vocalization. 12 13 0 All right. I believe there's another clip that we wanted to show that we had previously 14 designated of Jordan King. 15 16 Α Yes. And I'm referring to Number 2 on our 17 Ο designation, disk one, file one. The time period is 18 19 1999, January through June. 20 Α This is just on that clip, if I recall, he is playing, he has a pacifier which indeed comes into 21 22 the way of babbling. But the thing to look at is that 23 his mother is filming him. She made comments several 24 times. She calls him. And he doesn't, he never 25 orients to her at all. So he doesn't look at her, he

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4288

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - DIRECT 4289 1 doesn't orient to her despite her calling him several 2 And in terms of his social behavior he's times. 3 manipulating toys in an appropriate way but you have a 4 sense that he's following his own agenda and he's really on his own world in some way. 5 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: What age is Jordan 6 7 in this video you are about to show? 8 THE WITNESS: This would be before the 18 month mark. I don't --9 10 MS. RICCIARDELLA: The video just designates 11 we have sometime between January and June of 1999. 12 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: All right. Oh, 13 this is the one from January to June. Okav. MS. RICCIARDELLA: Number 2 on our file one, 14 15 stet. SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Right, right. 16 17 Thank you. 18 MS. RICCIARDELLA: Disk one. 19 (Pause.) 20 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: The silence 21 is the anticipation of getting this technically done. 22 MS. RICCIARDELLA: I should make that clear, 23 We're having some technical issues. ves. 24 (Pause.) MS. RICCIARDELLA: It appears to be working 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - DIRECT 4290 1 on our computer but not on the Court's computers. 2 MR. MATANOSKI: It appears we're going to 3 need a minute. SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: All right. 4 5 MR. MATANOSKI: Apologize. 6 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Let's go off the record for a minute. 7 8 (Discussion off the record.) SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Let's go back on 9 10 the record. 11 MS. RICCIARDELLA: It plays on our computer but it's not playing for the Court's computers. 12 13 BY MS. RICCIARDELLA: Would you just please describe, and we'd 14 0 15 just ask that the Court pay particular attention to this particular designation in the King case, would 16 you please describe why you selected this to show 17 18 today? 19 Α As I said before it's a sequence where he's playing alone, manipulating objects of different kinds 20 21 appropriately. But his mother is filming and trying 22 to engage him socially by calling him, making 23 comments. And at no point in time does he orient 24 towards his mother as you would expect. So his lack 25 of social response which is a qood characteristic and Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - DIRECT 4291

which in the case of Jordan really emerged at the
 beginning of the second year of life.

3 It is true that there has been, when I reviewed the tapes, as a child when he was like 9 4 months, 10 months he was really much more socially 5 engaged, eye contact was much better. But as you move 6 7 on in time you see that the eye contact is slowly 8 disappearing, that he's less responsive, much more following his own agenda in whatever he does, and 9 there is therefore gradual onset of autistic symptoms. 10 11 But it doesn't occur like overnight by a loss of skills. 12

13 And I think the other thing I want to reemphasize is that both by parental descriptions and 14 the father's descriptions and by my own observations 15 of the video he's a remarkably guiet, not using 16 vocalizations as a normal baby would do, and has no 17 18 words at all. So I do not doubt that he had maybe a 19 few words at one point that he might have used once or twice in very highly -- in highly contextualized 20 fashion, but it's not a child who had developed 21 22 language properly and for me it's very clear.

23 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Dr. Fombonne, let 24 me ask again about the particular segment that you 25 were just describing and you weren't able to play for

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - DIRECT 4292 1 But did you say that what time frame you were us. 2 assuming this video was taken, what age? 3 THE WITNESS: I think it's about like 15 months of age, about that. I've been trying to look 4 at clips which will be occurring before the 18 month 5 mark. 6 7 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Before the 18 8 month. 9 Because it seems to be. THE WITNESS: Yes. SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: But and the reason 10 11 you're concluding this was about 15 months is it because it came off a particular tape? 12 13 MS. RICCIARDELLA: Yes. 14 THE WITNESS: Oh, yes. 15 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: I mean how do you know this is at 15 months? 16 17 THE WITNESS: Let me see. Yeah, it's a 18 tape, it's a tape which is in 1999 from January to 19 June. Okay. 20 THE WITNESS: And in that case it's in the 21 early part of the tape. 22 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Okay. 23 THE WITNESS: So that's, I don't think I 24 could come up with a precise date otherwise I would 25 have noted that. But it's probably around that time. Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - DIRECT 4293 1 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: So you're 2 surmising from where it is on the tape, it's at the 3 beginning of that tape? THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, it's at 20 minutes 4 after the beginning of the tape. 5 6 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: And how long is 7 that tape, is that 4 hours? Did anyone say how long 8 that tape was? 9 THE WITNESS: It's at least going up to 48 10 minutes. But probably more than that. It's probably 11 one hour long, yes. 12 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: All right. 13 MS. RICCIARDELLA: And it's disk one, file 14 one. 15 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Right. MS. RICCIARDELLA: It's our designation 16 17 number two. 18 THE WITNESS: But if I may exppand on that, 19 it's again it's the consistency of observations across 20 different clips at different ages before that age or before the 18 month mark which is what I rely upon for 21 22 my opinion. 23 BY MS. RICCIARDELLA: 24 Doctor, I'd like to turn to the videos of Q 25 William Mead. If we could go back to them. Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - DIRECT 4294 1 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Before you go on 2 to William Mead let me ask one more question about 3 Jordan King. Do you recall how much video of Jordan King you witnessed, how many hours' worth? 4 THE WITNESS: Probably 10, 12 hours, 15 5 hours. 6 7 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: All right. 8 THE WITNESS: Because I did that very long I sat to review. It's a long, long, long, long time. 9 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: And how much of 10 11 that was the pre-18 month period, roughly? THE WITNESS: I couldn't say. I couldn't 12 13 say between that, I don't know. SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Half of it, do you 14 15 think it was half or at least a substantial portion 16 or? 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. Oh yes, I would say. Ι 18 would say probably, let's say half or it or more or 19 There are long sequences which are also not less. informative. You know, there are longer musical 20 But I 21 scenes where actually Jordan is not present. 22 had to watch it to be sure he was not there. 23 So anyway, I would say about half of it. 24 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: All right. 25 THE WITNESS: But it's --Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - DIRECT 4295 1 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Go ahead. 2 THE WITNESS: -- half is still an estimate. 3 BY MS. RICCIARDELLA: 4 O I'd like to turn to the William Mead videos.

5 Do you have any general comments about the videos that 6 we saw today with regard to William Mead before we 7 look at a specific clip?

8 Α Yes. Again, all the clips which have been presented are from birth to 12 months of age. 9 So as for the reasons I indicated before I don't think this 10 11 is very informative for our debate. We will return on the one taking his bath, for instance, where I could 12 13 have a different spin, interpretative spin on what is But more or less I would think that the 14 presented. first, this first year of life is not particularly 15 informative. We will show a clip for which we have a 16 date, which is 15 months I think exactly, which will 17 18 be more informative in terms of showing signs before 19 the alleged regression at 18 months of age.

But before we see it I just want to again talk about the 18 month time. It's highly inconsistent in the medical record when the regression occurred. I know Mr. Mead during his testimony dated back to 18 months of age the onset of regression or the loss of skills. But it is fair to give some

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - DIRECT 4296 1 weight to the medical record evidence because these 2 records are based on parental reports at the time as 3 well. So it's parental reports throughout. And again the regression is said to occur in the summer of 2000 4 several times. And at 18 months of age and at other 5 It's a bit uncertain, again, at what time 6 times. exactly the loss of skills occurred. 7 And we will 8 discuss it by which skills might have been lost in a minute. 9 10 So can we just look at --11 Q The "Bath Time" tape? Α 12 Yes. 13 Ο It's Number 9, or excuse me, Number 3. So just to maybe alert you on the types of 14 Α 15 behaviors, I think Dr. Mumper used that example to indicate that William was not hypersensitive to his 16 head being touched. And, you know, we can discuss 17 18 that because he seems to have a somewhat negative 19 reaction with his sister is pulling his hair. But 20 it's not the point. He does look at the camera with a smile forward but there is not much of a variation 21 22 otherwise. 23 And I want to draw your attention on, again, 24 the amount of spontaneous vocalization and babble that

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

William produced during this long scene. And also to

25

Case 1:03-vv-00584-MBH Document 123 Filed 10/23/08 Page 198 of 275

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - DIRECT 4297 1 which extent he does or does not relate to his sister. 2 But a way to relate for him considering his position 3 in the bath would be to look at his sister and you will see he doesn't give eye contact really to her at 4 all. 5 (William Mead Video Clip No. 3 played.) 6 7 You see there is a slight emotion. 8 (Video continues playing.) 9 So he has a, again, there is not much interaction with his sister using eye contact. He 10 11 seems to be responding to his father or engaging him, 12 and that's fine. But in terms of his spontaneous 13 vocalization there is not much. I mean there aren't, basically I didn't hear any spontaneous babble coming 14 15 The noise is from his sister and the out of him. father but he doesn't really spontaneously babble. 16 It's, again, it's a kind of observation 17 18 which is very technical. Nobody would put too much 19 weight in the clinical assessment when you observe 20 But it's of note. Also, there are some unusual that. movements in the midline that are very brief but are 21 22 noticeable. 23 0 We've also selected another clip of William 24 Mead. 25 Α Yes. Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - DIRECT 4298

Q Do you have that ready to go, Brandon?
 Okay.

3 Α So this is -- sorry, can you hold onto that? I think Dr. Mumper presented a clip this 4 morning where she spoke about social reciprocity and 5 said that William was playing with his sister. And if 6 you look again at that clip you will see that William 7 does not play with his sister. He's there, his sister 8 is there, and then at one point he goes into the shed 9 She follows him in the shed. He gets out 10 spot alone. 11 of the shed but there is no reciprocal play between It's misconstrued to say that because there 12 the two. 13 are the two together in the shed at one point in time that there is reciprocal play between the two of them. 14

I will not review that clip but the clip that you will be presented now is also a clip which involves him and his sister. As you will see, there is no reciprocal interactions between the two. When there are interactions they are initiated by the sister and William responds but he's not initiating it.

And secondly, I would like to review it is 18th of July, 1999, so we are there he's 14 months and a half, sort of, yeah. And again evaluate how much language he has. Evaluate how much vocalization he

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - DIRECT 4299 1 produces, their quality, their communicative function, 2 and evaluate how he can gesture as well to 3 communicate. And you will see his father at the very 4 beginning is asking him to raise his hand. A child will normally do that, and he just cannot do it. 5 He doesn't understand probably what is being asked from 6 him. His sister does it, he doesn't copy her. 7 8 Ο Unfortunately, Dr. Fombonne, I'm getting the high sign that we do not have that ability to show 9 that to the Court. However, what we will do is we 10 11 will let the Court know what clip and what the time 12 frame if the Court would like to review what Dr. 13 Fombonne is talking about. Sorry. Dr. Fombonne --14 So --15 Α Go ahead, if you would like to further 16 0 17 explicate what is on that clip? 18 Α So again, it's  $14 \ 1/2$  months of age. William has no words. In reviewing all the tapes of 19 20 William I heard two 2-word sequences, "Hi, Dad." which has been shown this morning which is not counted as a 21 2-word sentence, "Hi, Dad" is like one word. 22 And then 23 "mac cheese." "Mac cheese" he says in a sort of meal that he takes with his sister at one point. 24 This is 25 the only word utterances which are present on the tapes. Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - DIRECT

4300

Other than that, and this tape at 14 months and a half of age is clear in showing that at that age he is not babbling, he is not communicative, he is not gesturing normally, he cannot copy a gesture, he cannot respond to his dad, he doesn't play reciprocally with his sister. It would be obvious to every person who knows a child of 15 months.

Q Doctor, there's been a lot of discussion this morning about the age when Jordan King and William Mead allegedly went into a regression. Do you have any further comments about the age of the onset of the regression in both little boys?

13 Α No, as I said, you know, I was hoping we would not go into the video exercise, but the video 14 15 just as clearly shows to me that both boys were abnormal in their development before the regression or 16 the loss of skills which occurred maybe at 18 months 17 18 of age. I think there is an inconsistency of reports 19 in the case of William Mead in particular that when exactly he lost his skills. I don't dispute that he 20 lost skills. That's fair. 21

I want also to say that those children who do have regression of language usually have reached the language developmental stage which is not very advanced. They have usually five words, 20 words

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - DIRECT 4301 1 maximum, or 30 words sometimes. It's very rare that 2 they will have 60 words or that they'll have phrase 3 speech including a verb and like "I want" something or 4 "I see the horse." So the kind of language that 5 William has been presented as having before the loss I 6 have some doubts that he had that level of language.

7 Certainly the tape of 15 months of age shows 8 that he had no language of that type at that age. And for those who are parents, like me, if you have a 9 child with 60 words and who is speaking 3-word 10 11 sentences and you lose that skill you go to the emergency room and you see a pediatrician right away. 12 13 So this kind of loss would be dramatic, observable and would precipitate an immediate consultation with a 14 15 neurologist.

16 Q Did you see anything in the medical records 17 or the videos that William suffered such a dramatic 18 observable loss?

A No, no. Because as we said previously, William's pediatricians note a delay, lack of speech at age 2 I think, as I recall. I mean had he lost 60 words at age 18 months and 3-word sentences that would have been followed by some kind of medical consultation.

25 So I think it's not to -- I don't want to Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - CROSS 4302 1 dispute any further what is the true reality; it's 2 difficult. I think recall by parents is tainted by 3 too many experiences, it's hard retrospectively to 4 time with accuracy this phenomenon. It's true in autism, it's true for regression, it's true for 5 neuropsychiatric disorders. So we know that. 6 So I think that there is an area of difficulty in terms of 7 8 assessing retrospectively the timing of loss of skills or emergence of skills as well. It's very hard. 9

10 But it's very clear for me that William, I 11 see no evidence that he had normal language 12 development by 15 months of age and he had no 13 gesturing and no vocalization of the kind that you would expect to be for a typical 15-month old. 14 So I 15 think we can safely conclude that in his case there was a progressive, gradual onset of autistic symptoms 16 which emerged more saliently over a period of time. 17 18 That's the experience of parents. That's why it's 19 very hard to point at a particular date. It doesn't 20 happen overnight, it's a progressive change in the 21 child.

22 Q And what about Jordan King, would you say 23 the same for Jordan King?

A Yes. Yes, very much so.

25 MS. RICCIARDELLA: Thank you. I have no Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - CROSS 4303 1 further questions. 2 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Any 3 questions from Petitioners' counsel? 4 MR. POWERS: Yes. Thank you, Special Masters. 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION 6 7 BY MR. POWERS: Good afternoon, Dr. Fombonne. 8 Q 9 Α Good afternoon. I think I will be brief here, just a few 10 Q 11 questions. You mentioned in your earlier testimony 12 today some questions about the types of treatment, the 13 medical care and treatment that Jordan King and There's no evidence in the medical 14 William Mead got. 15 records and no testimony that you're aware of indicating that the medical care that these boys 16 received caused them any harm, is there? 17 18 Α No. No. 19 And it's fair to say that the parents and 0 20 the treating physician Dr. Green both report improvements. Now, I understand that I'm not asking 21 22 you to attribute it to anything, but the record is 23 that the parents and the treating doctor both noted 24 improvements; correct? 25 Α Yes. But can I comment on the meaning of Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - CROSS 4304 1 these improvements? 2 No, that wasn't my question. My question 0 3 was what the parents reported and what the doctor 4 reported. Α Yes. 5 I think you've already given your opinion on 6 0 the nature of improvements. 7 8 Α Okay. 9 Is it your testimony that both boys actually 0 10 did regress? 11 Α I think they lost skills probably, yes, 12 absolutely. 13 0 And they lost skills in all three developmental domains that are relevant to an autism 14 15 diagnosis? Α I cannot assess that based on the records or 16 17 the videos. It's not clear. 18 0 Now, we heard representations this morning 19 when Dr. Mumper was being cross-examined that Dr. Rust 20 agreed that both of these boys have been diagnosed with regressive autism and that he agreed with that 21 22 diagnosis. Do you agree or disagree with Dr. Rust 23 that these boys have regressive autism? 24 Α I disagree with the fact that regressive 25 autism is not a diagnosis. Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - CROSS

4305

Q Do you agree with Dr. Rust's
 characterization of both of these boys having
 experienced autistic regression?
 A That's yes.
 Q In describing some of your descriptions of

6 William Mead, and particularly William Mead's video,
7 you used the term "this is my interpretative spin."
8 Is that a correct characterization of your analysis as
9 you testified here today that it's, as I wrote down,
10 your interpretative spin?

11 Α I think for the reasons I mentioned before the clips in a very young child are very difficult to 12 13 interpret because some children, for instance, have stereotype movements of the body which are brief, so 14 15 it's hard to interpret what we see with some stronger conclusions particularly. That's why we do not pick 16 up abnormalities in the siblings of autistic children 17 18 before age 12 months. So I'm just presenting that 19 tape to show where this boy even at that very early 20 age he's not vocalizing much, he's not directing babble to anyone, he's not looking at his sister. 21 These are observations but I'm cautious about what 22 23 kind of inferences I would draw from them because of 24 the narrow behavioral repertoire which exists at a 25 very young age.

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - CROSS

4306

Q And would it also be fair to say that any particular analysis opinion in looking at these tapes is going to be somewhat subjective in that one particular reviewer might look at a particular video and there could be different conclusions that are reached based on one's interpretative spin; correct?

It would not be true for video 7 Α No. No. 8 clips which are when the child is older. When the child is older and you don't see any communicative 9 attempt, no gesturing, no response to the name being 10 11 called, no vocalizations, no pointing, no copying of gestures, this is guite robust. 12

13 Q At what point in a child's life can video 14 analysis move from the realm of interpretative spin 15 into objective analysis in your opinion?

16 A Well, the results show actually that the 17 analysis of home videos show good prediction of later 18 diagnosis starting at the age of 10 or 12 months. In 19 some studies it's earlier but most studies it's about 20 10, 12 months of age.

21 Q When you say that these boys are abnormal 22 are you describing that they were in the bottom 2.5 23 percent of their age cohort at any particular point in 24 time?

25

On which domain?

Α

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - CROSS 4307 1 In any of the domains. 0 2 Α I cannot, I cannot make a comment on that 3 based on what evidence I have. I ask that because in my understanding of 4 0 looking at a distribution of development over time 5 there's a bell curve; is that correct? 6 7 Α Uh-huh. Yes. Sorry. 8 0 Yeah, I knew that you were saying "yes" but we have to have it out loud. 9 10 Α Yes. 11 Q And there's a median; correct? Α 12 Yes. 13 0 And the normalcy or abnormalcy or the closeness of a child to the median is often measured 14 in standard deviations; correct? 15 Yes, correct. 16 Α And two standard deviations is typically 17 0 18 what is used to evaluate abnormal, that is the 2.5 19 percent at the tail end of both sides of the bell curve those would be the abnormal numbers; correct? 20 21 Α Correct. 22 So that's why I'm asking, can you tell the Q 23 Special Masters whether Jordan King or William Mead in 24 their overall development were in the bottom 2.5 25 percent of their age cohort? Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - CROSS

1 I would say probably in terms of language. А 2 You would have to refer to a standardized test. You 3 need to establish that to have standardized tests of language development. One of them which is used is 4 called the Communicative Development Inventory, the 5 CDI, from MacArthur which has norms for language 6 development which are separate for boys and girls. 7 At 8 the age of 15 or 18 months these boys should have had more language than they have in terms of words. 9

10 Q How about in the other domains, are they in 11 the bottom 2.5 percent of their age group at any point 12 in their first year of life in, say, social 13 reciprocity?

There is no good instrument to evaluate 14 Α 15 social reciprocity. We don't have norms for that. So that's the only instrument which can assess that is 16 the Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scale which have scores 17 18 which give you a communications score, social 19 interaction score. But at that age it's relatively 20 unreliable. And in order to get a score like that you 21 will have to be present at the time and to have 22 administered the instrument at that time. So I cannot 23 \_ \_

Q And so the answer would be you don't know whether they were in the bottom 2.5 percent.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4308

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - REDIRECT 4309 1 Yes, I don't know for the other domains, no. Α 2 Q Okay. 3 Α But for, again for language, yes, and for gestures as well. 4 5 And then again you do not disagree that both 0 of these boys experienced an autistic regression; 6 7 correct? 8 Α No, I don't. No. 9 MR. POWERS: Thank you. No further 10 questions. 11 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Any 12 questions from my colleagues? Nothing? 13 MS. RICCIARDELLA: I just have a couple 14 more. 15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. RICCIARDELLA: 16 17 0 Dr. Fombonne, Mr. Powers was using the 18 phrase "interpretative spin" because you used that in 19 yours, and he kept throwing it back at you. When you 20 review a videotape, Doctor, what skills do you apply when you are looking at a videotape? 21 22 My observations and my vast clinical Α 23 experience and being trained to measure the ADOS, 24 which is an observational measure. We develop I think 25 particular accuracy to look at situations where you Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - REDIRECT

4310

have a pressure on the child to communicate or to gesture or to request something, and we develop this kind of experience based on our training and number of ordinary children which we have seen or untypical children as well.

Q Now, Doctor, Mr. Powers also asked you whether an analysis of a videotape can be somewhat subjective. Now, Dr. Mumper is using the videotapes today as saying that she can rely on the videotapes to show normalcy or typicality during the first 12 to 15 months of these two little boys' lives. Are videotapes a reliable source to show typical behavior?

13

A Typical? What do you mean typical?

14 Q Do clinicians use videotapes to actually 15 diagnose autism or as evidence to show that a child is 16 developing typically?

Because they -- first, when we code, 17 Α No. 18 when we use video for research purposes we have coding 19 schemes which are extremely precise. So we look at 20 sequences, we rate particular behaviors according to We take into account the amount of time of the 21 rules. tape because obviously if you have a tape which is 22 23 very long we need to take that into account because it 24 gives you more opportunity to observe abnormal or 25 normal behavior. So there are a lot of rules which

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - REDIRECT 4311 1 are followed in the research that of course we cannot 2 follow here.

3 I would say my clinical practice I often see parents come in with films or videos of children. 4 And, you know, when you see a child who is young and 5 who is normal it's often not always informative 6 because there are some critical deficits which can 7 8 occur but in particular situations which have not been 9 filmed by the parents. So I think if you have on the 10 contrary a situation which is consistent where you 11 don't see skills that you would expect to find in the 12 child, then we can give some credence to these 13 observations. But you don't diagnose a child based on retrospective video assessment for clinical reasons. 14 For research it has been used, not for clinical 15 16 reasons. 17 MS. RICCIARDELLA: Thank you. 18 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Anything further? 19 20 MR. POWERS: No, not from us. 21 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you, 22 Dr. Fombonne. 23 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 24 (Witness excused.) SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: 25 Does Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. FOMBONNE, MD - REDIRECT 4312 1 Respondent's counsel have any additional witnesses to 2 call? 3 MR. MATANOSKI: Yes, ma'am, we do. At this time we call Dr. Jeffrey Johnson. 4 MR. WILLIAMS: While they're setting up I 5 want to pose just a fairness objection. Last Friday 6 7 we discussed that we were going to deal with Dr. Deth 8 issues on Thursday. And we arranged for Dr. Deth to be here all day yesterday. And they had no one to 9 10 call yesterday in response to Dr. Deth. And now I 11 quess, I assume that this is what we are going to hear 12 now. 13 And I just put that on the record as a fairness objection. And we may want to seek relief 14 15 for it depending on what happens. SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: 16 Mr. 17 Matanoski? 18 MR. MATANOSKI: Thank you. Actually, ma'am, 19 Dr. Johnson is going to be responding to the rebuttal testimony of Dr. Kinsbourne this morning. 20 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: 21 Thank you. 22 Dr. Johnson, as a preliminary matter, you 23 are still under the oath that you took earlier in this 24 proceeding. 25 DR. JOHNSON: Absolutely. Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

4313

1 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you. 2 Whereupon, 3 JEFF JOHNSON having been previously duly sworn, was 4 recalled as a rebuttal witness herein and was examined 5 and testified further as follows: 6 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: We're having 7 8 a technical adjustment again. MS. BABCOCK: Seems to be an afternoon of 9 technical difficulties. 10 11 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: While we're waiting for the technical difficulties, a technical 12 13 issue I wanted to address. Last year during the Cedillo hearing we also heard video reviews. 14 We decided as direction for the court reporting service 15 that we didn't want them to -- there was no need for 16 them to transcribe all the words that were said during 17 18 the video by the parents or just today the parents of 19 both children, and we had also William Mead and his sister say a few words. I think I hope we are all in 20 21 agreement, they don't need to transcribe that part. 22 MR. POWERS: Yes, sir. 23 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Okay. I just 24 wanted to clarify that for the court reporter 25 especially.

DR. JOHNSON, MD - DIRECT 4314 1 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Technical 2 matter is resolved. Respondent's counsel to proceed. 3 MS. BABCOCK: We'll discover as we go along, I suppose. 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MS. BABCOCK: 6 7 0 Could you please state your name for the 8 record? 9 Dr. Jeff Johnson. Α 10 Q And since there may be some confusion, Dr. 11 Johnson, are you a neurotoxicologist? 12 Α Yes. 13 0 Now, Dr. Kinsbourne spent some time this morning emphasizing that he was putting forth a 14 15 hypothesis or model that could explain how TCVs cause 16 What is the scientific community's autism. 17 understanding of the terms "hypothesis" or "model"? 18 А Well, in the context that I would put that a 19 hypothesis is something where you put together certain 20 aspects and certain ideas that you see in the literature, put it together and formulate a hypothesis 21 22 that you think might be relevant. And, you know, 99 23 percent of the time it could be completely wrong. And 24 if you're lucky, I mean very lucky usually in science 25 you might actually think of something that might be Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

DR. JOHNSON, MD - DIRECT 4315 1 correct. 2 And does the same apply to a model? Q 3 Α Oh veah. The model, developing a model, I mean I could go back to my office and develop ten 4 models tomorrow, you know, and none of them could be, 5 you know, right or wrong, depending on the science. 6 But I mean it's something that you can, anybody can do 7 8 that. 9 And both a hypothesis and model would 0 certainly require testing before any real credence 10 11 could be given to them; correct? 12 Oh, absolutely. I mean if all my hypotheses Α 13 worked I would have cured Alzheimer's, Parkinson's, ALS and every other disease I study. 14 Now, do you agree that what Dr. Kinsbourne 15 0 has put forth would be considered a hypothesis? 16 Α 17 Yes. 18 0 And just as a clarification, do you think 19 this would rise to a level of, say, more than likely than not true, to be true? 20 Oh, absolutely not. 21 Α It's at the lowest level. 22 23 0 Now, is neuroinflammation involved in other 24 neurological diseases? 25 It's involved in almost every Α Yes. Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888
DR. JOHNSON, MD - DIRECT 4316 1 neurodegenerative disease that's been looked at at 2 least to some extent, including Alzheimer's, 3 Parkinson's, Huntington's, ALS. And you study these diseases; correct? 0 4 Yes. Yes, I do. Yes. Α 5 Including both you have a laboratory and an 6 0 academic practice and research? 7 8 Α Yes, absolutely. 9 Does current research indicate that Ο neuroinflammation is involved as playing a causal role 10 11 in these neurodegenerative diseases? 12 In general the concept in these other А 13 neurodegenerative diseases is that the neuroinflammatory response in astroglialosis and 14 15 microglial activation are part, a progressive part of the disease, the progression part of the disease as a 16 17 result of the pathologic process. I don't think that 18 anybody at least in the field would arque that they're 19 a causative factor at this point, it's more an 20 outcome. Now, does treatment of symptoms via drugs or 21 0 22 clinical trials necessarily implicate a cause of the 23 disease? 24 Α Absolutely not. And I think one of the key 25 examples of that is in Alzheimer's disease. In Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4317

1 Alzheimer's disease the patient manifests a lot of the 2 symptoms that, if you're familiar with, and a lot of 3 people have seen Alzheimer's patients, so you see 4 these symptoms. And a lot of the symptoms in Alzheimer's disease are due to a loss of a specific 5 neurotransmitter called a acetylcholine. And so a lot 6 7 of the drugs, most of the FDA-approved drugs for 8 treating Alzheimer's disease actually increase those, the levels of acetylcholine in the brain. 9 So the 10 patient cognitively appears to get better. But in the 11 background the pathologic process and the mechanism 12 that's killing the cells is continuing on unabated.

13 So treating symptoms is a way to, is a thing 14 that you can do. Such as if neuroinformation is part 15 of the progression if you can treat that then you may 16 alleviate some of the symptoms. But none, there's 17 really no causal, direct causal association with 18 treating symptoms and what's causing the disease.

19 Q Now, Dr. Kinsbourne also discussed a paper 20 on his rebuttal today by Dr. Lopez-Hurtado which I believe is PML-446. You were also asked about this 21 22 paper during cross-examination. So obviously I assume 23 you've got some familiarity with it. In your review 24 did you identify a fairly significant methodological 25 flaw used by those authors?

4318

1 When I asked about this I said I Α Yeah. hadn't had time to evaluate the data. Now, I have. 2 3 And so there is a significant issue that I have with the paper. And that is simply this, and I want to try 4 to be very clear on this. They do a lot of 5 statistical analysis in this paper comparing one 6 sample to another sample and things like that. But 7 8 that cannot be done because really what they've done in this paper is they've actually counted the density 9 of neurons in one brain. So just to give you an idea, 10 11 so you take pieces, different layers of the brain and you count, you know, five different parts let's say, 12 13 and you get a number from each of those five parts. And you average that and you get one number. 14

15 Now, the standard deviation that you generate from averaging those five numbers is 16 basically a standard deviation generated by your error 17 18 in counting. It has nothing to do with standard 19 deviation between samples. And so when you finish this analysis what you end up with is you end up with 20 a variety of numbers that you pool together to get the 21 22 density of cells in the cortex or in a specific region 23 for one person.

24 So with the one person you cannot generate a 25 standard deviation or an inter-individual standard Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 deviation to run statistics on. So this whole paper 2 basically uses N's of one to run statistics and the 3 standard deviations are based exclusively on the 4 reproducability of their techniques for counting but nothing to do with, say, the average of four autistic 5 brains, four different brains. They don't do that. 6 7 And so the statistical analysis is really invalid. 8 So let me see if I can boil this down. 9 Ο They use standard deviation with their graphs but they 10 11 shouldn't have? No, no they shouldn't have because there 12 Α 13 really is no standard deviation for an N of one. And what's the effect if you take out the 14 0 standard deviation? 15 Well, they can't run any of the statistic 16 Α inferences that they did. And if you take out, I mean 17 18 if you really look at the data, the way that you can 19 look at the data is to actually look at the rate of 20 change with age with regard to glial cell number and neural cell number and micro -- or the lipofuscin 21 22 containing cell number. And if you do look at that 23 you actually see that the rate of change in the 24 control patients and the rate of change in the 25 autistic patients is almost exactly the same.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4320

1 So as the autistic patients age the number 2 of glial cells go up. As the normal patients age the 3 number of glial cells go up and those lines are basically exactly parallel. So to me what that says 4 as an interpretation of that data which wasn't done in 5 the paper is that the main difference between an 6 autistic patient and a normal patient is not the 7 8 change the differential during the time or the age, it's actually the baseline where they start. 9 10 So if the autistic patients starts with a 11 higher number of glial cells initially then their rate of change as they age is going to be, it's just going 12 13 to be parallel to what you see in the normal patient. So I don't want to make this complicated. 14 What I am 15 saying is as you really look at the data as an agedependent process there doesn't seem to be any 16

difference. And it appears to be the baseline,probably from the developmental standpoint and what

19 was laid down during development that's giving you 20 this differential effect as you look across these 21 patients of aging.

Q Now, Dr. Kinsbourne also discussed astrocytic function this morning. Do you study astrocytic function in your laboratory?

A Yes. A lot.

25

DR. JOHNSON, MD - DIRECT 4321 1 And have you published on this topic? 0 2 Α Yes. 3 In the last, about how many papers in the 0 4 last three years? 5 Probably more than ten. I can count them Α but I don't want to take the time. 6 We certainly understand. 7 Ο 8 If astrocytes are unable to mop up glutamate, what happens? 9 Well, the glutamate will interact with the 10 Α 11 neurons and cause excitotoxicity. So neurons die? 12 0 13 Α Eventually, yes. And Dr. Kinsbourne also discussed the 14 0 Purcell article I believe in his rebuttal, which is 15 PML-567. I'll let you find it. 16 I got it. Yes, I have it. 17 Α 18 0 In autistic brains is there evidence for 19 increased glutamate transporters? 20 Yes, absolutely. This article, one of the Α things that's interesting about this article is it 21 does microarray analysis. So what it does is it 22 23 actually does gene shift and microarray -- are you 24 familiar with microarray analysis? 25 SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Can you start that Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. JOHNSON, MD - DIRECT 4322 1 sentence again? 2 BY MS. BABCOCK: 3 0 Slowly. Α This paper does a microarray analysis and 4 they look at -- what that is is a fancy way of PCR. 5 So we go back. What they did is they looked at 6 7 microarray analysis, they identified genes that were 8 different. 9 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: Doctor, you're going 10 to have to slow down. 11 THE WITNESS: Right. 12 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: It sounds like 13 you're saying "micro ray" when really you're saying "microarray." 14 15 THE WITNESS: Array; right. SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: 16 Okav. 17 THE WITNESS: And so the gene chip 18 basically. 19 And so they identified some candidate genes 20 that were different between autistic brains and normal brains or control brains. And some of those genes 21 22 were these, we've talked about these EAAT1 and EAAT2 23 transporters, correct, that transport glutamate into 24 astrocytes. If you look at the paper, not only did 25 they identify some of these as being changed but in Heritage Reporting Corporation

DR. JOHNSON, MD - DIRECT 4323 1 fact when they did the RT-PCR and --2 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: By RT-PCR you're 3 referring to? THE WITNESS: Looking at messengers. 4 Messengers on A levels in the brains of autistic 5 6 patients. 7 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: So reverse 8 transcript. 9 THE WITNESS: The RT-PCR. SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: Because we have 10 11 heard RT-PCR used in a different context as well, real 12 time. 13 THE WITNESS: Right. 14 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: So what are you 15 referring to? THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think this -- I don't 16 know what they did on this. What they might have 17 18 done, I don't know if they did real time or they just 19 did RT-PCR. I think this was just regular RT-PCR, not real time, not quantitative RT-PCR. 20 21 But what they, and then what they did is in 22 addition to the RT-PCR they also did Western Blot 23 analysis. So they looked at the protein levels in 24 autistic brains. And in both situations the EAAT1 and 25 the EAAT2, which are the glutamate transporters on the Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. JOHNSON, MD - DIRECT 4324 1 astrocytes were significantly increased in autistic 2 patients. Which to me suggests that the autistic 3 patient actually has a greater capability to handle 4 glutamate than the normal patient based on these 5 studies. BY MS. BABCOCK: 6 7 Q And are you referring to specific charts or 8 graphs in that paper? 9 Α Yes. And if so, could you specifically identify 10 Q 11 where they are? 12 Α Yes. 13 0 I'm not sure if we have it in trial directory but at least if you could identify the page 14 15 number? Α Figure 2. Figure 2 on page 1623 and Figure 16 17 3 on page 1624. 18 0 Dr. Johnson, if you have continued chronic 19 glutamate excess would you expect the process to 20 become neurodegenerative? 21 Α Yes. 22 Now, Dr. Kinsbourne also discussed Dr. Q 23 Aschner's papers this morning, which I believe are 24 PML-568 and 570. Are you familiar with Dr. Aschner's 25 work? Heritage Reporting Corporation

DR. JOHNSON, MD - DIRECT 4325 1 I have known Mickey for a long time. Α Yes. 2 Q And these papers in particular? 3 Α These papers I've looked at, yes. I tend to not like to look at reviews because I like to under --4 I mean I will look at the reviews but then I also like 5 to find the interesting points of the reviews and go 6 and look at the real manuscripts and the real data 7 8 that actually where they're referring to in their So I've seen a lot of Mickey's original work. 9 review. 10 Q Now, first, what was the dose necessary to 11 get astrocytic dysfunction? It's in the micromolar range in almost all 12 Α 13 of his work. So that's very high? 14 0 15 Α Yes, very high. Certainly much higher than would be 16 Ο administered via thimerosal-containing vaccines? 17 And 18 again I should explain, a different type of mercury 19 also? 20 Α Yes. 21 Q Which is methyl mercury? 22 And I'm qualifying, I mean I'm not a Α Yeah. 23 mercury distribution expert, but from what I've heard 24 from the testimony and listened to this week I would say, yes, that seems to be. Because we always talk 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation

DR. JOHNSON, MD - CROSS 4326 1 nanomolar versus micromolar. Here it's micromolar. 2 Just limiting you to the dose and I'll 0 Yes. 3 leave the rest to the toxicologists. Now, do you agree that once triggered, as 4 Dr. Aschner says, a vicious cytotoxic cycle ensues? 5 I completely agree with that. And we saw 6 А the conclusions I think in cross this morning. 7 Those are valid and solid conclusions based on the data. 8 9 And is the concept that once you trigger 0 10 astrocytic dysfunction you do get that vicious 11 cytotoxic cycle, is this well accepted in the 12 scientific community? 13 Α Yeah, I would say it's very well accepted in the scientific community that deals with this kind of 14 15 process. I have nothing further. 16 MS. BABCOCK: SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: 17 Thank you. 18 Ouestions from Petitioners' counsel? 19 MR. POWERS: Yes, thank you. 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. POWERS: 22 So, Dr. Johnson, you were talking about the Q 23 Purcell paper. Ultimately the Purcell paper did 24 conclude that the involvement of glutamate levels in the brain is something that ought to be investigated 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. JOHNSON, MD - CROSS 4327 1 in autism, and this is what they said in 2001; 2 correct?

A Yeah. No, I'm not saying that glutamate shouldn't be investigated in autism, glutamate should be investigated in all of these diseases because it's clearly been implied to be part of the pathogenic process.

8 Q And that the blockage of glutamate receptors 9 might actually improve autistic symptoms, that's one 10 of the conclusions that the Purcell investigators made 11 in their paper; correct?

A you can conclude whatever you want in their discussions but I don't know that there's been any evidence showing that in autistic patients. And again I im not a clinician but I know there is evidence in some of the other diseases that glutamate inhibitors might have some effect, slight.

Q Right. And in the discussion of the Pardo and Vargas work, the Pardo and Vargas papers do report chronic ongoing neural inflammation in the brains of autistic patients; correct?

A They show inflammation or they show astroglial activation and microglial activation in postmortem brains of autistic patients. That doesn't mean it's ongoing, that means that it's there at the

DR. JOHNSON, MD - CROSS 4328 1 time that the patient died. 2 0 And that was a cross a wide range of subjects; correct? 3 Yeah, across a wide range of ages. I don't 4 Α remember specifically. 5 6 Roughly 7 to 44; does that sound about 0 right? 7 8 Α Okay, yeah, maybe something like that, yes. 9 And in those frames this endpoint of massive 0 10 neuronal death had certainly not been reached; 11 correct? I don't -- I'd have to go back and look. 12 А 13 There was something with the Purkinje cells I think. But outside of that I don't think there was massive 14 neuronal death in the brain, no, at that point. 15 MR. POWERS: No further questions. 16 17 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you. 18 Any further questions from Respondent's counsel? 19 MS. BABCOCK: Nothing, thanks. 20 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Any 21 questions from my colleagues? 22 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: I have one follow-up 23 for Dr. Johnson. 24 THE WITNESS: Sure. SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: You said chronic 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. JOHNSON, MD - CROSS

4329

1 glutamate excess would lead to neurodegeneration. 2 To killing of neurons, yes. THE WITNESS: 3 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: Okay. And is there any particular reason you say that? I mean you stated 4 it but you didn't give a reason. 5 THE WITNESS: Well, it's been, I mean we use 6 it to kill cells all the time. In culture we kill 7 8 cells in vivo with excitatory cytoamino acid toxicity. And there's also a lot of evidence in Parkinson's and 9 other diseases that, you know, this kind of a chronic 10 11 qlutamate factor, specifically astrocyte dysfunction, and I'm thinking in mind, specifically in mind to ALS, 12 13 that astrocytic dysfunction is a key component in the presumably cytotoxic death of motorneurons in spinal 14 chord of ALS. And I think that's been shown. 15 So I mean there is evidence out there in 16 these other disease states where you have an 17 18 astrocytic dysfunction you end up in the end with 19 neurodegenerative disease or kill-offs of neurons in 20 that region, depending on where that region is, spinal 21 chord, cortex, hippocampus. 22 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Any 23 questions generated by Special Master Vowell's 24 questions? 25 MR. POWERS: No, Your Honor. Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. BRENT, MD - DIRECT 4330 1 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you, 2 Dr. Johnson, you are excused. 3 (Witness excused.) SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: 4 Any additional witnesses to be called by Respondent's 5 counsel? 6 7 MR. MATANOSKI: Yes, ma'am. At this time 8 Respondent calls Dr. Jeffrey Brent. 9 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you. 10 And I will just take the opportunity to 11 remind you, Dr. Brent, that you remain under oath. 12 DR. BRENT: Yes, I understand. 13 Whereupon, JEFFREY BRENT 14 having been previously duly sworn, was 15 recalled as a rebuttal witness herein and was examined 16 and testified further as follows: 17 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 BY MS. RENZI: 20 Good afternoon, Dr. Brent. Q Good afternoon, Ms. Renzi. 21 Α 22 Could you please state your name for the Q 23 record again? 24 Α Sure. Jeffrey Brent, M.D., J-E-F-F-R-E-Y B-25 R-E-N-T. Heritage Reporting Corporation

1	Q Thank you. Dr. Brent, you've heard
2	testimony this morning that an essential part of Dr.
3	Kinsbourne's model was that methyl mercury decreases
4	glutamate uptake in astrocytes; is that correct?
5	A I did hear that testimony.
6	Q Can that have any relevance to the effects
7	of thimerosal-containing vaccines?
8	A Absolutely not.
9	Q And what is the basis for that, please?
10	A Well, that process of glutamate uptake by
11	astrocytes and effects of mercurial compounds has been
12	extremely well studied. And Dr. Kinsbourne referred
13	to the work of Dr. Aschner who has actually
14	demonstrated that mercurial compounds will indeed at
15	sufficient dosage inhibit glutamate uptake.
16	Now, when Dr. Kinsbourne presented his
17	hypothesis he was asked about whether the doses that
18	would do that have any relevance to the doses of
19	vaccine. And he said, I think to his credit, that
20	he's not a toxicologist and would therefore defer to a
21	toxicologist about this. Because the issue of dose
22	obviously here is critical.
23	If you look at the work of Aschner. Bring
24	that up, please.
25	Q And this would be a different one that the
	Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4332

1 article Dr. Kinsbourne referred to this afternoon or 2 this morning?

A Right. This is the actual --

3

Excuse me, Special Masters. MR. POWERS: 4 I'm going to object because this is a dose discussion 5 that we just heard was raised not in his rebuttal 6 today by Dr. Kinsbourne but Dr. Brent just referred to 7 8 Dr. Kinsbourne's earlier testimony on direct. So again this is, the dose issue was not discussed by Dr. 9 Kinsbourne on rebuttal. And when this did come up 10 11 during Dr. Kinsbourne's direct and during the cross of 12 Dr. Brent, he testified back then he was not a -- Dr. 13 Brent said that he was not a neuroimmunologist. So this is outside rebuttal and again going back to Dr. 14 15 Kinsbourne's direct testimony and going back to toxicology issues that Dr. Kinsbourne declined to 16 17 offer an opinion on. He did talk about dose this 18 morning.

MS. RENZI: I'll let Mr. Matanoski who is
more familiar with Dr. Kinsbourne's testimony today.
MR. MATANOSKI: Actually this morning Dr.
Kinsbourne was speaking at length about astrocytes.
And that's what this testimony is going to, it's going
to his description of astrocyte malfunction in his
response this morning to criticism that was levied,

4333

1 particularly by Dr. Johnson in the Respondent's case-2 in-chief, about Dr. Kinsbourne's reliance on this 3 astrocyte malfunction as a critical element in his 4 theory. He came back this morning and he rolled through his astrocyte malfunction argument again, 5 including reference specifically to the work of Dr. 6 Aschner to try to tie that in, astrocyte malfunction, 7 8 into mercury. That's the purpose of it in his report, his written report, that was the purpose of it this 9 10 morning. 11 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Let me just 12 inguire. Dr. Brent, are you referring to testimony 13 that you heard earlier this morning? THE WITNESS: Yes. I, after hearing the 14 15 testimony of Dr. Kinsbourne this morning I suggested that we could clarify the issue that he raised about 16 astrocytes and glutamate in my testimony that relates 17 18 to this. So I'm specifically referring to the issue 19 of glutamate uptake by astrocytes that he was referring to this morning. 20 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: I will allow 21 22 the question. BY MS. RENZI: 23 24 I want to refer you to Petitioners' Master Q List article 206. And that's another Dr. Aschner 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation

1 article; is that correct, Dr. Brent?

2 A That's correct.

3 0 And we're looking specifically at Figure 2? That's correct. And this is the actual Α 4 data, not the review article but the actual data on 5 effects of methyl mercury on qlutamate uptake. And it 6 should be noted that ethyl mercury has not been 7 8 studied in this regard. So everything that we are talking about here in terms of inferring effects on 9 10 glutamate uptake really is based on data from methyl 11 mercury.

12 But if we look at the methyl mercury data we 13 see there are two curves on Figure 2. One which is the round, where the symbols are round circles, and 14 one where they are boxes. 15 The round circles represent the inorganic mercury. And as you can see, inorganic 16 mercury is a little bit more powerful in reducing 17 18 glutamate uptake than is methyl mercury you see effects of lower concentration, the concentrations 19 20 being on the X axis.

21 So if we look just at the inorganic mercury, 22 the first statistically significant point where there 23 is a decrease in glutamate uptake is indicated by the 24 first asterisk that you see. And if you follow that 25 down it's at approximately 2 micromolar. Two

4335

micromolar. So that is the concentration that is
 required of inorganic mercury to reduce glutamate
 uptake in the astrocytes.

Now, if you will remember from prior 4 testimony, just to put this value in context, the 5 normal amount of mercury in the brain is in nanomolar 6 amounts which is 1,000 times less than micromolar. 7 8 Quantitatively, 200 micromolar refer, if you do the calculation, works out to about 400 parts per billion. 9 Now, we could put that in context of what we would 10 11 normally see in the brain. If we could just qo back to a slide that I showed earlier which is from Lapham. 12

Q And this is Respondent's Master List 294. And this is toxic levels of mercury in the brain in development studies. And you referred to this in your direct testimony?

Yes. Yes, I did. And I just want to put 17 А 18 this 400 parts per billion level that is necessary to 19 inhibit glutamate uptake in the context of what is 20 actually seen. And if you will remember, as you can see in the lower three lines, in the general 21 22 population, the background level of population, the 23 amount of mercury in the brain is in the low parts per 24 billion. You know, anything from 2 or 3 up to about 25 And if we look at the Seychelles study 40 or so.

population where we know there are no adverse effects demonstrable from mercury and yet they have large exposure to mercury through seafood, we see that the amount in their brain is well over 100, 100 or 200 parts per billion without any adverse effect.

So clearly the amount of inorganic mercury 6 7 that is necessary to inhibit glutamate uptake in 8 astrocytes, which as we saw in the Aschner study in their system was at a minimum of 400 parts per 9 10 billion, is far above or significantly above the 11 amount that people normally have in their brains and, 12 therefore, could not possibly come from the -- could 13 not possibly be related to anything you would see, for example, from a vaccine where, if you will remember, 14 15 the extra burden in the brain was 2 or 3 parts per billion. 16

In addition, the Aschner study, remember, is 17 18 an *in vitro* study. So as we talk about, and we'll go 19 over it again, in vitro studies are studies where the substance being studied, in this case mercury, is 20 simply incubated with the cells you're studying, the 21 22 astrocytes, and that therefore they are exposed to a 23 relatively high concentration of the astrocytes. 24 Because in the brain if you have 400 parts per billion it's not, the mercury would not just be sitting there 25

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4337

interacting with the astrocytes, it would be bound to all the thiols. And the free concentration of mercury that would be available therefore to interact with the cells would be very, very, very small, or as Dr. Deth puts it, damagingly small.

6 So that shows that the amount of mercury 7 that is necessary to cause this astrocyte effect is 8 vastly, vastly greater than what could be generated by 9 a vaccine and far above anything that would be 10 expected to be seen in normal human experience.

Q Thank you. We heard Dr. Mumper's testimony today that she wasn't certain that Dr. Rust had actually, actually interviews and gets histories from his patients. But I'd like to talk a little bit about how you as a clinician, as a medical toxicologist see patients. You do regularly see patients, don't you?

A Yes. That's what I primarily do.

17

18 Q And you practice in a university setting; is19 that also correct?

20 A Yes. I practice in a university setting and21 I have a private setting as well.

22 Q And in both your private practice and in 23 your academic practice how are the patients, how do 24 you take histories in the patients that you see? 25 A Well, thank you for asking that question. Heritage Reporting Corporation

4338

I've heard twice now on two separate occasions Dr.
 Mumper's description of academic physicians as
 physicians who don't take histories. And I would like
 to put that to rest.

I take, and I don't think I am any different 5 than any of my colleagues, I take very extensive 6 7 histories. I teach medical students, as we all do, 8 that 90 percent of what you learn about a patient comes from the history. The history is an extremely 9 important component of a patient's assessment. 10 And if 11 I, for example, have a complex patient that I am going 12 to see I usually schedule two hours for the initial 13 consultation, of which probably an hour-and-a-half of that is taking the history. 14

So I think it's important that we dissuade the listeners from any misconception that it is only doctors like Dr. Mumper who take histories. And I was, frankly, a little offended by that. I think academic physicians, physicians in private practice do definitely take histories.

21 Q And you also see autistic children in your 22 practice; is that correct?

23 A I do.

Q And are the histories you take of autistic children any less thorough or any more thorough than a

1 regular patient that you would see?

2 Α No. Actually they are very thorough because 3 it's a slow history. It's usually a history from the family. Often the child is there. 4 It's often a difficult chore to take a history. Often they come 5 with an awful lot of questions about things that they 6 have learned on the internet and various kinds of sort 7 8 of alternative medicine treatments that we've been hearing about today that have been recommended, and 9 they'd like advice about that. So they tend to be 10 11 very long discussions.

Q Dr. Mumper also discussed today several aspects of chelation therapy. And we heard Dr. Fombonne discuss the efficacy of that treatment. But that aside, as a medical toxicologist do you see any reason for the chelation to remove mercury from either Jordan King or William Mead in these cases?

A Absolutely not. If we could bring up the slide that I think we showed earlier I just want to make one point on that slide. Yeah.

21 Q This is slide 45 from Dr. Brent's direct 22 testimony.

A If you will recall, the normal pattern of what we see for assessing mercury is that if we take a urine sample of mercury and we simply collect it on a

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

patient there are validated reference ranges, that's an unprovoked urine, there's no chelator, there are validated reference ranges. And under normal people who are not mercury toxic will have a urine mercury excretion.

If on the other hand we take a normal 6 7 person, any one of us here in this courtroom, and we 8 add a chelator that urinary excretion will be increased, and often increased out of the normal 9 10 reference range for unprovoked urine. So that's 11 normally what you would expect to see. And, in fact, our gold standard test for assessing mercury toxicity 12 is a urine mercury level. There is no test in 13 medicine except on the cases of very shortly after an 14 acute exposure where we might look at blood level 15 there is no test in medicine that is more valid for 16 assessing mercury toxicity than an unprovoked urine 17 18 mercury concentration.

Below that you see the results of Jordan King and William Mead. And here we see that their unprovoked urine concentration is exactly in the normal range.

23 On the other hand, they have been chelated. 24 And the justification for that chelation with regard 25 to mercury comes from what you see in the righthand

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1	column where in both cases four out of five provoked
2	urine samples have had increased urine mercury. Well,
3	you're supposed to have increased urine mercury with
4	provoked urine with provoked samples. Therefore,
5	there is absolutely no indication based here or
6	anything else I saw in the medical records that
7	suggest that there is any mercury effect in these
8	children and, therefore, there was absolutely no
9	reason to chelate them for any mercury-related reason.
10	Q Thank you.
11	SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Just for my
12	benefit when I go back to read this, this is slide 46
13	not 45; isn't that right?
14	MS. RENZI: I apologize, Special Master.
15	SPECIAL MASTER HASTINGS: Okay.
16	MS. RENZI: Slide 46.
17	BY MS. RENZI:
18	Q Dr. Mumper also testified today to seeing an
19	increase of lead levels in children and that chelation
20	may help with the adverse effects from lead. Is there
21	any scientific or medical basis for that statement?
22	A It is true that chelation therapy is the
23	appropriate therapy for lead toxicity. However, the
24	records do not reflect any lead toxicity in the case
25	of either of the two children at issue here, Mead or
	Heritage Reporting Corporation

1 Neither of them had had an elevated blood lead Kinq. 2 level. And a blood-lead level is the gold standard test for lead toxicity. Because contrary to testimony 3 that was given earlier today, blood lead remains 4 elevated and will be elevated for years in children 5 that have lead toxicity. It equilibrates with tissues 6 and if there is high tissue burden there's going to be 7 8 high blood burden. 9 So you disagree with Dr. Mumper that the 0 blood levels would only test for acute toxicity? 10 11 Α That's absolutely wrong. So there was no 12 indication, therefore, for treating either of these 13 two children with a chelator for any lead effect. Is there any other accepted test for 14 0 measuring lead toxicity other than blood? 15 Blood lead is the gold standard. And there 16 Α

are no other accepted tests in medicine now thatroutinely give blood levels, lead levels.

19 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Can I20 interrupt for just a moment?

21 MS. RENZI: Sure.

22 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: I'm hearing 23 a little something in your microphone, Dr. Brent. Can 24 I encourage everybody to check to make sure you're 25 turned off. Oh, and the distance from the microphone.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. BRENT, MD - DIRECT 4343 1 THE WITNESS: I understand. 2 (Pause.) 3 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: Dr. Brent, while we're in a pause may I follow up on your comments 4 about the lead levels in the --5 THE WITNESS: Please. 6 7 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: Excuse me, the 8 mercury levels post-chelation --9 THE WITNESS: Right. 10 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: -- in both the Mead 11 and the King boys. 12 THE WITNESS: Please. 13 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: Was there anything about the levels you observed in the medical records 14 15 post-chelation that would cause you to think that these were extraordinarily high levels of excretion 16 17 upon chelation? 18 THE WITNESS: No. You always expect the 19 levels in the urine bumped post-chelation. It would 20 happen to any one of us. There are no validated 21 reference ranges for post-chelation, that's why 22 they're not used in medical practice or there is no 23 valid way of using them. And, in fact, if you look at 24 these two children they've had mild increases in 25 urine-lead excretion as I recall, but they were Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

nothing different than what you would normally expect
 to see if you give a chelator to them.
 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: Have you given
 chelators to a lot of children?
 THE WITNESS: I have chelated a number of
 children.
 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: So there's nothing

8 here that would be out of the ordinary from your 9 experience even in the absence of a standard reference 10 range?

11 THE WITNESS: Well, I have to -- in truth we 12 don't follow urine leads because the correct test is 13 blood leads. So I haven't looked at many blood leads -- urine leads in children that I have chelated. 14 So I 15 can't speak to that from my experience. But I have seen, I have had a number of patients now come to me 16 because of these Doctor's Data type of laboratories 17 18 where which are based on urine, chelated urine, and 19 they always have high leads in their chelated urine. And I tell them, well, let's just do the gold standard 20 21 test, get a blood lead level, and so far 100 percent 22 of the time they've been normal.

23SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: All right. And24let's go back to mercury though.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

25

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4345

1 SPECIAL MASTER VOWELL: Are the post-2 chelation mercury levels in either of these two boys 3 in excess of what you would see or in excess -- I take it there's no standard reference range post-chelation? 4 THE WITNESS: No standard reference range 5 You do tend to see small increases, they've 6 there. 7 had some minor increases in their mercury excretion 8 over the reference ranges for the non-provoked. It was not, certainly not very dramatic. And it was 9 certainly well within the range of what you would 10 11 expect to see. For example, if you look at the studies that 12 13 I've cited on where they were studying chelators and they would look at the effect of the chelator on urine 14 mercury excretion, now that's a valid time to do a 15 post-chelation mercury if you want to study the effect 16 of the chelator. And if you look at the normal 17 18 controls in those studies when they give them a 19 chelator you do see some increase in the urine mercury 20 excretion and it's a moderate increase and it's really not very different from what you'd see, what we saw in 21 22 these children. 23 BY MS. RENZI: 24 Dr. Brent, I just want to clarify something. Q When you say you've chelated children you've chelated 25

1 them for lead toxicity or mercury toxicity?

2 A Actually both.

Q And under what circumstances did you chelatefor mercury toxicity?

I've had a number, but probably the most 5 Α common and the most dramatic relates to the fact that 6 I live in Colorado and in the Rocky Mountain area 7 8 there are people that are still out panning for gold. And the way they do it is they collect gold ore, which 9 is a mixture of gold and other things, and they take 10 11 advantage of the fact that you can extract the gold 12 from ore using liquid mercury. And so they chop up 13 the ore, they grind up the ore, they mix it up with liquid mercury, they extract the gold and they get 14 into the liquid mercury. 15 They get rid of everything else. Now they have the gold separated, the only 16 problem is it's in all this mercury. And what they 17 18 will often do to get rid of the mercury is they will 19 heat it. And they will often heat it in their house, in their kitchen for example. 20

When you volatilize mercury like that a tremendous amount will get into the air. And I've had now a number of families that have become profoundly mercury poisoned because somebody had heated up the mercury in an attempt to do this. Patients that were

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4347

1 so sick that they've had to be on -- families that 2 have had to be so sick that they've had to be on 3 ventilators, they've had protracted stays in the ICU for severe inhalational mercury vapor poisoning. 4 Thank you. So when Dr. Mumper said that she 5 Q saw mobilization of heavy metals by chelation and then 6 7 assumed that the chelation was beneficial do you agree 8 with that statement? That's exactly -- I think what you see 9 Α No. 10 is you give a chelator, you look in the urine and 11 there is more than the non-chelated reference ranges 12 for the levels in the urine, and it's what you would 13 normally expect. It tells you nothing about mobilizing stores of heavy metals in the body. 14 15 Ο Dr. Mumper also talked about supplements and those supplements to increase glutathione to treat 16 mercury toxicity. Do you agree that that therapy is 17 18 warranted in cases? 19 Glutathione, no. Supplemental glutathione Α to treat mercury toxicity has no validity at all. 20 21 Q And why is that? 22 Α Well, the reason for that is that we have 23 very, very, very large amounts of glutathione in our 24 bodies. WE have huge amounts of glutathione in our And glutathione is never limited in terms of 25 bodies. Heritage Reporting Corporation

DR. BRENT, MD - CROSS

4348

1 being able to handle heavy metals. It's a defense 2 that has been put into humans and animals and it works 3 extremely well. And there is no way that some small 4 additional amount of glutathione on top of the already very, very large stores we have, can make the 5 slightest difference. 6 7 MS. RENZI: Thank you. I have no further 8 questions. 9 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 10 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you. 11 Any questions from Petitioners' counsel? 12 MR. POWERS: Yes. Thank you, Special 13 Masters. CROSS-EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. POWERS: 15 Dr. Brent, my name is Tom Powers. I didn't 16 0 17 have a chance to talk to you on direct or cross last 18 time, that was Mr. Williams' privilege, but I have a 19 couple of questions for you now. 20 Α Sure. Please. 21 0 You were talking about Dr. Aschner's paper 22 on glutamate uptake a little while ago; correct? 23 Α Correct. 24 This is an experiment that was in vitro rat 0 25 cells; correct? Heritage Reporting Corporation

DR. BRENT, MD - CROSS 4349 1 Α Correct. 2 0 And there is some evidence, we've heard 3 testimony, that human cells are often more sensitive than rat cells; is that correct? 4 I don't know of any data about human cells Α 5 being more sensitive to inhibition of glutamate uptake 6 by mercury than rat cells. 7 Can you describe a human model that 8 0 parallels what Dr. Aschner did with this rat model? 9 10 Α If there was a very good human model that 11 could be used then Dr. Aschner would probably be 12 studying humans and not rat brains. The problem is 13 that we don't, it's very hard to have cultured human neurons that are -- that have not been so transformed 14 15 that they're highly artifactual. So unfortunately there's not a really good model for that. And that's 16 why the rat models are typically used. 17 18 Q And actually this isn't a rat brain, these 19 are isolated rat cells in a petri dish; correct? 20 As I said, it was an *in vitro* culture. Α It's 21 an *in vitro* experiment, yes. 22 And this *in vitro* experiment featured 0 23 astrocytes; correct? 24 Α Correct. And since it's an isolated culture it would 25 0 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. BRENT, MD - CROSS 4350

1 not have microglia; correct?

2 A Correct.

Q And you understand, of course, that Dr. Kinsbourne's hypothesis is based on the idea that microglial activation releases proinflammatory cytokines that harm astrocytes; correct?

A Correct.

7

8 Q So there's nothing about this petri dish 9 that is absent microglia that is at all relevant to 10 Dr. Kinsbourne's position that it's the reactive 11 oxygen species in the proinflammatory cytokines 12 released by microglia that cause the astrocyte damage; 13 correct?

Well, in fact this is the data that exists 14 Α 15 on mercurial effect on astrocyte glutamate uptake. I don't know of any data that is specific for this sort 16 of complex scenario that you are describing in your 17 18 question. However, clearly if you look at the data 19 that was cited by Dr. Kinsbourne for showing that 20 mercury inhibits astrocyte uptake of glutamate, this is the data. And that's the date, therefore, I was 21 22 referring to.

23 Q Dr. Kinsbourne also was citing the Vargas 24 and the Pardo papers and the evidence of inflammation 25 that involved proinflammatory cytokines in the

DR. BRENT, MD - CROSS 4351 1 possible effect on astrocytes; correct? 2 Α I don't recall him citing anything that 3 suggested that, that showed that proinflammatory cytokines altered astrocyte glutamate uptake in 4 response to mercury. 5 And, in fact, when Mr. Williams cross-6 0 7 examined you on this issue you testified that you were 8 not a neuroimmunologist and you didn't comment on 9 those papers under cross and declined to comment on 10 those papers under cross; correct? 11 Α Well, mostly correct. I don't think we were 12 discussing neuroimmunology. This is not an 13 immunological guestion. But the inflammatory response --14 0 15 Α Right. -- is an immunological process? 16 Ο 17 Α Right. 18 Q And Dr. Kinsbourne posits that it's 19 initiated by microglia; correct? And there is nothing in the Aschner paper -- I mean it's impossible for it 20 to address that issue because there were no microglia 21 22 in the petri dish with the rat brain cells? 23 Α That's true. That's true. 24 MR. POWERS: No further questions. 25 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Any further Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888
DR. BRENT, MD - CROSS 4352 1 questions from Respondent's counsel? 2 MS. RENZI: No, thank you. 3 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Any questions from my colleagues? 4 5 (No response.) 6 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you, 7 Dr. Brent. 8 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Special Master. 9 (Witness excused.) 10 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Any further 11 witnesses to be called by Respondent's counsel? 12 MR. MATANOSKI: No, ma'am. 13 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: We are roughly at 4:00 o'clock. 14 I think this might be a good 15 moment to take a break and let counsel gather your thoughts for the brief closing arguments that, or 16 17 closing remarks that counsel had indicated that they 18 were planning to make. So how brief would you like 19 this recess to be to prepare your brief remarks? 20 MR. POWERS: I'm happy with 10 minutes, 21 Special Master. That would be fine for me as 22 MR. MATANOSKI: 23 well. 24 MR. POWERS: Maybe just 10 after the hour. SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Perfect. 25 We Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

DR. BRENT, MD - CROSS 4353 1 are in a brief recess. 2 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.) 3 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: We are back on the record for brief remarks. 4 MR. POWERS: And, Special Masters, I 5 appreciate a description of this as brief and just 6 7 remarks. And I just want to acknowledge that,

8 particularly for people who might be listening either live or will download this, what we are going to do 9 10 here, it's certainly not what I plan to do, is a 11 summary of the evidence and argue with the evidence really not at all, because that's something that in 12 13 this program, as the counsel and the Special Masters know, is something that happens in the months after 14 15 the evidence is closed in theses cases and happens largely on paper through motions and pleadings. 16 But we do want to take advantage of the opportunity that 17 18 you have provided to make some comments about the 19 proceedings here over the last three weeks and the proceedings in the Omnibus Autism Proceeding in 20 21 general.

One issue that I want to talk about is something that we've heard about and this idea that the Petitioners somehow are wanting to spring surprises, whether it's on the Court or on

1 Respondent's counsel. And I just want to make it 2 clear, particularly to the Special Masters, that that 3 absolutely is not the Petitioners' intent. We are responding to a dynamic scientific environment. 4 And we do everything we can to stay on top of the 5 literature. We monitor everything we can. And when 6 7 we find something new we want to bring it your 8 attention to inform your decision in these important 9 cases.

We are working hard to do that. And I can definitely assure you that if we found something helpful we would want to talk about it early and talk about it often. So there is no intent here to slip in a surprise or hide the ball. We want our best and our strongest case in front of you and in front of the Respondent as early and often as we can.

But as I said, we are in a dynamic 17 18 scientific environment. There is new research going 19 on all the time, some of this during the hearing. 20 There were abstracts presented on some of these relevant issues at international conferences. 21 There 22 were peer-reviewed papers that appeared in journals, 23 some of them were published during this proceeding, 24 some of them only became available in our language 25 during this proceeding.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4355

1 It's also important to understand, I 2 believe, that there's an interesting dynamic at work 3 in the Vaccine Program that one does not encounter in traditional civil litigation, and I believe it's 4 intention, and Congress set it up this way. 5 It's important to remember that the Respondent here is the 6 United States Department of Health and Human Services 7 8 and its related agencies. They have a charge and a public mission and a public obligation and a public 9 duty to stay abreast of the science, to follow the 10 11 science and, in a sense, to not be surprised by the 12 science. And it's important that in these proceedings the litigation goals of prevailing not be confused 13 with the client's overarching public policy goal of 14 staying abreast of the science, interpreting the 15 science, and getting the word about the science out to 16 folks, whether it's their attorneys that are here in 17 18 these proceedings to the families of the children 19 here, to the Special Masters, and to the scientific community at large. 20

I believe that one of the reasons that discovery is not available as a matter of right in this program is in a sense to help address the tension that you see in civil litigation about the interests of the parties. In civil litigation each party in the

1 adversarial system has only its own self-interest at 2 mind, that is, the only interest they have in the 3 adversary system is to prevail in that litigation and to win in that litigation. But here the Respondent, 4 the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, has 5 that larger obligation to be doing the scientific 6 7 research, to fund research, to make data and research 8 available to the public.

9 So by taking away the contentious 10 adversarial rules of discovery it seems that it helps 11 alleviate that tension and doesn't create a conflict 12 between the litigation defense goals and the public 13 policy goals of not being surprised by the science.

We've heard testimony that a lot of the work 14 that the Petitioners have introduced in this case is 15 work that is in fact funded by the NIH, by the CDC, 16 and by other entities involved with the Respondent, 17 18 with HHS. To the extent that the Respondent is 19 involved in the science, whether it's doing the science itself, funding the science and monitoring the 20 science, they ought not to be claiming complete 21 22 surprise when new science does come out. Again, we 23 cannot confuse the litigation goals with the public 24 policy goal and the institutional goal that HHS has. 25 And as I said, I believe that is one of the reasons

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

that Congress wanted this to be a non-adversarial system and to not have those rules of discovery that for those experienced in civil litigation really turns it into a fight over sometimes every scrap of paper that you are trying to pull from the other side.

So the program should be less adversarial in 6 7 that way. And I think it's important to remember 8 that. It's also to remember that the program is designed to be less adversarial in order to provide an 9 environment for families who believe that they have 10 11 legitimate claims to appear and present their case. 12 And that also includes having experts who are willing 13 to come in and testify for them. The experts in this process are obviously critically important because all 14 15 of the issues that you all have to decide are often very complicated issues of fact that require technical 16 explanation, interpretation, and presentation. 17 And I 18 just think it's a shame that in these Omnibus Autism 19 Proceedings we have seen from the Respondent a regrettable inclination to launch attacks, often 20 unsubstantiated smear attacks, on some of the 21 22 witnesses involved in these cases. And we saw it with 23 Dr. Kinsbourne in this proceeding.

Again, if the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure were at play none of the issues that

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

4358

1 Respondent's counsel attempted to impeach the 2 credibility of Dr. Kinsbourne on would have been 3 allowed in. This is a 31-one-year-old employment dispute that was resolved in his favor but they 4 brought it in. And I argue and Petitioners believe 5 that the lack of rules of the Federal Rules of Civil 6 7 Procedure applying here explicitly was done by 8 Congress in order to make it less adversarial and to remove some of those adversarial qualities that one 9 10 sees in the civil litigation system. And that's a 11 system where you commonly do see this type of attack 12 constrained by the rules. But here the absence of the 13 rules shouldn't allow people to engage in conduct that would be barred by the rules in a civil proceeding, 14 15 and it's regrettable.

Dr. Kinsbourne, obviously, was perfectly 16 capable of defending himself, and he did, and he's 17 18 made that record. But it is just regrettable that in 19 every one of these hearings, whether it's Dr. 20 Bradstreet being accused of being an exorcist to Dr. Kinsbourne being attacked for the issues he was 21 22 attacked on here is regrettable and we ought to be 23 able to avoid that in this congressionally-mandated 24 non-adversarial setting.

25 One of the last things I wanted to conclude Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 on is addressing a thematic argument that I have heard 2 and I think all the Petitioners have heard from 3 Respondent's experts, and that is the idea that the Petitioners' expert witnesses are somehow so fixed on 4 a conclusion that they leapt to that they are willing 5 to ignore contrary evidence, that they are staring 6 through Tycho Brahe's telescope insisting that the 7 8 Earth is the center of the universe. I think in the testimony that you've heard in this proceeding that 9 10 absolutely is not the case. And I just want to use 11 the example of Dr. Mumper.

Dr. Mumper is a clinician not a bench 12 13 scientists, not somebody that does original research, but she is a clinician who has responded to the needs 14 of a significant patient population who weren't being 15 addressed by other doctors, including Dr. Rust. 16 And so Dr. Mumper, even if the Respondent's experts 17 18 disagree with her conclusions, what you heard from Dr. 19 Mumper is a doctor who is doing her absolute best to 20 follow good science, to keep on top of the science. Here is a pediatrician in Lynchburg, Virginia that is 21 22 spending her resources to build bibliographies of 23 science, to get that information out to other doctors, 24 to validate her work as scientifically as she can, to 25 bring in the resources to increase the scientific

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

rigor and the scientific integrity of the work that
she's doing.

3 She is doing that while on the other hand Dr. Rust is so fixed in his telescope, the Tycho Brahe 4 telescope, or the idee fixe that what you have here, 5 he testified for at least an hour on Rett syndrome. 6 And it seemed to be his argument that Rett because 7 8 it's congenital and genetic is a model for autism because if Rett's is genetic and autism shares some of 9 10 the symptoms of Rett's then autism itself must be 11 genetic.

That line of argument is a faulty syllogism. 12 13 It's sort of another example of the classic false syllogism that Aristotle is a man, all man are mortal, 14 therefore all men are Aristotle. It's a flawed logic. 15 And it just represents how fixed he is on the idea 16 that this is an inevitable, at conception, 17 18 predetermined outcome that he is not willing to 19 entertain apparently the idea that environmental 20 factors might be at play, that care and treatment 21 might alleviate the symptoms, that some care and 22 treatment somewhere down the road in an investigation 23 into etiologies that aren't presumed to be genetic are 24 worthwhile. His mind is closed to that.

25 And those are just two very contrasting and Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 telling examples of the type of, if we're going to be 2 describing expert advocacy in these cases as the 3 Respondent's experts have attempted to do, that is an example of the Respondent's experts who are so focused 4 on what they think the outcome is that they are 5 willing to spend 114 pages in a PowerPoint really just 6 7 arquing, as I said, the false syllogism that all autism is like Rett's, and therefore all autism is 8 That is not supported by the science. 9 congenital.

So these are just some observations about 10 11 this proceeding as we move forth. Again, this ought to be a science-based inquiry. This ought to be a 12 13 non-adversarial setting. This ought to be the type of setting where families and their experts can come and 14 15 air their meritorious claims. And whether one disagrees with the conclusion that any particular 16 witness reaches, the idea that at every single one of 17 18 these test cases there is going to be some one of the 19 Petitioners' experts who is going to be targeted the way that some of these experts have been in earlier 20 proceedings is something that we should avoid and 21 22 focus on the science, be willing to consider the 23 science that comes in, understand that the science is 24 changing, understand that there is a convergence of science over time, and understand that when we do 25

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 close the evidence in these cases there probably will 2 be more information out there in the scientific 3 literature. And the science will need to speak for itself at some point. And as we see the science 4 converge on some of these key issues the Petitioners 5 will do everything that we can to bring that 6 7 information to the Special Masters, to share it with 8 the Respondent, but ultimately with the idea that litigation strategy in this program is really not what 9 should be driving the consideration of the science but 10 11 ultimately, again considering the unique position of 12 the Respondent as a party here, reflecting a responsible fulfillment of the mission to keep up to 13 date with the science, protect public health, consider 14 15 the science and apply it in a way that's going to provide the best information ultimately to the three 16 17 of you deciding the general issues and the specific 18 issues in all of these cases.

19

Thank you.

20 SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: Thank you.21 Mr. Matanoski?

22 MR. MATANOSKI: Thank you, ma'am. 23 In putting together my closing remarks, 24 though the time that we have is brief, I feel I would 25 be tremendously an error of my part to not acknowledge Heritage Reporting Corporation

(202) 628-4888

1 the families that were involved here, the King an the 2 Mead family. Probably the most poignant moments 3 during this trial was hearing their testimony, testimony of MyLinda King and George Mead discussing 4 William and Jordan. We thank them for their 5 participation. Certainly our hearts go out to them 6 and to all of the families that have autistic 7 8 children. We may be litigating one side of this issue but we certainly have tremendous respect and 9 admiration for all of them. 10

11 You have a threshold matter before you that's a scientific matter, however, which you must 12 13 address. And obviously a scientific question necessarily turns on scientific evidence. And there 14 15 are certain legal standards that must be applied in this courtroom and every courtroom to how you handle 16 scientific evidence. What, indeed, can even be 17 18 considered reliable scientific evidence.

19 The Supreme Court has spoken. It said that 20 it is evidence that must be tested, it's evidence that 21 should be subject to publication and peer review, it's 22 evidence that has general acceptance in the scientific 23 community. On the PSC side of the ledger of the 24 evidence you have not heard that yet, you've heard 25 speculation pure and simple.

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 What you've heard in terms of comments from 2 Mr. Powers this morning suggests that that evidence as 3 far as the Petitioners are concerned or the PSC is 4 concerned is still not available. He talks about the 5 dynamics of science, ongoing studies, which in some 6 way may imply a lack of evidence, scientific evidence 7 that is available to the PSC at this point to prevail.

8 Now, the PSC's case started with a curious Rather than putting on evidence in support 9 approach. 10 of their claim they put on evidence that was to, or 11 put on testimony that was designed to undermine evidence against their claim. That was the testimony 12 13 of Dr. Greenland. But Dr. Greenland's testimony and his whole postulate depended on a supposition. 14 The 15 supposition was that the Petitioners would prove to you a case that their mechanism applied to clearly 16 regressive cases only. Now, you've heard from Dr. 17 18 Deth about his hypothesis and he said it did not apply 19 only to clearly regressive cases. You heard this 20 morning from Dr. Kinsbourne who said that he hasn't even looked at whether his hypothesis would have any 21 22 application on non-regressive cases so he can't even 23 address whether his hypothesis is only limited to clearly regressive cases. 24

25 All of the abundant epidemiological evidence Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

that has addressed the precise issue in front of you, that is whether thimerosal-containing vaccines can cause autism or are associated with autism is back on the table. It never was off. Dr. Greenland's supposition is in error.

If you follow the mechanisms proposed by the 6 7 PSC here to their logical conclusion, they fail to 8 show that thimerosal-containing vaccines are the They propose that inorganic mercury is the 9 cause. 10 causative agent. Inorganic mercury is not specific to 11 childhood vaccines. It's in what we eat, it's in the air we breathe, it may be if we have poor dental 12 13 health may be in the fillings in our mouth. They have failed to specify how much inorganic mercury is 14 necessary to cause autism. 15 Their experts consistently refused to say. In fact, when they did say they 16 essentially said any amount. 17 They have pushed the 18 threshold down so that any exposure to inorganic 19 mercury could be a potential cause of autism.

They have described a causal mechanism or mechanisms that are so general they apply to virtually every disease and to every case of autism. Oxidative stress is seen in conjunction with almost every disease. You even see it after trotting or jogging, you even get it after you bang your thumb nailing it,

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 hammering in a nail. Neuroinflammation is seen in a 2 variety of neurological illnesses, including 3 Alzheimer's and Parkinson's disease, for example. And in the Vargas study every single autistic patient in 4 that study had neuroinflammation, regressive, non-5 regressive, young and old alike. 6 These are non-7 specific causal mechanisms that are proposed to you. 8 In the end, you could just as easily conclude that a tuna sandwich or a dental filling 9 could cause autism as a childhood vaccine. 10 And to 11 flip it around, you can just as easily consider that an 80-year-old man who received a flu vaccine would 12 13 get Alzheimer's from it. Mr. Powers commented about what I describe I 14 15 quess as -- or his description of a smear campaign or heavy-handed treatment of Petitioners' experts. 16 You take the witnesses as they come. Now, perhaps there 17

18 was an explanation, and you've heard it for the events 19 that transpired with Dr. Kinsbourne's departure from the University of Toronto, but again, you take the 20 21 witnesses as they come. When Dr. Deth took the 22 witness stand and said that he's willing to come 23 before you and say that his hypothesis, you should 24 rely on that to make a finding of this import even 25 though he's not willing to go to the scientific

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

community and say that it's acceptable without further testing, I think that bears consideration.

3 Dr. Kinsbourne when he sat in the witness chair he put his credibility on the line. If he's 4 coming before you saying, rely on me, believe me, 5 trust me as an impartial scientist, because that's how 6 7 he's coming to testify to you, you deserve to know 8 whether he gets that kind of trust. You know he's known to you, you've seen him appear many times. 9 Ιf 10 you go back and look at the cases that are currently 11 active in front of the Special Master's Office you will find that he's maintained or offered an expert 12 13 opinion saying vaccines have done harm in over 30 In the past year he's authored one article in 14 cases. 15 a medical journal. I think that tells you whether he's coming to you as a witness who spends his time in 16 the courtroom or as an impartial scientific expert 17 18 witness who is adding some value to what your 19 deliberations are from a point of view of reliable science. 20

Now good science and reliable science comes from testing, publication, critical review, validation, verification of results. It's performed by those who work in the field, apply scientific method to their research. The Supreme Court tells us

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 there can't be untested hypothesis, as Dr. Deth has 2 essentially described his causal mechanism. And good 3 science won't be first revealed in the courtroom, as Dr. Kinsbourne's hypothesis is. But it's going to see 4 the light of day through critical discussions of 5 research among the scientists themselves. 6 It's not reliable science, indeed it's not any kind of science 7 8 to sit at your computer, take your last litigationdriven report, run find and replace. Find measles 9 vaccine and replace with thimerosal-containing 10 11 vaccine. A litigation-driven contrivance such as that 12 has no place in this courtroom; the Supreme Court has 13 mandated that.

Now, when the trial began Mr. Powers 14 15 described thimerosal-containing vaccines as a relic of Perhaps that was a reference to allowing 16 history. some leeway in what your evidentiary standards would 17 18 be to provide some grading on the curve of the science 19 you'd accept. In fact, they have done everything to make this anything but a relic of history. 20 The day that they said that they held a press conference to 21 22 discuss the case. Their experts are here telling you 23 that trace amounts of mercury that are in vaccines, 24 the flu vaccine, for example, that's still administered could be enough to cause autism. 25

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 This, whether we like it or not, this issue 2 has great importance, the issue before you had great 3 attention drawn to it. Just last week Time Magazine had vaccines and the safety of vaccines as their cover 4 Many eyes are going to be turned to this Court 5 issue. to see how you handle the scientific evidence before 6 What do you make of that evidence? And it's not 7 you. 8 just from the parents that are front of you with their claims, it's from parents who haven't brought claims 9 who have autistic children and who are wondering if by 10 11 getting them vaccinated they are somehow responsible 12 for that condition. It's from scientists who work in 13 these relevant fields, it's from those who treat autism, and it's going to be reviewed by parents who 14 are wondering whether they should get their children 15 vaccinated or not. 16

Now, I'm going to be blunt at this very late 17 18 hour having brief remarks. Are you going to decide 19 that question on the say-so of Dr. Deth and Dr. Kinsbourne? Or are you going to decide that question 20 on the evidence given to you by witnesses like Dr. 21 22 Catherine Lord, Dr. Eric Fombonne, and Professor Sir 23 Michael Rutter? Are you going to look at and consider 24 the fact that every reputable medical, independent 25 medical organization that has considered this issue,

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

1 the Institute of Medicine, the American Academy of 2 Pediatrics, the European Medicine Association, the 3 World Health Organization have all come to the conclusion that thimerosal-containing vaccines do not 4 cause autism? 5 Are you going to also consider that every 6 Court that has had to consider this claim before it, 7 or you have considered it in fact, has found that the 8 claim is so lacking in scientific merit that it should 9 10 not be even presented to a jury? 11 Reliable scientific evidence at this point is all on one side of the ledger: vaccines don't cause 12 13 autism. I have no further remarks at 14 Thank you. 15 this time. SPECIAL MASTER CAMPBELL-SMITH: 16 Thank you. At this time we have reached the conclusion 17 18 of this portion of the evidentiary hearing in the 19 Omnibus Autism Proceeding. And on behalf of my colleagues I am going to make a few brief comments 20 this afternoon. 21 22 First, we again thank the members of the 23 King and the Mead families who came to Washington and 24 were with us for part of this hearing. We thank them as well for generously agreeing to have their sons' 25 Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

cases designated as test cases in the Omnibus Autism
Proceeding.

We also wish to thank the counsel for both sides who have presented their evidence so ably during this hearing. We know that they have worked enormously hard in preparing and in conducting this hearing. And we appreciate that hard work.

8 We also thank the expert witnesses who have 9 testified before us.

We thank the United States Court of Claims for the Federal Circuit who have allowed us to use their courtroom. We thank all of the wonderful employees of both of the courts housed in this building who assisted so well in preparing for and conducting this hearing.

Next we want to acknowledge once more 16 certain other people who are also very important to 17 18 this proceeding, that is the families of all the other 19 5,000 Vaccine Act claimants who have been diagnosed with autism or a similar condition. Some members of 20 those families have been listening in by means of our 21 22 teleconferencing system. Others have followed this 23 hearing by downloading the audio from the internet. 24 To all such family members, as to the King and the 25 Mead families, we three Special Masters pledge to you

again that we will consider very carefully the evidence put before us at this hearing and give that evidence our very complete and thorough study. We realize the great importance of the task assigned to us in deciding these cases. And we will give our greatest effort in carrying out that heavy responsibility.

8 Finally, now that this hearing is finished in this respect some of you may want to know what will 9 10 happen in these test cases. The answer is that, 11 first, in July we will hear from two more expert 12 witnesses for Respondent who could not be here this 13 month. At that same time we will hear any rebuttal to those two witnesses that the Petitioners wish to 14 15 present.

We will also hear some case-specific testimony in a third yet-to-be-identified test case related to the same theory, which case will be decided by Special Master Vowell.

In addition, after the July hearing the parties will file written briefs summarizing the testimony in this hearing. That process will likely take several months. Then once the last of those briefs are filed I will issue a written ruling in the William Mead case. Special Master Hastings will issue

Heritage Reporting Corporation (202) 628-4888

a written ruling in the Jordan King case. And Special Master Vowell will issue a written ruling in the third to-be-identified case. Finally, for updates concerning the progress of all three cases and concerning the Omnibus Autism Proceeding in general please do keep checking the autism proceeding page on the Court's internet website. With that, I thank everyone involved in this I wish you safe travels to your point of hearing. return. We are now adjourned. (Whereupon, at 4:40 p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter was concluded.) // // // 

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

DOCKET NO.:	03-584V, 03-215V
CASE TITLE:	In Re: Claims for Vaccine Injuries
HEARING DATE:	May 30, 2008
LOCATION:	Washington, D.C.

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately on the tapes and notes reported by me at the hearing in the above case before the United States Court of Federal Claims.

Date: May 30, 2008

Christina Chesley Official Reporter Heritage Reporting Corporation Suite 600 1220 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-4018